sciendo

Land Forces Academy Review
Vol. XXX, No. 3(119), 2025

BUSINESS RECONNAISSANCE – A GENERAL PRACTICAL AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Lord DORDUNOO
Marymount University, Arlington, VA, USA
l0d88468@marymount.edu

ABSTRACT

Organizations are turning to unconventional frameworks in a rapidly evolving global economy to gain a competitive edge. This paper explores the concept of business reconnaissance, a strategic convergence of military reconnaissance tactics, and corporate intelligence gathering that informs proactive decision-making. The study integrates the Solution Engineering problem-solving model to demonstrate how businesses can apply disciplined intelligence methodologies to identify opportunities, anticipate threats, and optimize strategic positioning. Through a literature review and conceptual analysis, this article outlines key themes in business intelligence, ethical considerations, and the structural implementation of reconnaissance-informed strategy. Findings highlight the potential for organizations to adapt and evolve by drawing lessons from the military intelligence domain while maintaining ethical accountability and business relevance.

KEYWORDS: Business Reconnaissance, competitive intelligence, strategic agility, Solution Engineering, ethical practices

1. Introduction

In the dynamic landscape of 21st-century commerce, competitive advantage is increasingly shaped by how well organizations gather, interpret, and act upon strategic intelligence. A new paradigm, Business Reconnaissance, has emerged, borrowing principles from military reconnaissance to support strategic decision-making. This approach involves systematic data collection, environmental scanning, competitor analysis, and scenario planning to anticipate market shifts and respond proactively (Fleisher & Bensoussan, 2015). Business reconnaissance mirrors military practices by promoting situational awareness, operational foresight, and agile response in the corporate theater.

The business environment shares key characteristics with military theaters, including uncertainty, risk, competition, and the need for swift response (Sheffi, 2005). As such, military concepts like reconnaissance, defined as the systematic exploration and analysis of an operational area, offer valuable analogies for business strategy development (Gompert et al., 2012). In military doctrine, reconnaissance informs the commander's strategy by identifying enemy strengths, weaknesses, and terrain. Similarly, business reconnaissance aims to inform corporate leaders about competitive landscapes, emergent threats, and unexploited opportunities before formal strategic planning occurs (Calof & Wright, 2008).

Recent developments in data analytics, surveillance technology, and AI have enabled firms to enhance their reconnaissance capabilities across industries (Gandomi & Haider, 2015).

DOI: 10.2478/raft-2025-0041
© 2025 Lord Dordunoo. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 3.0 License.

However, intelligence gathering alone is not sufficient. To translate insights into action, organizations require a systematic, structured approach that ensures clarity of objectives, coordination across teams, and measurable outcomes (Kiron et al., 2014). This paper proposes integrating the Solution Engineering problem-solving model to operationalize business reconnaissance and guide strategic transformation.

This article explores the theory and practice of business reconnaissance and introduces Solution Engineering as a strategic model to guide its application. Through a literature review and conceptual analysis, the paper examines military intelligence's historical roots, such practices' adaptation in corporate environments, and the ethical challenges associated with competitive intelligence. By synthesizing these insights, the article contributes a structured framework for leveraging business reconnaissance ethically and effectively as a strategic advantage.

2. Problem Statement

In an era of rapid technological disruption, market volatility, and geopolitical uncertainty, many organizations struggle to anticipate change and respond proactively (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2016). Traditional strategy models often fail to account for the speed and complexity of modern business environments, leaving firms reactive rather than adaptive (Teece et al., 2016). Despite the availability of data analytics tools and business intelligence platforms, companies frequently encounter difficulties translating information into actionable insights (Kiron & Shockley, 2011). This lack of responsiveness often results in missed opportunities, competitive losses, and inefficient resource deployment.

The central problem addressed in this paper is the lack of a structured, ethically sound approach to competitive intelligence that leverages continuous situational awareness. The goal is to investigate how business reconnaissance, supported by the Solution Engineering model, can provide a disciplined methodology for environmental scanning, opportunity identification, and strategic response. By framing intelligence gathering as a core business function rather than a peripheral activity, organizations may improve strategic foresight and increase their capacity to respond to emerging challenges (Fleisher & Blenkhorn, 2000).

3. Significance of the Study

The significance of this study lies in its novel contribution to strategic management and competitive intelligence by bridging the conceptual gap between military reconnaissance and corporate strategy (Wright et al., 2002). Highly competitive industries such as technology, defense, pharmaceuticals, and logistics organizations that can accurately map their external environments and predict competitor behavior stand to gain significant strategic advantage (Calof & Wright, 2008). Business reconnaissance offers a proactive alternative to passive planning models, enabling firms to position themselves ahead of competitors through informed, preemptive decision-making.

As digital tools make intelligence gathering more accessible and scalable, the risk of misuse also increases. Ethical concerns surrounding surveillance, data privacy, and market manipulation must be addressed to ensure responsible deployment of reconnaissance methods (Prescott, 2012). This study contributes to the discourse on ethical intelligence practices by proposing the Solution Engineering model as a control structure for reconnaissance activities. Through its phased, goal-oriented structure, Solution Engineering fosters strategic alignment, ethical compliance, and operational precision qualities essential for effectively implementing business reconnaissance in the corporate sector (Dalkir, 2017).

4. Methodology

This study employs a conceptual research design grounded in exploratory and theoretical analysis. The approach is suitable for emerging strategic constructs like business reconnaissance, which are still developing in academic literature and practice (Gilson & Goldberg, 2015). This method aims to synthesize existing knowledge from military science, competitive intelligence, and strategic management to formulate an integrated framework that operationalizes business reconnaissance in a corporate setting. The research draws from interdisciplinary sources and incorporates established models, notably the Solution Engineering problem-solving model, to construct a roadmap for strategic intelligence application.

A comprehensive literature review supported this conceptual inquiry using scholarly databases such as JSTOR, ProQuest, Google Scholar, and ScienceDirect. Search terms included "competitive intelligence", "military reconnaissance and business strategy", "corporate surveillance", "strategic foresight", and "solution engineering". Over 25 peer-reviewed articles, books, and white papers published between 2000 and 2023 were reviewed. Sources were selected based on relevance, scholarly rigor, and their contribution to understanding intelligence operations within business environments.

The Solution Engineering model, drawn from systems thinking and strategic planning, was selected as the guiding framework for this study due to its compatibility with intelligence-based approaches. This model provides a structured method to identify objectives, analyze external conditions, define constraints, and iterate toward viable, solution-driven outcomes (Nickols, 2020). Its layered design mirrors reconnaissance processes used in military operations, which involve data acquisition, threat assessment, operational modeling, and feedback-driven adaptation. Applying this model enables organizations to transform environmental awareness into tactical and strategic advantage.

By integrating military-derived reconnaissance concepts with the phases of Solution Engineering, this study offers a novel strategic framework that organizations can apply to anticipate disruption, monitor competitive threats, and craft agile responses. The conceptual nature of this study is not aimed at statistical generalization but at theory-building, model development, and strategic alignment. The method also allows for normative inquiry into ethical considerations surrounding business surveillance and intelligence practices. This ensures that the proposed model addresses performance, efficiency, transparency, fairness, and compliance with ethical norms.

5. Literature Review

5.1. Origins and Evolution of Business Reconnaissance

The roots of business reconnaissance can be traced to early practices of competitive intelligence and wartime espionage. In the military context, reconnaissance refers to actively acquiring information about adversaries and operational environments to inform decision-making (Gompert et al., 2012). This principle has been adapted to corporate strategy, where firms use similar information-gathering activities to monitor competitors, forecast market trends, and identify opportunities or threats. Over time, this tactical approach has matured from ad hoc competitor analysis to a more formalized discipline within strategic management and intelligence studies (Calof & Wright, 2008).

Business reconnaissance has evolved alongside advances in technology and globalization. The proliferation of big data, artificial intelligence, and digital surveillance tools has significantly

expanded organizations' capacity for real-time market monitoring and intelligence collection (Davenport & Harris, 2007). What was once limited to tracking financial reports and press releases has become a multifaceted intelligence operation involving sentiment analysis, behavioral prediction, and geopolitical risk assessment (Prescott, 2012). These changes mirror military transformations where technology has enabled precision reconnaissance through satellite imagery, cyber surveillance, and autonomous drone tools that now have analogs in digital business strategy.

Scholars and practitioners have increasingly emphasized the strategic value of intelligence as a core business function. Competitive intelligence is no longer viewed as a support activity but as a driver of innovation and strategic positioning (Wright et al., 2002). Modern business reconnaissance practices are deeply influenced by doctrines of early warning, situational awareness, and force deployment military strategies emphasizing preparedness and adaptability. As businesses operate in volatile, uncertain, complex, and ambiguous (VUCA) environments, the strategic agility conferred by intelligence-driven decision-making has become indispensable (Bennett & Lemoine, 2014).

Although competitive intelligence has long been studied in academic circles, framing such practices through a military reconnaissance lens is relatively new and under-theorized. This presents an opportunity to develop new frameworks that align intelligence capabilities with operational strategy and performance outcomes. As business reconnaissance continues to evolve, there is a growing demand for models such as Solution Engineering that can guide its ethical implementation and strategic application (Nickols, 2020). Understanding its historical and conceptual foundations allows organizations to appreciate the depth and discipline required for intelligence-led strategy.

5.2. Intelligence Gathering in Corporate Strategy

Intelligence gathering has become integral to corporate strategy, evolving from reactive practices into proactive mechanisms that shape long-term planning. In today's hypercompetitive landscape, businesses use structured intelligence to identify emerging market trends, understand consumer behavior, and forecast competitor actions (Fleisher & Bensoussan, 2015). These insights support strategic formulation by aligning resources with anticipated threats and opportunities. Effective intelligence gathering enables leaders to make informed decisions faster, vital in dynamic industries such as technology, finance, and defense (Bose, 2008).

Strategic intelligence tools have expanded in sophistication, allowing organizations to combine internal data with external inputs from news feeds, regulatory filings, social media, and competitor tracking software. These systems mirror military intelligence structures in their layered, multi-source, and real-time nature. Tools such as SWOT analysis, Porter's Five Forces, and Scenario Planning have been enhanced with digital platforms that synthesize diverse data into actionable insights. The growing reliance on predictive analytics and AI-powered monitoring platforms reflects a broader shift toward anticipatory decision-making in strategy execution (Tambe et al., 2019).

Despite technological advances, the success of intelligence gathering still depends heavily on organizational alignment and cultural readiness. Research indicates that many companies underutilize intelligence due to siloed communication, lack of analytical talent, and insufficient integration with strategic functions (Kiron et al., 2014). Data becomes fragmented or misinterpreted without a clear process to transform raw intelligence into strategic initiatives. This underscores the importance of embedding intelligence functions into

cross-functional teams and ensuring that insights are continuously fed into strategic planning cycles (Dalkir, 2017).

Additionally, ethical considerations have become increasingly relevant in corporate intelligence practices. As surveillance capabilities expand, firms must navigate concerns about data privacy, intellectual property boundaries, and regulatory compliance (Prescott, 2012). The weaponization of information seen in tactics like corporate espionage or misinformation campaigns can lead to reputational damage and legal exposure. Integrating intelligence into corporate strategy requires operational precision and ethical frameworks that guide data use, employee conduct, and stakeholder transparency (Calof & Wright, 2008).

5.3. Ethical and Competitive Implications of Business Reconnaissance

While business reconnaissance enhances an organization's ability to anticipate threats and act decisively, it raises significant ethical concerns. Surveillance-like tactics in corporate environments often test the boundaries between legitimate competitive intelligence and unethical corporate espionage (Prescott, 2012). Practices such as scraping proprietary data, infiltrating competitor networks, or employing misinformation can damage reputations, erode stakeholder trust, and violate laws or industry codes. As firms adopt increasingly sophisticated intelligence tools, privacy, consent, and fairness must be addressed to safeguard the legitimacy of reconnaissance-based strategies.

Ethical lapses in business reconnaissance harm external stakeholders and create internal risks. Employees may be pressured to gather intelligence in ethically ambiguous ways, leading to decreased morale and legal exposure (Bellaby, 2021). Moreover, using AI-driven surveillance tools such as location tracking, keystroke monitoring, or sentiment analysis within organizations can violate employee privacy and trigger regulatory scrutiny (Zuboff, 2019). Thus, companies must adopt a clear ethical framework to guide reconnaissance practices, balancing competitive advantage with human rights and data protection obligations.

In highly competitive industries, firms may justify aggressive reconnaissance by citing the strategic need to stay ahead of rivals. However, when such efforts become zero-sum or adversarial, they can distort market competition and fuel retaliatory behavior among competitors (Calof & Wright, 2008). Over-reliance on surveillance rather than innovation may divert attention from core competencies and long-term value creation. Research indicates that sustainable competitive advantage is achieved by accessing intelligence and using it ethically and strategically to inform agile, customer-focused decision-making (Porter & Kramer, 2011).

To mitigate these risks, organizations should implement governance models that evaluate reconnaissance activities against legal standards, ethical principles, and stakeholder impact. These models can be embedded into organizational ethics programs or integrated with risk management systems. The Solution Engineering model is well-suited for such oversight, emphasizing purpose-driven planning, stakeholder involvement, and iterative evaluation, ensuring reconnaissance remains aligned with organizational values and societal expectations (Watson et al., 2020). Ultimately, ethics must be treated not as an afterthought but as a foundational pillar of any intelligence-driven business strategy.

5.4. Solution Engineering as a Strategic Integration Model

The complexity of modern strategic environments requires businesses to integrate intelligence gathering with structured problem-solving methodologies.

The Solution Engineering model offers a disciplined framework that aligns reconnaissance insights with business decision-making. Originating from systems thinking and engineering disciplines, this model enables organizations to define strategic challenges, evaluate environmental variables, and develop iterative, goal-oriented solutions (Watson et al., 2020). Its phased structure, which comprises definition, diagnosis, solution mapping, design, and implementation, makes it especially suited to guiding the transformation of raw intelligence into actionable strategy.

Unlike traditional models focusing on operational fixes, Solution Engineering encourages a holistic view of the business landscape. The model prompts decision-makers to identify stakeholders, constraints, assumptions, and systemic interactions before responding (Schilling, 2019). This mirrors the reconnaissance process used in military operations, where intelligence is contextualized and validated through layered analysis. By integrating data-driven insights with structured design principles, Solution Engineering helps organizations avoid the pitfalls of bias, tunnel vision, and reactive planning challenges often seen in fragmented or overly tactical intelligence approaches.

Another critical advantage of Solution Engineering is its ability to operationalize ethical oversight. Each phase of the model includes checkpoints for evaluating risks, compliance, and stakeholder impact, making it compatible with corporate governance and accountability structures (Watson et al., 2020). For example, during the diagnosis and mapping phases, teams are encouraged to consider intelligence use's legal, reputational, and societal implications. This built-in scrutiny allows business reconnaissance practices to evolve responsibly, ensuring that strategic agility does not come at the expense of transparency, fairness, or trust.

Finally, Solution Engineering supports continuous learning and adaptation, two essential traits for reconnaissance-based strategy in VUCA (Bennett & Lemoine, 2014). Its iterative nature ensures that organizations learn from past intelligence efforts and recalibrate processes as conditions change. As a result, firms can maintain situational awareness, build institutional resilience, and refine their competitive posture over time (Teece et al., 2016). In an age where the speed and scale of disruption demand foresight and flexibility, Solution Engineering offers a robust model for converting business reconnaissance into a sustainable strategic capability.

5.5. Recommendations

Organizations must establish a formalized intelligence function within strategic, ethical, and legal boundaries to implement business reconnaissance effectively. This requires creating dedicated teams or roles responsible for environmental scanning, data analysis, and opportunity forecasting (Fleisher & Bensoussan, 2015). These teams should be cross-functional, involving professionals from strategy, operations, compliance, and IT to ensure a balanced approach. Integrating this function into the broader strategic planning process ensures that intelligence activities directly inform organizational priorities rather than operating in isolation.

Secondly, firms should adopt a structured model, such as Solution Engineering, to guide the transformation of reconnaissance data into strategic action. This model ensures that intelligence gathering is followed by diagnosis, mapping, solution design, and evaluation, thus fostering clarity, alignment, and accountability (Watson et al., 2020). Solution Engineering offers more than a roadmap; it acts as a decision-making framework that helps avoid bias and

groupthink by focusing on objective evidence and iterative problem-solving. Training leadership teams in this methodology can improve the organization's ability to interpret signals and execute adaptive strategies under uncertainty.

Third, companies must institutionalize ethical standards for reconnaissance activities. This includes developing codes of conduct for competitive intelligence, outlining acceptable data sources and research methods, and providing employee training on privacy laws and ethical research techniques (Prescott, 2012). Ethical governance frameworks such as ISO 37000 (Governance of Organizations) and adherence to data protection regulations like GDPR or CCPA should inform internal policies (Makowicz, 2023; Wong et al., 2023). By integrating ethical checkpoints into each phase of the reconnaissance process, organizations can avoid reputational damage, build trust with stakeholders, and ensure regulatory compliance.

Finally, to build resilience and long-term strategic agility, businesses should treat reconnaissance as a continuous, iterative process rather than a one-time activity. This involves establishing key performance indicators (KPIs) to monitor the impact of intelligence on business outcomes, such as innovation cycles, risk mitigation, and market share growth (Wright et al., 2002). Feedback loops must be embedded to refine models, update intelligence sources, and improve strategic alignment over time. Firms that adopt this mindset will be better positioned to sense changes early, act decisively, and evolve continuously in a VUCA world (Bennett & Lemoine, 2014; Teece et al., 2016).

6. Conclusion

Business reconnaissance represents a critical evolution in how organizations perceive and execute competitive strategy. Inspired by military intelligence practices, this approach empowers firms to move beyond static planning models by fostering real-time awareness, threat anticipation, and proactive response (Gompert et al., 2012). As demonstrated throughout this paper, business reconnaissance is more than just data gathering; it is a strategic discipline that aligns intelligence with organizational objectives, enhances agility, and strengthens decision-making under uncertainty (Fleisher & Bensoussan, 2015). Focusing on continuous learning and adaptability provides a much-needed shift in how firms navigate uncertainty in volatile markets (Teece et al., 2016).

Integrating the Solution Engineering problem-solving model provides the structure necessary to operationalize reconnaissance efforts in ethical, iterative, and outcome-focused ways. This model ensures that intelligence is collected and effectively transformed into actionable strategies by guiding firms through diagnosis, mapping, solution design, and implementation phases (Watson et al., 2020). Moreover, Solution Engineering incorporates stakeholder perspectives, risk assessment, and feedback loops, uniquely suited for complex, high-stakes environments where agility and ethics must coexist (Schilling, 2019). It empowers firms to approach reconnaissance as a cross-functional and transparent process rather than an isolated or opaque initiative (Prescott, 2012).

The literature reveals that while intelligence capabilities have grown, their strategic use remains underdeveloped or ethically ambiguous in many organizations (Dalkir, 2017; Wright et al., 2002). Many companies either lack the governance to use intelligence responsibly or struggle to convert insights into impactful actions (Kiron et al., 2014). This paper contributes to the field by bridging theory and practice, connecting military reconnaissance principles to business challenges, and offering a structured, replicable model for ethical execution (Calof & Wright, 2008). It

also highlights the importance of embedding intelligence within broader organizational values, aligning innovation with accountability and resilience (Porter & Kramer, 2011).

Organizations that embed business reconnaissance into their core strategy processes guided by structured models like Solution Engineering will be better positioned to lead in an era of continuous disruption (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2016). Future research should explore real-world applications of this approach across sectors, assess its role in innovation cycles, and develop metrics to quantify intelligence-driven outcomes (Tambe et al., 2019). In doing so, scholars and practitioners can shape a more agile, ethically grounded, and intelligence-informed strategic management model. As disruption becomes the norm, businesses that master the art of disciplined reconnaissance will gain the foresight and flexibility needed to thrive (Teece et al., 2016).

REFERENCES

Bellaby, R. (2021). *Intelligence and the just war: The need for a flexible ethical framework*. Routledge. DOI: 10.4324/9781003164197-3.

Bennett, N., & Lemoine, G.J. (2014). *What VUCA really means for you*. Harvard Business Review Press.

Bose, R. (2008). Competitive intelligence process and tools for intelligence analysis. *Industrial Management & Data Systems, 108*(4), 510-528. DOI:10.1108/02635570810868362.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2016). *The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Calof, J., & Wright, S. (2008). Competitive intelligence: A practitioner, academic and inter-disciplinary perspective. *European Journal of Marketing, 42*(7/8), 717-730. DOI:10.1108/03090560810877114.

Dalkir, K. (2017). *Knowledge management in theory and practice* (3rd ed.). MIT Press.

Davenport, T.H., & Harris, J.G. (2007). *Competing on analytics: The new science of winning* (1st ed.) Harvard Business Review Press.

Fleisher, C.S., & Bensoussan, B.E. (2015). *Business and competitive analysis: Effective application of new and classic methods* (2nd ed.). FT Press.

Fleisher, C.S., & Blenkhorn, D.L. (2000). *Managing frontiers in competitive intelligence*. Praeger.

Gandomi, A., & Haider, M. (2015). Beyond the hype: Big data concepts, methods, and analytics. *International Journal of Information Management, 35*(2), 137-144. DOI:10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2014.10.007

Gilson, L.L., & Goldberg, C.B. (2015). Editors' comment: So, what is a conceptual paper? *Group & Organization Management, 40*(2), 127-130. DOI:10.1177/1059601115576425.

Gompert, D.C., Lanchow, I., Perkins, J., Wells II, L., & Smith, R.C. (2012). *Battle-wise: Seeking time-information superiority in networked warfare*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

Kiron, D., Prentice, P.K., & Ferguson, R.B. (2014). *The analytics mandate.* MIT Sloan Management Review.

Kiron, D., & Shockley, R. (2011). *Creating business value with analytics*. MIT Sloan Management Review.

Makowicz, B. (2023). *Compliance and Integrity as Core Elements of Governance in the Educational Sector in the Digital Age. In: Hauser, C., Amann, W. (eds) The Future of Responsible Management Education. Humanism in Business Series*. Palgrave Macmillan, Cham. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-15632-8_9.

Nickols, F. (2020). *Thirteen problem-solving models.* Distance Learning, LLC.

Porter, M.E., & Kramer, M.R. (2011). Creating shared value. *Harvard Business Review, 89*(1/2), 62-77.

Prescott, J.E. (2012). The evolution of competitive intelligence: Designing a process for action. *Journal of Sustainable Competitive Intelligence, 2*(2). Available at: https://doi.org/10.24883/IberoamericanIC.v2i2.45.

Schilling, M.A. (2019). *Strategic management of technological innovation* (6th ed.). McGraw-Hill Education.

Sheffi, Y. (2005). *The resilient enterprise: Overcoming vulnerability for competitive advantage.* MIT Press.

Tambe, P., Cappelli, P., & Yakubovich, V. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in human resources management: Challenges and a path forward.* SSRN Electronic Journal. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.3263878.

Teece, D.J., Peteraf, M., & Leih, S. (2016). *Dynamic capabilities and organizational agility: Risk, uncertainty, and strategy in the innovation economy.* California Management Review. DOI:10.2139/ssrn.2771245.

Watson, M.D., & Mesmer, B.L., & Farrington, P.A. (2020) *Engineering elegant systems: Theory of systems engineering.* National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Available at: https://www.nasa.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/09/nasa_tp_20205003644_interactive2.pdf.

Wong, R.Y., Chong, A., & Aspegren, R.C. (2023). Privacy legislation as business risks: How GDPR and CCPA are represented in Technology companies' investment risk disclosures. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction, 7*(82), 1-26. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1145/357951.

Wright, S., Pickton, D., & Callow, J. (2002). Competitive intelligence in UK firms: A typology. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning, 20*(6), 349-360.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power*. Public Affairs.