



From Balance to Harmony: Reframing the Work–Life Interface for Healthier People and High-Performing Organizations, with Lessons for Sri Lanka

Jayawardhana R^{1*}, Karunarathne P²

*rejie2007@gmail.com

¹Post Graduate Institute of Medicine, University of Colombo, ²Hemas Hospital, Wattala

Introduction

The traditional concept of "balancing" two separate spheres of work and life no longer captures the reality of modern healthcare delivery, where flexible schedules, digital connectivity, and diverse life-stage demands intersect. A more relevant question is whether the overall pattern of work and life feels coherent and sustainable—whether it enables recovery, focus, and meaning—rather than whether hours are perfectly divided each day. Meta-analysis evidence demonstrates that extended off-hours availability undermines individual recovery and well-being, while organizational studies link well-crafted work–life arrangements to stronger recruitment, attendance, retention, and motivation, particularly when leaders model healthy boundaries and prioritize outcomes over hours worked. In Sri Lanka's health sector, pandemic and economic pressures have intensified workload and administrative demands. Local research documents high burnout and psychological strain, making harmony-driven policy design a priority for quality care, patient safety, and workforce sustainability [1–4].

Core Concepts in Work-Life Research

Balance emphasizes separating work and personal life to protect recovery time and attention. However, this approach often feels like a zero-sum compromise and proves difficult to sustain in knowledge-intensive or shift-volatile settings such as healthcare.

Integration blends work and personal roles to capture convenience and synergy, which can enhance engagement when employees have high autonomy. Without clear norms, however, integration easily becomes boundary erosion and constant availability.

Harmony reframes success as healthy rhythms and alignment over time. The goal is not equal hours, but an energizing pattern that adjusts to fluctuating workloads and life demands across different stages.

The work–life interface operates bidirectionally: work can either drain or enrich home life, and home can similarly affect work. Effective design amplifies positive transfers (skills, support, meaning) while limiting negative ones (overload, work-related worry at home).

Boundary management involves the daily practice of setting and maintaining limits around time (when one is available), space (where work occurs), and technology (how reachable one is). Outcomes improve when individuals' preferred boundary style—whether keeping roles separate or blending them—aligns with what workplace and home circumstances allow [1,2,5,6].

Theoretical Foundations That Guide Practice

Role-strain perspectives explain how multiple high demands deplete finite time and energy, creating conflict unless work demands and availability are bounded and buffered by adequate recovery and resources.

Role-expansion perspectives demonstrate how multiple roles can enrich one another through skill development, confidence, and social capital when demands remain reasonable and supports are available.

Boundary and border theories position transitions as critical intervention points: clear on-off cues, structured handover rituals, and shared protocols around after-hours communication function as health and safety measures, not merely etiquette.

Conservation of resources theory conceptualizes workplace supports such as flexible scheduling, leave policies, adequate staffing levels, and supervisor support as tangible resources that serve dual protective and developmental functions—buffering employees against resource depletion while facilitating resource accumulation. This framework explains why well-designed policies may fail when organizational stigma suppresses their use or when workload demands remain unchanged despite policy implementation.

Signalling theory clarifies why employees avoid using available benefits. When workers fear that using flexible work options or taking leave signals reduced commitment, they either refuse these benefits or overcompensate by remaining

continuously available. Either response negates the intended protective effects [1,2,5,7].

Contemporary Evidence on Work-Life Harmony

A meta-analysis demonstrated that extended availability—remaining reachable outside standard work hours—predicts diminished recovery, reduced well-being, and poorer work-life balance, even when certain performance metrics show improvement. These negative effects are moderated by individual segmentation preferences, suggesting that interventions such as designated "quiet hours" and emergency-only contact protocols may be particularly beneficial. A separate meta-analysis found that work-life arrangements improve organizational performance by enhancing recruitment, attendance, retention, and employee motivation. However, these effects vary by industry sector and employee level, highlighting that the quality of policy design and implementation is critical. Reviews of remote and hybrid work during the COVID-19 pandemic revealed that outcomes depend on workload intensity, employee autonomy, household responsibilities, and technology-use expectations. These findings indicate that the ability to control work-life boundaries—rather than physical work location—is the key determinant of both work-life balance and productivity [5–7].

Systematic and scoping reviews document a conceptual shift from "balance" toward "blending" and harmony, calling for clearer constructs, improved measurement tools, and context-sensitive designs across regions and job types [2–5].

Technology's Double-Edged Role

Smartphones, messaging applications, and collaboration platforms enable flexibility, hybrid staffing models, and asynchronous teamwork. However, these same tools invite constant availability when organizational norms are vague and escalation pathways unclear. Teams that specify response windows, define emergency criteria and escalation protocols, and route non-urgent issues to scheduled blocks protect recovery time without compromising safety or service quality. In practice, technology amplifies existing organizational culture: in trust-based, output-focused environments, digital tools support harmony; in presenteeist cultures, they intensify conflict and fatigue [1,3,6,7].

Culture, Leadership, and Policy Implementation

Organizational culture acts as a multiplier: when trust, psychological safety, and norms that

prioritize outcomes over hours worked are present, policies translate into genuine boundary control for healthcare workers—otherwise, they remain unfulfilled promises on paper. In the Sri Lankan health sector, ward managers and clinical leaders shape daily realities through the working hours they model, their use of after-hours communication channels, and their responses to reasonable boundary requests. Importantly, these leadership behaviors can be developed through training and predict policy success. Comprehensive policy bundles—including predictable duty rosters, protected leave, accessible mental health support, and expectations around disconnection from work—are effective when integrated into concrete clinical workflows with clear protocols and accompanied by workload redistribution. It is through this systematic implementation that the performance benefits documented in meta-analyses can be realized in practice [1,4,7].

Equity and Contextual Moderators

Caregiving responsibilities and gender norms continue to shape who manages after-hours work demands and who can effectively use flexible arrangements. Equitable policy design, therefore requires predictable shift schedules, ensuring that using flexibility or leave does not disadvantage career progression, and providing targeted support for women in mid-career stages. Generational differences also matter: younger healthcare workers prioritize autonomy, well-being, and meaningful work, and are more likely to leave organizations that fail to modernize boundary management practices. This makes work-life harmony not just a wellness issue but a talent retention strategy. Job characteristics play a decisive role: high-autonomy positions such as administrative or research roles may accommodate some work-life integration, whereas shift-based, location-dependent roles—which characterize most hospital services in Sri Lanka—require stronger work-life segmentation, comprehensive handover protocols, and adequate staffing levels to protect recovery time between shifts [2,4,6].

The Sri Lankan Context: Signals, Gaps, and Opportunities

Research conducted in Sri Lanka documents significant burnout and psychological distress among postgraduate trainees and intern medical officers, with measurable effects on self-reported patient care quality and personal health behaviors. These findings indicate system-level failures in boundary management and workload distribution rather than individual resilience deficiencies. Cross-sectional studies from COVID-19 units in Anuradhapura identified high burnout rates, with early-career status and excessive workload emerging as key risk factors—both of which can be addressed through organizational interventions.

Beyond healthcare settings, studies of military and prison staff demonstrate that burnout compromises operational safety and organizational commitment, suggesting that boundary-aware policy design has relevance across diverse workforce sectors.

Sri Lanka's research capacity in this area has strengthened through the adoption and local validation of standardized instruments such as the Maslach Burnout Inventory-General Survey (MBI-GS). Incorporating these alongside measures of boundary control, work-life conflict, and work-life enrichment into regular organizational audits would enable targeted interventions and longitudinal tracking of improvements. Recent evidence from factory workers shows that work-life strain and burnout contribute to disengagement behaviors, strengthening the economic rationale for predictable scheduling and supervisor training programs across manufacturing and service sectors as the economy recovers [8–14].

A Harmony-First Framework for Healthcare Organizations

A practical approach involves planning for harmony—healthy, values-aligned rhythms—while maximizing genuine boundary control within job constraints. Implementation should begin by making availability expectations explicit (standard response windows, emergency-only exceptions, clear escalation pathways), then aligning coverage and handovers to ensure these expectations are realistic, and coaching supervisors to model and enforce them consistently [1,3–5].

Four Implementation Levers:

1. **Boundary architecture:** Define time windows, communication channels, and escalation rules; route routine issues to scheduled blocks; implement protected handovers that end shifts cleanly [3,7].
2. **Workload realism:** Cap continuous duty hours; protect post-call recovery periods; use float pools and cross-coverage to absorb workload volatility; reduce administrative burden through standardized orders and team-based workflows [4,7].
3. **Leadership behaviors:** Train managers to grant autonomy, clarify priorities, and model disconnection and recovery; ensure that boundary-supportive use of leave and flexibility remains career-neutral [1,4].

4. **Measurement and learning:** Track perceived boundary control, work-life conflict and enrichment, burnout, retention, sickness absence, and quality indicators; iterate and scale interventions that demonstrably improve both people and performance [4,14].

Action Steps for Sri Lanka's Health Sector

Scheduling and staffing should prioritize predictable rosters, caps on continuous duty hours, protected post-call time, and reliable cross-coverage to reduce chronic strain and risk for frontline clinicians [9–11].

Boundary norms should be formalized and practiced—quiet hours, emergency criteria, and shift-end cutoffs—with institutional monitoring to ensure protected leave is honored across public and private facilities.

Supervisor capability building can be delivered through short, scenario-based training for consultants and middle managers focusing on autonomy-granting, prioritization under pressure, and boundary modeling during surges and shortages.

Support services should expand confidential counseling, peer forums, and coaching with targeted outreach to interns, registrars, and early-career trainees, with attention to uptake barriers, stigma reduction, and outcome monitoring.

Policy pilots—flexible clinic scheduling where feasible, compressed work weeks in suitable services, and administrative offloading through scribes and standardized order sets—can reduce after-hours backlog. Each pilot should include clear boundary rules and pre-post measurement.

Evaluation frameworks should pair locally validated burnout instruments with boundary-control, availability, and workload indicators. Sharing results across hospitals can build a Sri Lanka-specific implementation playbook for scale-up [1,3,4,6,7,11,12,16,17].

Future Directions

Test interventions at scale: Conduct multi-site, longitudinal pilots of roster redesign, right-to-disconnect protocols, and supervisor coaching; track staff health, turnover, and patient safety outcomes; embed these evaluations into existing quality and safety programs to ensure institutional learning.

Design for equity from the outset: Assess how policy changes affect women and caregivers; pilot predictable shifts, childcare partnerships, and flexibility options that do not compromise career progression, tailored to local workload patterns and cultural norms.

Enhance measurement capacity: Combine brief staff surveys on work boundaries with objective metrics such as duty hours and rotation predictability; establish simple triage protocols to protect off-hours time without compromising clinical safety.

Collectively, these steps provide a feasible pathway to test, equitably implement, and reliably track a harmony-first approach across Sri Lankan hospitals and healthcare services [9–11].

Conclusion

Planning for harmony—rather than merely "balance"—requires designing work itself to protect recovery, focus, and belonging while delivering reliable service and safe patient care. The evidence is consistent: clear boundaries and genuine control reduce conflict and fatigue, while thoughtful rostering, supportive leadership, and continuous measurement improve both staff well-being and organizational performance [3–5].

Sri Lanka's own research makes the case both urgent and concrete. A roadmap exists to be tested and scaled—unit by unit, quarter by quarter—across hospitals and beyond [8–11].

References

- Vaziri H, Casper WJ, Wayne JH, Matthews RA. A process-oriented, multilevel, multidimensional conceptual framework of work–life balance support: A multidisciplinary systematic literature review and future research agenda. *Int J Manag Rev*. 2021;23(2):188–211.
- Li J, Sun W, Gong Y, Wang S. Seeing the forest and the trees: A scoping review of empirical research on work–life balance. *Sustainability*. 2023;15(4):2875.
- Van Laethem N, van Vianen AEM, Derks D. The association of work-related extended availability with recuperation, well-being, life domain balance and work: A meta-analysis. *Work Stress*. 2022;36(4):360–82.
- Tanpipat W, Lim A, Anyim P. How is work–life balance arrangement associated with organisational performance? A meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(12):4446.
- Wibowo A, Rachmawati R, Rachmawati IK. Antecedents and outcomes of work–life balance while working from home: A review of the research conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Psychol*. 2022;13:955408.
- Syrek C, Kühnel J, Vahle-Hinz T. The concept of "Work-Life-Blending": a systematic review. *Front Psychol*. 2023;14:1150707.
- Salazar M, Gomez-Leal R, Martino S. Factors associated with work–life balance and productivity before and during work from home. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(18):10023.
- Panagioti M, Geraghty K, Johnson J, et al. Association between physician burnout and patient safety, professionalism, and patient satisfaction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2018;178(10):1317–31.
- Chandrakumara B, Jayasekara R, Jayasekara A. Burnout among postgraduate doctors in Colombo: prevalence, associated factors and association with self-reported patient care. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2019;19:842.
- Kulatunga P, Samarasekara K, Rajapakse S. Health-related attitudes, behaviours and burnout in intern medical officers and their effects on self-reported patient care in a developing country. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2022;191(1):303–12.
- Karunaratne P, Wijesinghe M, Jayasinghe S. Prevalence and associated factors of burnout among doctors working in COVID-19 units in Anuradhapura district, Sri Lanka: a descriptive cross-sectional study. *Sri Lanka J Psychiatry*. 2024;15(2):e12–e20.
- Malaviarachchi N, Silva S, Jayasekara C, et al. Prevalence and distribution of known associated factors of burnout among military personnel of Sri Lanka Army: a descriptive cross-sectional study. *Mil Med*. 2023;188(11–12):e3583–e3591.
- Jayawardana P, Hettiarachchi S, Wijeratne WT. Does burnout lead to poor organisational commitment among prison officers in Sri Lanka? *Indian J Occup Environ Med*. 2023;27(3):139–45.
- Ranasinghe K, Jayasekara R, Jayasekara A, et al. Prevalence of burnout among doctors in the National Hospital of Sri Lanka. *J Coll Community Physicians Sri Lanka*. 2019;25(1):24–30.
- Perera H, Galgamuwa M, Ranaweera M, et al. Psychological experiences of healthcare professionals in Sri Lanka during COVID-19. *BMC Psychol*. 2021;9:48.
- Liyanage G, Rajapakshe DPRW, et al. Psychological distress and sleep quality among Sri Lankan medical students during an economic crisis. *PLoS One*. 2024;19(6):e0304338.
- West CP, Dyrbye LN, Shanafelt TD. Associations of physician burnout with career engagement and quality of patient care: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2022;378:e070442.
- Johnson J, Louch G, Dunning A, et al. Emotional exhaustion and workload predict clinician-rated and objective patient safety. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2017;26(8):612–25.
- Jayasinghe L, Perera T, Fernando S. Impact of work–life balance and job burnout on quiet quitting with the mediating role of job satisfaction of factory employees in a battery manufacturing company in Sri Lanka. *Sri Lanka J Manag Stud*. 2025;10(1):45–58.
- Sexton JB, Adair KC, Bae J, et al. The associations between work–life balance behaviours, teamwork climate and safety climate: cross-sectional survey introducing the work–life climate scale. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2016;26(5):347–55.

21. Schwartz SP, Adair KC, Bae J, et al. Work-life balance behaviours cluster in work settings and relate to burnout and safety culture: a cross-sectional survey analysis. *BMJ Qual Saf.* 2019;28(3):142–50.
22. Zeng X, Peng X, Wu L, et al. Measurement of work-life balance: a scoping review with a focus on the health sector. *J Nurs Manag.* 2023;31(6):1197–1210.
23. Bernstrøm VH, Alves DE. Healthy working time arrangements for healthcare personnel and patients: a systematic literature review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2019;19:193.