

Romanian Journal of English Studies – The Peer Review Process

Any manuscript submitted by email during the designated submission period is first screened by the editorial team (editor-in-chief and associate editors). If the manuscript is a good fit for the journal, is written in intelligible English, follows the ethical norms (plagiarism detection software is used) and the For Authors guidelines (available on the journal's website), one of the editors assigns it, anonymized, to two peer reviewers with relevant expertise (double blind peer review). If the manuscript does not comply with the journal's requirements, it can be rejected at this stage without being further reviewed. A manuscript can also be rejected if the editors are unable to find peer reviewers in the research area(s) of the study.

The peer reviewers fill in a peer review report, where they are invited to make comments for the author(s) and to make a recommendation for the editors. The manuscript can be accepted (with or without minor suggestions), accepted subject to minor revisions, accepted subject to major revisions or rejected. Once the peer review reports are returned, the editors make a decision, inform the authors by email and send them a synthesis of the two peer review reports, accompanied by editorial suggestions.

PEER REVIEW FORM - ROMANIAN JOURNAL OF ENGLISH STUDIES

NAME OF THE REFEREE -

TITLE OF THE ESSAY -

ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	EXCELLENT 5points	VERY GOOD 4 points	GOOD 3 points	FAIR 2 points	POOR 1 point
Degree of originality and interest concerning subject-matter, method, information, conclusions, etc.					
Relevance concerning current research in the field					
Knowledge of previous research on the same issue					
Scientific rigour and depth of analysis					
Accuracy in the use of concepts, methods, and terms					

Relevance of the theoretical implications of the study for future research					
Use of updated bibliography					
Organization of contents and correct use of language					
Clarity, elegance and conciseness of style					
Suitability of the paper in relation to the range of topics of interest for this journal					

TOTAL POINTS:

GENERAL ASSESSMENT (acceptable, unacceptable, acceptable pending revision):

PLEASE, TYPE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:

SIGNATURE OF THE REFEREE

The Editors