EDITORIAL

Clinical Excellence in the Era of Artificial Intelligence

Wijesinghe RANK[1], Jayasekera MMPT[1]

[1]Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, General Sir John Kotelawala Defence University, Sri Lanka

Article Information

Corresponding Author
Prof RANK Wijesinghe
Email: wijesinghe.namal@kdu.ac.lk

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1078-0499

https://doi.org/10.4038/sljms1.v2i2.80

Artificial intelligence (AI) has rapidly transitioned from a conceptual technological advancement to a tangible force shaping modern healthcare. AI applications are now integrated into radiology, pathology, critical care, precision medicine, predictive analytics, clinical documentation, and hospital administration.[1,2] Sophisticated machine learning systems are increasingly capable of identifying diagnostic patterns, processing vast datasets, and generating clinical recommendations with unprecedented speed and scale. We are therefore at an important milestone in the history of medicine.[1,3]

However, the emergence of AI compels us to reconsider a fundamental question: what constitutes clinical excellence in an era when cognitive tasks traditionally associated with physicians can increasingly be performed by machines? Irrespective of technological advancement, clinical excellence must rest upon the enduring foundations of medicine: scientific rigor, ethical integrity, sound clinical judgment, compassion, and professional accountability. Technology may transform the practice of medicine, but it cannot replace the moral and human responsibilities intrinsic to the profession itself.

Historically, medicine has evolved through successive waves of scientific innovation. The invention of the stethoscope, the discovery of antibiotics, the development of medical imaging, organ transplantation, molecular diagnostics, and genomic medicine each fundamentally altered clinical practice. However, the physician remained central because they possessed the capacity to synthesise knowledge, exercise judgment under uncertainty, and care for patients as human beings.

One of the greatest misconceptions surrounding AI is the assumption that medicine is fundamentally an information-processing exercise. While data analysis is of paramount importance, clinical medicine can never be reduced to algorithms alone. The practice of medicine requires contextual interpretation. It requires understanding the patient not simply as a biological system, but as an individual shaped by social, cultural, psychological, and economic realities.

Cite this article as: Wijesinghe RANK, *et al.* Clinical Excellence in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. SLJMS 2025; 2(2): 55-56

A machine-learning model may identify a statistical probability of disease. However, it cannot fully appreciate the complexities of human suffering, personal values, family dynamics, or the ethical dimensions that influence clinical decision-making. Clinical excellence, therefore, requires far more than technical accuracy. It requires wisdom and humanness.

At the same time, it would be intellectually irresponsible to underestimate the transformative potential of AI. Properly implemented, AI offers extraordinary opportunities to improve healthcare delivery.

AI systems can enhance diagnostic precision, reduce human error, facilitate early detection of disease, optimise resource allocation, and support evidence-based decision-making.[1,3,4] In resource-limited settings, AI may also help mitigate shortages of trained healthcare personnel and improve access to specialist expertise.[5]

AI systems are fundamentally dependent upon the quality and diversity of the datasets on which they are trained. Algorithms developed primarily on data from specific populations may exhibit reduced validity when applied to other ethnic, demographic, or socioeconomic groups.[6,7] This issue is particularly relevant to developing nations like ours.

When an AI-assisted clinical decision results in harm, where does responsibility reside? The ethical responsibility for patient care ultimately remains with the clinician. AI may function as a powerful decision-support tool, but it cannot assume moral accountability. The physician, therefore, remains indispensable as the final arbiter of clinical judgment.

The integration of AI into healthcare requires careful attention to patient trust and professional ethics. Patients entrust physicians not only with confidential information, but with matters of profound personal vulnerability. Accordingly, issues relating to data privacy, cybersecurity, informed consent, and transparency are not peripheral concerns; they are central to ethical clinical practice.[8,9] Patients must have confidence that technological innovation serves their interests while preserving their autonomy, dignity, and confidentiality.

The AI era necessitates a re-examination of medical education and professional development. Traditional training models may no longer be sufficient in an environment characterised by rapid technological evolution. Future physicians will require not only strong clinical foundations but also digital literacy, familiarity with data science principles, and the capacity to engage critically with emerging technologies.[10,11] Medical education must also continue to cultivate the humanistic dimensions of practice – communication, empathy, professionalism, ethical reasoning, and reflective judgment. No algorithm can replace the reassurance conveyed through compassion, the trust established through communication, or the empathy demonstrated during moments of suffering and uncertainty. Medicine must continue to recognise the patient as a person rather than simply a dataset.

The future of medicine should not be characterised as a competition between artificial intelligence and human intelligence. Instead, it should be viewed as an opportunity to create a model of healthcare in which technological capability is strengthened by human judgment, ethical responsibility, and compassion. Artificial intelligence may augment clinical practice, but clinical excellence will continue to depend upon the character, integrity, wisdom, and humanity of the physician.

References

1. World Health Organization. Ethics and governance of artificial intelligence for health. Geneva: WHO; 2021.
2. The Lancet Digital Health. Topol EJ. High-performance medicine: the convergence of human and artificial intelligence. *Lancet* 2019; **394**(10200): 44-56.
3. Esteva A, Robicquet A, Ramsundar B, et al. A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nat Med.* 2019; **25**(1): 24-9.
4. Rajpurkar P, Chen E, Banerjee O, Topol EJ. AI in health and medicine. *Nat Med.* 2022; **28**: 31-8.
5. Wahl B, Cossy-Gantner A, Germann S, Schwalbe NR. Artificial intelligence (AI) and global health: how can AI contribute to health in resource-poor settings? *BMJ Glob Health.* 2018; **3**: e000798.
6. Obermeyer Z, Powers B, Vogeli C, Mullainathan S. Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science* 2019; **366**(6464): 447-53.
7. Parikh RB, Teeple S, Navathe AS. Addressing bias in artificial intelligence in health care. *JAMA.* 2019; **322**(24): 2377-78.
8. World Medical Association. WMA Statement on Augmented Intelligence in Medical Care. 2019.
9. European Commission High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence. Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI. Brussels: European Commission; 2019.
10. Wartman SA, Combs CD. Medical education must move from the information age to the age of artificial intelligence. *Acad Med.* 2018; **93**(8): 1107-09.
11. Masters K. Artificial intelligence in medical education. *Med Teach.* 2019; **41**(9): 976-80.