

Pathogenesis of leishmaniasis

H P P Weerasinghe¹

Sri Lanka Journal of Dermatology, 2011, 15, 14-15

General aspects

There are striking similarities in the immune responses generated against *Leishmania* and *Mycobacterium leprae* organisms. This is to be expected since both are obligate intracellular single cell organisms. In both, organisms are able to avoid immune surveillance and destruction. The persistent antigenic stimulation, as a result of persistence of the organisms leads to the establishment of cell mediated response characterized by granulomatous inflammation.

Inoculation of promastigotes initiates a chain of immunological responses which will ultimately determine the clinical presentation be it self-healing, persistence, and dissemination.

Innate immune responses

At the time of inoculation pattern recognition receptors activate a number of innate immune responses. This includes both secretory soluble molecules (complement) signaling (toll like receptors) and accumulation of innate immune cells. The latter includes neutrophils, monocytes macrophages and natural killer cells. As the organisms continue to enter the macrophages very quickly, they manage to avoid the innate immune responses.

Adaptive immune responses

The phagocytic cells that have engulfed the organisms manage to destroy some of the organisms and generate specific leishmania antigens, which when presented to T helper cells generate a more organized and focused response aimed at controlling and destroying the organisms. This is a specific cell mediated immune response. The activated CD4 cells, macrophages along with the cytokine milieu generated determine the ultimate outcome.

Generation of Th1 response and their cytokines (IFN γ , TNF α) is associated with self-healing disease. IL-12 play a key role in generating a

robust Th1 response. A Th2 response with opposing Th1 action will be associated with non-healing and dissemination.

At the same time, macrophages and chemokines like monocyte chemo-attractant protein (MCP-1), monokine induced by interferon gamma (MIG), IFN γ inducible protein 10 (IP 10) and small amounts of macrophage inflammatory protein 1a (MIP 1a) invade sites of the infection in the dermis. MCP-1 expression is associated with self-healing cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL). MCP-1 act synergistically with IFN γ to enhance macrophage clearing of the *Leishmania* parasites. IL4 on the other hand has an opposing effect on MCP-1, allowing the *Leishmania* parasites to survive.

IFN γ induced macrophage activation further leads to two important downstream effects

1. Further enhancement of Th1 responses by way of IL 12
2. Killing of intracellular *Leishmania* parasites

1. The Th1 response

The role of a strong Th1 response as a protective immune response against *Leishmania* in CL is well established. Th1 cytokines mentioned above act synergistically with other cytokines (eg: IL-18, IL-23) is to induce Th1 cell differentiation and proliferation leading to clonal expansion of Th1 cells which in turn secrete IFN γ thus completing a positive feedback loop. Thus a strong Th1 response leads to resolution of infection.

2. Killing of intracellular parasites

This is achieved by two different mechanisms.

- a) An IFN γ generated by above mentioned mechanisms generate signals which are able to regulate superoxide (O $_2$) and nitric oxide (NO) production and parasite killing by the macrophages. NO is believed to be directly cytotoxic in *Leishmania* parasites. The same

¹Consultant Dermatologist, District General Hospital, Marawila, Sri Lanka.

mechanism is used by NK cells in the early stages of innate response in a weaker manner. Thus NO pathway appears to be the common mechanism of killing intracellular forms of *Leishmania* parasites.

b) Fas/FasL interaction

Activated Th1 cells induce apoptotic cell death in target cells expressing fas protein. IFN γ promotes fas expression on membrane surface of macrophage infected with *Leishmania*, making them susceptible in CD₄ cell induced apoptotic cell death.

This mechanism helps to limit the number of macrophages available for the promastigotes to replicate.

Non healing cutaneous leishmaniasis

The Th1/Th2 paradigm has drastically improved our understanding of adaptive immune responses involved in intracellular infections like *Leishmaniasis*. It can be argued that the Th1 response mentioned above if inadequate will allow persistence of the organisms and non-healing disease.

Immune mechanisms involved in persistent infections are mainly available in relation to *L. major*. It is clear that Th2 responses including IL-4 is associated with progressive disease. The disease progression is further promoted by IL-10 by suppressing the production of IL-12. IL-12 as mentioned earlier is the main driving force in the generation of a strong Th1 response and a weakened

response is naturally associated with amastigote proliferation and persistence of the infection. TGF β produced by T regulatory cells are also known to be suppression of protective mechanisms.

Paradox of Th1 and Th2 in visceral leishmaniasis (VL)

The dichotomy of Th1 and Th2 responses induced by *Leishmania* parasites is even less clear in VL. It is generally accepted that disease progression in VL is due to failure of the Th1 responses than due to an exaggerated Th2 response.

In patients with active VL the cytokine profile is not clearly polarized and both Th1 and Th2 cells appear to proliferate. In symptomatic patients the Th1 cytokines production is not suppressed but there is unresponsiveness of the effector cells. It is believed that high production of IL-10 contribute to this.

Recently more attention has been paid to the role played by IL-15 in VL. It is known that IL-15 has a suppressive role on Th2 responses though it has no direct stimulatory effect on Th1 cells. Thus the protective role of IL-15 emanate from an indirect effect on Th1 responses (weak Th2 responses enhance Th1 responses). IL15 by inducing the production of IL-12, also promotes anti-leishmaniasis activity of the Th1 responses.

Thus it can be summarized that healing is associated with secretion of IL-12 whereas IL-10 and not IL-4, with its negative effect on Th1 responses that leads to a fatal outcome.