



On Stewardship, Innovation, and the Quiet Architecture of Justice

LUIS MARÍA PALMA 

EDITORIAL



It is both a privilege and a responsibility to present this new issue of the International Journal for Court Administration at a moment when courts across the world find themselves navigating conditions of uncommon complexity. The administration of justice – so often the quiet architecture beneath the visible edifice of adjudication – has become an object of renewed scrutiny, expectation, and transformation. This issue brings together contributions that, each in its own way, illuminate how courts respond when tradition, necessity, and innovation converge.

Several of the articles collected here speak with eloquence to the theme of institutional resilience.

The analysis of the territorial jurisdiction of Ukrainian courts under conditions of martial law stands as a sober and dignified reminder that court administration is not merely a technical endeavour, but a constitutional duty. Even amid armed conflict and profound disruption, maintaining judicial continuity emerges as a moral and legal imperative. The contribution demonstrates how adaptative governance, carefully calibrated legislative intervention, and administrative ingenuity can preserve access to justice without eroding the foundations of judicial independence.

Alongside resilience, this issue gives sustained attention to the measured integration of technology into court systems. The professional article addressing technology-enabled access to justice in developing jurisdictions offers a thoughtful and restrained account of both promise and peril. It rightly cautions against the assumption that digitalization, of itself, guarantees fairness or efficiency. Rather, it situates ICT as an enabling instrument – one whose success depends upon institutional maturity, social context, and sustained human investment. In a similar vein, the empirical study of case management systems in Brazilian courts underscores a lesson long familiar to seasoned court administrators: technology amplifies organisational virtues and vices alike, and must therefore be accompanied by cultural change, judicial engagement, and professional training.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: **Prof. Dr. Luis María Palma**

Executive Journal Editor,
International Association
for Court Administration
(IACA), Chair of the
Advisory Council/
Immediate Past President,
Argentina

luismariapalma@gmail.com

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Luis María Palma, 'On
Stewardship, Innovation,
and the Quiet Architecture
of Justice' (2026) 16(3)
*International Journal for
Court Administration* 1. DOI:
[https://doi.org/10.36745/
ijca.786](https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.786)

Artificial intelligence, now firmly established as a subject of global judicial debate, is treated in this issue with commendable sobriety. The article examining AI applications in Brazilian courts advances the discussion beyond abstraction, proposing a framework attentive to effectiveness, autonomy, and institutional trust. Particularly reassuring is the finding that AI presently serves as an instrument of assistance rather than substitution – supporting judicial reasoning while preserving the human responsibility at the heart of adjudication. This contribution reinforces the necessity of ethical governance, transparency, and – above all – judicial education, as courts engage with increasingly sophisticated technological tools.

The human dimension of court administration is further explored in the examination of law clerks within Dutch appellate courts. Here, the authors navigate with care the delicate balance between efficiency and independence, between collegial support and individual judicial responsibility. Their analysis reminds us that administrative refinement must never obscure the personal accountability of the judge, nor the collective ethos upon which judicial legitimacy ultimately rest.

This issue is further enriched by perspectives drawn from diverse legal traditions and regions.

The study of videoconferencing in Indian courts during the COVID-19 pandemic illustrates how necessity may act as a catalyst for long-overdue reform, particularly when judicial leadership approaches innovation with prudence and inclusivity.

Likewise, the book review addressing the protection of vulnerable witnesses before international and hybrid tribunals situates court administration within the broader moral economy of international justice, where procedural design directly affects human dignity and public confidence.

Taken as a whole, the contributions assembled in this issue converge upon a shared understanding: the future of court administration will be shaped not by technology alone, but by stewardship. Stewardship that is international in outlook, grounded in comparative learning, and attentive to the quiet disciplines of training, ethics, and institutional dialogue. Strengthening cooperation across jurisdictions – through ICT, AI, and sustained judicial education – has become not just desirable and useful, but indispensable.

In continuing to provide a forum for such reflective and empirically grounded scholarship, the *International Journal for Court Administration* reaffirms its vocation one more time: to support judicial systems and court administrators in the thoughtful discharge of their public trust, and to contribute, in its own modest way, to the enduring pursuit of justice administered with independence, competence, and grace.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author has no competing interests to declare.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

Prof. Dr. Luis María Palma  orcid.org/0000-0002-4862-0642

Executive Journal Editor, International Association for Court Administration (IACA), Chair of the Advisory Council/Immediate Past President, Argentina

TO CITE THIS ARTICLE:

Luis María Palma, 'On Stewardship, Innovation, and the Quiet Architecture of Justice' (2026) 16(3) *International Journal for Court Administration*
1. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36745/ijca.786>

Published: 05 February 2026

COPYRIGHT:

© 2026 The Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

International Journal for Court Administration is a peer-reviewed open access journal published by International Association for Court Administration.

