sciendo

Land Forces Academy Review
Vol. XXIX, No. 2(114), 2024

ENHANCING FINANCIAL SECURITY IN THE DIGITAL AGE: MITIGATING RISKS OF VIRTUAL CURRENCIES THROUGH REGULATION AND INSTITUTIONAL MECHANISMS

Teodor FRUNZETI
"Carol I" National Defence University, Bucharest, Romania
tfunzeti@gmail.com

Alexandru-Cosmin DUMITRU
"Carol I" National Defence University, Bucharest, Romania
a.co.dumitru@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The swift rise of virtual currencies (VCs) as financial instruments has raised concerns about their ability to increase risks in the global financial system, especially given the current geopolitical instability. This article examines the connection between virtual currencies and financial security, highlighting the importance of strong economic and financial institutions and mechanisms to ensure the reliability of digital assets. We investigate through an extensive literature analysis the various risks linked to VCs, including market volatility, regulatory inconsistencies, and security vulnerabilities, which are exacerbated by geopolitical conflicts. We then examine how institutions have responded to these concerns, focusing on the proactive actions taken by international organizations and national authorities to reduce possible disruptions. The focus of our paper is the Markets in Cryptoassets (MiCA) law, an innovative legislative framework created by the European Union to standardize VC regulation among member states. We analyse the goals and main provisions of MiCA to evaluate its ability to establish an international benchmark for overseeing virtual currencies, ultimately improving investor safety and market integrity. The paper concludes by discussing the changing role of VCs in the geopolitical sphere and supports flexible and cooperative regulatory strategies to balance the advancement of digital assets with financial security needs.

KEYWORDS: geopolitical risks, financial security, Markets in Crypto-assets (MiCA), regulation, virtual currencies

1. Introduction

This research aims to analyse the role of economic and financial institutions in reducing the risks associated with virtual currencies (VCs), focusing on proposed or implemented mechanisms and regulatory frameworks to enhance their reliability. This study aims to evaluate the

DOI: 10.2478/raft-2024-0014

effectiveness of current efforts in creating a secure and stable digital asset environment amidst the current geopolitical challenges and uncertainties. A particular emphasis is placed on analysing the Markets in Crypto-assets (MiCA) regulation as a potential model for worldwide VC regulation and its impact on financial security in the digital era.

The central research question aims to explore how economic and financial institutions, along with regulatory frameworks like the Markets in Crypto-assets (MiCA) regulation, contribute to reducing risks linked to virtual currencies. It also examines the impact of these measures on enhancing the reliability and security of these financial instruments in the current geopolitical landscape.

Virtual currencies (VCs) have evolved as a revolutionary asset class in the constantly changing global financial landscape, disrupting existing financial systems. Digital assets utilizing blockchain technology provide unique prospects for innovation, efficiency, and financial inclusion (Nakamoto, 2008). Yet, their ability to circumvent traditional banking channels and regulatory frameworks presents a major upheaval, prompting concerns about the future of monetary transactions and the stability of the financial industry (FATF, 2019).

As the proliferation and integration of virtual currencies (VCs) accelerates, risks linked to their use become more noticeable. Investors, regulators, and legislators must address challenges such as volatility, regulatory ambiguity, and vulnerability to cyber threats. The issues are exacerbated by the current geopolitical uncertainty, as venture capitalists can serve as a safe haven for funds during turbulent periods and also be used to circumvent international sanctions or fund illegal operations. It is essential to manage these risks for both financial stability and national and international security (European Central Bank, 2015).

The European Union has responded to the complex dynamics of VCs by enacting the Markets in Crypto-assets (MiCA) regulation. This legislative proposal intends to standardize the regulatory approach to crypto-assets in Europe, establishing precise guidelines for the operation of virtual currency issuers and service providers. MiCA is a significant advancement in establishing a consistent regulatory framework that harmonizes innovation with consumer protection, market integrity, and financial stability (European Commission, 2020). As such, it is expected to have a significant impact on the future of virtual currencies, not only in the EU but also as a potential example for authorities elsewhere.

2. Literature Review

Research in academia and industry has recognized the potential of virtual currencies (VCs) to revolutionize the economic environment. Studies have emphasized the capacity of venture capitalists (VCs) to expedite and reduce the cost of transactions, especially in cross-border payments, by bypassing conventional banking institutions (Catalini & Gans, 2016). VCs have been acknowledged for their contribution to financial inclusion by granting access to financial services for the unbanked population (World Bank, 2020). Yet, the economic impact of VCs raises concerns about their ability to disturb monetary policy and financial stability because of their decentralized character and the challenge for central banks to regulate the money supply and inflation (BIS, 2018).

The literature on VCs consistently points to several key risks. Market volatility is frequently mentioned as a significant concern, as sudden price changes pose risks to investors and hinder the use of virtual currencies as a reliable means of exchange (Böhme et al., 2015). The regulatory challenges arise due to the worldwide and boundary-less characteristics of virtual currencies, making it challenging to enforce laws particular to certain jurisdictions and to achieve international regulatory cooperation

(FATF, 2019). Security concerns develop also due to the irreversible nature of virtual currency transactions, posing considerable risks to users through potential for hacking and fraud (Moore & Christin, 2013).

Studies have investigated the impact of geopolitical tensions on venture capital markets, frequently resulting in increased market volatility. During periods of political turmoil or economic sanctions, people and companies could choose virtual currencies as a substitute for conventional banking systems, resulting in increased utilization and worth of VCs (Glaser et al., 2014). Conversely, geopolitical events that lead to regulatory restrictions on VCs can result in market downturns (Hileman & Rauchs, 2017). VCs' decentralized nature complicates their role in geopolitics, as they have the ability to strengthen as well as undermine state sovereignty (Meiklejohn et al., 2013).

3. Virtual Currencies and Geopolitical Dynamics

Virtual currencies (VCs) are digital representations of value that can be exchanged and used as a form of payment, a standard for measuring value, or a way to keep wealth, but are not considered legal cash in many places. They differ from electronic money, which is a digital form of fiat currency used to transmit value electronically in legal tender (Catalini & Gans, 2016).

VCs can be categorized into several types:

- **Cryptocurrencies** are decentralized digital currencies such as Bitcoin, Ethereum, and Litecoin that utilize cryptographic methods to ensure transaction security and are frequently based on blockchain technology.
- **Stablecoins** are a form of cryptocurrency that aims to reduce price fluctuations by being tied to a reserve asset like fiat cash or gold. Examples consist of Tether (USDT) and USD Coin (USDC).
- **Central Bank Digital Currencies** (CBDCs) are digital currencies issued by a central bank that represent a sovereign currency in digital format. CBDCs are centralized and regulated by the monetary authority of the issuing country, unlike cryptocurrencies.
- **Utility Tokens** are tokens that grant access to a certain product or service within a network and are commonly utilized for fundraising in initial coin offers (ICOs).
- **Security Tokens** are digital tokens that signify ownership of tangible assets and are governed by financial regulations.

VCs function predominantly beyond conventional banking networks and regulatory structures in the global financial system, providing peer-to-peer transactions without intermediaries. This impacts financial policy, monetary control, and the efficacy of capital controls.

Historically, geopolitical events have had substantial impacts on VC markets. Countries under international sanctions, such as Venezuela and Iran, have experienced a rise in the use of venture capital to bypass financial limitations and safeguard assets from currency devaluation. Political instability in countries like Zimbabwe or Ukraine has led citizens to view virtual currencies as a more reliable store of value than their local currency during times of turbulence.

Capital controls have been implemented by nations like China to address capital flight, where residents utilize virtual currencies to transfer money across borders. This has resulted in regulatory crackdowns that affect virtual currency markets worldwide.

VCs can disrupt financial systems by facilitating tax evasion, money laundering, and bypassing capital regulations, leading to the destabilization of national economies, and weakening the effectiveness of official monetary policies.

On the other hand, VCs can assist financial systems during geopolitical crises by enabling the rapid and cost-effective transfer of funds from abroad to individuals in crisis-affected areas. Also, VCs can provide an alternate way to hold value in nations facing hyperinflation or currency devaluation and can also promote financial services to individuals who lack access to conventional banking, especially in areas with inadequate financial infrastructure.

The dual potential of VCs to both challenge and reinforce financial systems emphasizes the complex nature of their impact on the worldwide economy. This necessitates complex regulatory strategies to capitalize on their advantages and minimize their limitations.

4. Identifying and Addressing Virtual Currency Risks

Market risks in virtual currencies stem from their extreme volatility, influenced by speculative trading, market sentiment, regulatory announcements, and technology advancements. VCs experience significant price volatility, which can result in financial losses for investors and hinder their use as a reliable means of exchange.

Operational risks related to virtual currencies involve technology vulnerabilities including hacking, cyberattacks on exchanges and wallets, technical malfunctions, and fraudulent activities. VC transactions are irreversible, making it difficult to correct errors or unlawful transactions, which can result in financial loss.

Systemic concerns involve the broader financial system and include the possibility of virtual currencies enabling criminal actions, like money laundering and terrorism financing, because of their pseudonymous characteristics. The interdependence between VC markets and traditional financial institutions may result in contagion effects if a significant disruption occurs in the VC market.

Geopolitical instability could exacerbate the risks related to virtual currencies. Economic sanctions or political turbulence can cause a rise in demand for VCs as alternative financial systems, contributing to market volatility and operational challenges for VC infrastructure. In these situations, VCs can be used by state actors or individuals to avoid international sanctions, posing difficulties for global governance and compliance endeavours.

Effective risk management strategies, particularly in relation to Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Combating the Financing of Terrorism (CFT), require thorough due diligence to reduce the risks associated with VCs. This involves enacting Know Your Customer (KYC) protocols, monitoring transactions for suspicious activities, and adhering to international standards set by bodies like the Financial Action Task Force (FATF).

International cooperation is crucial for establishing regulatory frameworks and exchanging intelligence to prevent the misuse of virtual currencies due to their borderless nature. Collaborating across borders can assist in aligning regulatory strategies and preventing the misuse of VCs for political purposes.

An additional risk management element is creating precise regulatory frameworks that outline the legal status of virtual currencies, the responsibilities of virtual currency service providers, and safeguards for consumers. All this can decrease market and operational risks. Regulatory oversight guarantees that VC markets function transparently and in compliance with the law.

Utilizing technology solutions like blockchain analytics, multi-signature wallets, and secure key management helps mitigate operational risks related to virtual currencies. These technologies improve the security of VC transactions and safeguard against cyber threats.

We believe it is essential to educate investors and consumers about the hazards and correct usage of VCs to reduce market risks. Public awareness initiatives can assist

individuals in making well-informed choices and decreasing the probability of speculative bubbles and financial losses.

Implementing these methods and best practices can help stakeholders in the VC ecosystem manage the various risks linked to virtual currencies, especially amidst geopolitical volatility, to create a safer and more stable environment for the expansion and acceptance of digital assets.

5. Institutional Responses to Virtual Currency Risks

Financial Action Task Force (FATF): The FATF has been leading efforts to address risks related to virtual currencies, especially with money laundering and terrorism financing. The FATF issued guidelines mandating nations to license or regulate virtual asset service providers (VASPs), such as cryptocurrency exchanges, custodian wallet providers, and issuers of virtual assets. VASPs are required to follow the AML/CFT regulations that are equivalent to those imposed on traditional financial institutions, including doing customer due diligence (CDD), maintaining records, and reporting suspicious activities (FATF, 2019).

International Monetary Fund (IMF): The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has been actively engaged in offering advice on policy, technical support, and conducting research on virtual currencies. The IMF recommends that countries find a balance between promoting innovation and maintaining financial integrity and stability when dealing with virtual currencies. The IMF collaborates with member countries to create regulatory frameworks and supervisory practices for virtual currencies (IMF, 2018).

National Regulatory Trends: Several countries have implemented various regulations for virtual currencies, based on the extent of market growth, investor demand, and perceived risks. A number of governments have established extensive legal structures that acknowledge virtual currency as legal assets and oversee VASP operations. Some have been cautiously advising customers about the dangers of virtual currencies or completely prohibiting their use.

Push for International Regulatory Standards: The cross-border nature of virtual currencies has prompted demand for worldwide regulatory standards to avoid regulatory gaps and guarantee fair competition. The G20 has supported the FATF's proposals and urged the worldwide adoption of its standards. Regulatory efforts are being made to harmonize responses to virtual currencies, with international organizations like the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) also investigating guidelines for banks' engagement with virtual currencies (G20, 2018).

Examples of Successful Regulatory Approaches:

- Japan was among the pioneers in regulating virtual currencies by changing its Payment Services Act to acknowledge them as legal assets and mandating cryptocurrency exchanges to register with the Financial Services Agency (FSA). The regulatory framework includes consumer protection measures, AML/CFT compliance, and cybersecurity standards (Financial Services Agency Japan, 2017).
- European Union's Fifth Anti-Money Laundering Directive (5AMLD) extended AML/CFT controls to virtual currency transactions, requiring EU member states to license or register VASPs. The directive also improved transparency by setting up public registers for companies and trusts and enhancing the powers of EU financial intelligence units (European Parliament, 2018).
- Singapore's Payment Services Act provides a flexible regulatory framework for the payment services industry, including virtual currencies. The Act categorizes virtual currency services as a regulated activity, requiring providers to obtain a license and comply with AML/CFT regulations (Monetary Authority of Singapore, 2019).

Risk mitigation strategies include establishing public-private partnerships, developing technology solutions for monitoring and compliance, and participating in international forums to align on regulatory approaches. Key economic and financial institutions are taking steps to address the complexities and risks of virtual currencies to protect consumers, ensure market integrity, prevent financial crimes, and support innovation and growth in the virtual currency sector.

6. The Markets in Cryptoassets (MiCA) and Its Role in VC Regulation

The Markets in Crypto-assets (MiCA) regulation (European Commission, 2020) is part of the European Union's digital finance strategy, with the overarching goal of to establish a unified regulatory framework for crypto-assets in the EU. Among the main objectives of MiCA we mention the following:

- intends to safeguard consumers and investors against fraud, market manipulation, and other hazards by enforcing transparency and disclosure standards for issuers and service providers of crypto-assets;
- aims to promote innovation in the crypto-asset industry by setting clear guidelines. It creates a legislative framework that encourages the advancement and utilization of cutting-edge financial technology;
- reduce the possible systemic risks that crypto-assets could provide to the financial system, guaranteeing the integrity of the financial market;
- introduces important provisions that will influence the regulation of the VC business;
- categorizes crypto-assets into different groups such as utility tokens, asset-referenced tokens (similar to stablecoins), and e-money tokens, each subject to its own regulatory framework;
- establish regulatory requirements for Crypto-asset service providers, like exchanges and wallet providers, will need to obtain authorization and comply with stringent operational standards to protect investors' funds. This includes requirements concerning governance, conflict of interest, and safeguarding clients' assets;
- impose Consumer Protection measures: MiCA incorporates regulations for consumer protection, including providing transparent and comprehensible information regarding the risks and expenses linked to crypto-assets, and implementing regulations to prevent market manipulation;
- harmonization in the EU: MiCA aims to remove the current inconsistent national legislation in EU member states, making it easier for VC service providers to operate across borders (European Parliament, 2021).

MiCA's debut is anticipated to greatly influence the VC business as it is expected to enhance Legitimacy and Trust. MiCA's establishment of a precise legal structure for crypto-assets has the potential to boost legitimacy and trust within the virtual currency industry, potentially leading to increased mainstream adoption and institutional investment.

Regulatory certainty can encourage enterprises to innovate and invest in the development of cryptocurrency services and infrastructure. MiCA has the potential to become a standard that influences global regulations for crypto-assets. It has the prospective to serve as a benchmark for countries seeking to enhance their regulatory systems for virtual currencies.

Standardizing regulations throughout the EU via MiCA could increase stability in the virtual currency sector, encourage responsible innovation, and improve investor protection. Once the regulation is enforced, it may prompt international collaboration in regulating virtual currencies and digital assets on a worldwide scale (ESMA, 2019).

7. Outlook and Conclusions

The virtual currency ecosystem is on the brink of massive change due to

regulatory frameworks such as Markets in Crypto-assets (MiCA) and other global initiatives. Implementing MiCA is anticipated to usher in a new period of uniformity and stability in the EU's VC sector. This harmonization is expected to provide a favourable climate for both traditional financial institutions and new businesses, leading to improved market expansion and more investor trust.

Internationally, the movement towards regulation is increasing, as countries worldwide acknowledge the necessity of supervision to safeguard consumers and uphold financial stability while promoting the expansion of the digital economy. We may expect a sustained effort to create adaptive regulatory frameworks. The frameworks ought to be adaptable to the fast pace of technological advancements in the VC industry and also strong enough to handle the intricacies of financial security.

In conclusion, the future of VCs will be shaped by the intricate relationship between innovation and regulation. Stakeholders such as regulators, industry participants, and consumers must have continuous discussions to make sure that the regulatory strategies created are suitable and adaptable to the changing characteristics of digital assets. Global cooperation will be crucial in this effort, as virtual currencies operate beyond the boundaries of any specific regulatory authority. The international community may collaborate to establish a regulatory agreement that protects the financial system and utilizes the innovative capabilities of virtual currencies to improve the global economy.

REFERENCES

Bank for International Settlements (BIS). (2018). *Cryptocurrencies: looking beyond the hype*. BIS Annual Economic Report.

Böhme, R., Christin, N., Edelman, B., & Moore, T. (2015). Bitcoin: Economics, Technology, and Governance. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol. 29, Issue 2*, 213-238.

Catalini, C., & Gans, J.S. (2016). *Some Simple Economics of the Blockchain*. MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 5191-16.

European Central Bank. (2015). *Virtual Currency Schemes - A Further Analysis*.

European Commission. (2020). *Digital Finance Strategy for the EU.*

European Commission. (2020). *Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on Markets in Crypto-assets, and amending Directive (EU) 2019/1937*.

European Parliament. (2018). *Directive (EU) 2018/843 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018*.

European Parliament. (2021). *Legislative Train Schedule: Markets in Crypto-assets Regulation (MiCA).*

European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA). (2019). *Advice on Initial Coin Offerings and Crypto-Assets.*

FATF. (2019). *Guidance for a Risk-Based Approach to Virtual Assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers.*

Financial Action Task Force (FATF). (2019). *Guidance for a Risk-Based Approach to Virtual Assets and Virtual Asset Service Providers.*

Financial Services Agency Japan. (2017). *Payment Services Act.*

G20. (2018). *G20 Leaders Declaration*.

Glaser, F., Zimmermann, K., Haferkorn, M., Weber, M.C., & Siering, M. (2014). *Bitcoin - Asset or Currency? Revealing Users' Hidden Intentions*. ECIS.

Hileman, G., & Rauchs, M. (2017). *Global Cryptocurrency Benchmarking Study*. Cambridge Centre for Alternative Finance.

IMF. (2018). *The Bali Fintech Agenda*.

Meiklejohn, S., Pomarole, M., Jordan, G., Levchenko, K., McCoy, D., Voelker, G.M., & Savage, S. (2013). A Fistful of Bitcoins: Characterizing Payments Among Men with No Names. *Proceedings of the 2013 Conference on Internet Measurement Conference (IMC '13).*

Monetary Authority of Singapore. (2019). *Payment Services Act 2019*.

Moore, T., & Christin, N. (2013). Beware the Middleman: Empirical Analysis of Bitcoin-Exchange Risk. In *Financial Cryptography and Data Security*.

Nakamoto, S. (2008). *Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System*. Available at: https://bitcoin.org/bitcoin.pdf.

World Bank. (2020). *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19*. World Bank Group.