

Set of Points on Elliptic Curve in Projective Coordinates¹

Yuichi Futa
 Shinshu University
 Nagano, Japan

Hiroyuki Okazaki
 Shinshu University
 Nagano, Japan

Yasunari Shidama
 Shinshu University
 Nagano, Japan

Summary. In this article, we formalize a set of points on an elliptic curve over $\mathbf{GF}(\mathbf{p})$. Elliptic curve cryptography [10], whose security is based on a difficulty of discrete logarithm problem of elliptic curves, is important for information security.

MML identifier: EC_PF_1, version: 7.11.07 4.160.1126

The notation and terminology used here have been introduced in the following papers: [15], [1], [16], [13], [3], [8], [5], [6], [19], [18], [14], [17], [2], [12], [4], [9], [22], [23], [20], [21], [11], and [7].

1. FINITE PRIME FIELD $\mathbf{GF}(\mathbf{p})$

For simplicity, we use the following convention: x is a set, i, j are integers, n, n_1, n_2 are natural numbers, and K, K_1, K_2 are fields.

Let K be a field. A field is called a subfield of K if it satisfies the conditions (Def. 1).

- (Def. 1)(i) The carrier of it $\subseteq$ the carrier of K ,
 (ii) the addition of it = (the addition of K) $\upharpoonright$ (the carrier of it),
 (iii) the multiplication of it = (the multiplication of K) $\upharpoonright$ (the carrier of it),
 (iv) $1_{\text{it}} = 1_K$, and
 (v) $0_{\text{it}} = 0_K$.

We now state two propositions:

¹This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI 22300285.

- (1) K is a subfield of K .
- (2) Let S_1 be a non empty double loop structure. Suppose that
 - (i) the carrier of S_1 is a subset of the carrier of K ,
 - (ii) the addition of $S_1 = (\text{the addition of } K) \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } S_1)$,
 - (iii) the multiplication of $S_1 = (\text{the multiplication of } K) \upharpoonright (\text{the carrier of } S_1)$,
 - (iv) $1_{(S_1)} = 1_K$,
 - (v) $0_{(S_1)} = 0_K$, and
 - (vi) S_1 is right complementable, commutative, almost left invertible, and non degenerated.

Then S_1 is a subfield of K .

Let K be a field. One can check that there exists a subfield of K which is strict.

In the sequel S_2, S_3 denote subfields of K and e_1, e_2 denote elements of K .

We now state several propositions:

- (3) If K_1 is a subfield of K_2 , then for every x such that $x \in K_1$ holds $x \in K_2$.
- (4) For all strict fields K_1, K_2 such that K_1 is a subfield of K_2 and K_2 is a subfield of K_1 holds $K_1 = K_2$.
- (5) Let K_1, K_2, K_3 be strict fields. Suppose K_1 is a subfield of K_2 and K_2 is a subfield of K_3 . Then K_1 is a subfield of K_3 .
- (6) S_2 is a subfield of S_3 iff the carrier of $S_2 \subseteq$ the carrier of S_3 .
- (7) S_2 is a subfield of S_3 iff for every x such that $x \in S_2$ holds $x \in S_3$.
- (8) For all strict subfields S_2, S_3 of K holds $S_2 = S_3$ iff the carrier of $S_2 =$ the carrier of S_3 .
- (9) For all strict subfields S_2, S_3 of K holds $S_2 = S_3$ iff for every x holds $x \in S_2$ iff $x \in S_3$.

Let K be a finite field. Observe that there exists a subfield of K which is finite. Then $\overline{\overline{K}}$ is an element of $\mathbb{N}$.

Let us mention that there exists a field which is strict and finite.

Next we state the proposition

- (10) For every strict finite field K and for every strict subfield S_2 of K such that $\overline{\overline{K}} = \overline{\overline{S_2}}$ holds $S_2 = K$.

Let I_1 be a field. We say that I_1 is prime if and only if:

- (Def. 2) If K_1 is a strict subfield of I_1 , then $K_1 = I_1$.

Let p be a prime number. We introduce $\text{GF}(p)$ as a synonym of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{R}}$. One can check that $\text{GF}(p)$ is finite. One can check that $\text{GF}(p)$ is prime.

One can check that there exists a field which is prime.

2. ARITHMETIC IN $\mathbf{GF}(p)$

In the sequel b, c denote elements of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ and F denotes a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (11) $0 = 0_{\mathbf{GF}(p)}$.
- (12) $1 = 1_{\mathbf{GF}(p)}$.
- (13) There exists n_1 such that $a = n_1 \bmod p$.
- (14) There exists a such that $a = i \bmod p$.
- (15) If $a = i \bmod p$ and $b = j \bmod p$, then $a + b = (i + j) \bmod p$.
- (16) If $a = i \bmod p$, then $-a = (p - i) \bmod p$.
- (17) If $a = i \bmod p$ and $b = j \bmod p$, then $a - b = (i - j) \bmod p$.
- (18) If $a = i \bmod p$ and $b = j \bmod p$, then $a \cdot b = i \cdot j \bmod p$.
- (19) If $a = i \bmod p$ and $i \cdot j \bmod p = 1$, then $a^{-1} = j \bmod p$.
- (20) $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ iff $a \cdot b = 0$.
- (21) $a^0 = 1_{\mathbf{GF}(p)}$ and $a^0 = 1$.
- (22) $a^2 = a \cdot a$.
- (23) If $a = n_1 \bmod p$, then $a^n = n_1^n \bmod p$.
- (24) $a^{n+1} = a^n \cdot a$.
- (25) If $a \neq 0$, then $a^n \neq 0$.
- (26) Let F be an Abelian add-associative right zeroed right complementable associative commutative well unital almost left invertible distributive non empty double loop structure and x, y be elements of F . Then $x \cdot x = y \cdot y$ if and only if $x = y$ or $x = -y$.
- (27) For every prime number p and for every element x of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ such that $2 < p$ and $x + x = 0_{\mathbf{GF}(p)}$ holds $x = 0_{\mathbf{GF}(p)}$.
- (28) $a^n \cdot b^n = (a \cdot b)^n$.
- (29) If $a \neq 0$, then $(a^{-1})^n = (a^n)^{-1}$.
- (30) $a^{n_1} \cdot a^{n_2} = a^{n_1+n_2}$.
- (31) $(a^{n_1})^{n_2} = a^{n_1 \cdot n_2}$.

Let us consider p . One can verify that $\text{MultGroup}(\mathbf{GF}(p))$ is cyclic.

The following two propositions are true:

- (32) Let x be an element of $\text{MultGroup}(\mathbf{GF}(p))$, x_1 be an element of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$, and n be a natural number. If $x = x_1$, then $x^n = x_1^n$.
- (33) There exists an element g of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ such that for every element a of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ if $a \neq 0_{\mathbf{GF}(p)}$, then there exists a natural number n such that $a = g^n$.

3. RELATION BETWEEN LEGENDRE SYMBOL AND THE NUMBER OF ROOTS IN $\mathbf{GF}(\mathbf{p})$

Let us consider p, a . We say that a is quadratic residue if and only if:

(Def. 3) $a \neq 0$ and there exists an element x of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ such that $x^2 = a$.

We say that a is not quadratic residue if and only if:

(Def. 4) $a \neq 0$ and it is not true that there exists an element x of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ such that $x^2 = a$.

One can prove the following proposition

(34) If $a \neq 0$, then a^2 is quadratic residue.

Let p be a prime number. Observe that $1_{\mathbf{GF}(p)}$ is quadratic residue.

Let us consider p, a . The functor $\text{Lege}_p a$ yields an integer and is defined as follows:

(Def. 5) $\text{Lege}_p a = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } a \text{ is quadratic residue,} \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Next we state several propositions:

(35) a is not quadratic residue iff $\text{Lege}_p a = -1$.

(36) a is quadratic residue iff $\text{Lege}_p a = 1$.

(37) $a = 0$ iff $\text{Lege}_p a = 0$.

(38) If $a \neq 0$, then $\text{Lege}_p(a^2) = 1$.

(39) $\text{Lege}_p(a \cdot b) = \text{Lege}_p a \cdot \text{Lege}_p b$.

(40) If $a \neq 0$ and $n \bmod 2 = 0$, then $\text{Lege}_p(a^n) = 1$.

(41) If $n \bmod 2 = 1$, then $\text{Lege}_p(a^n) = \text{Lege}_p a$.

(42) If $2 < p$, then $\overline{\{b : b^2 = a\}} = 1 + \text{Lege}_p a$.

4. SET OF POINTS ON AN ELLIPTIC CURVE OVER $\mathbf{GF}(\mathbf{p})$

Let K be a field. The functor $\text{ProjCo } K$ yields a non empty subset of (the carrier of K) $\times$ (the carrier of K) $\times$ (the carrier of K) and is defined by:

(Def. 6) $\text{ProjCo } K = ((\text{the carrier of } K) \times (\text{the carrier of } K) \times (\text{the carrier of } K)) \setminus \{\langle 0_K, 0_K, 0_K \rangle\}$.

One can prove the following proposition

(43) $\text{ProjCo } \mathbf{GF}(p) = ((\text{the carrier of } \mathbf{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \mathbf{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \mathbf{GF}(p))) \setminus \{\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle\}$.

In the sequel P_1, P_2, P_3 are elements of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$.

Let p be a prime number and let a, b be elements of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$. The functor $\text{Disc}(a, b, p)$ yields an element of $\mathbf{GF}(p)$ and is defined as follows:

(Def. 7) For all elements g_4, g_{27} of $\text{GF}(p)$ such that $g_4 = 4 \bmod p$ and $g_{27} = 27 \bmod p$ holds $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) = g_4 \cdot a^3 + g_{27} \cdot b^2$.

Let p be a prime number and let a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. The functor $\text{EC WEqProjCo}(a, b, p)$ yielding a function from $(\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p))$ into $\text{GF}(p)$ is defined by the condition (Def. 8).

(Def. 8) Let P be an element of $(\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p))$. Then $(\text{EC WEqProjCo}(a, b, p))(P) = (P_2)^2 \cdot P_3 - ((P_1)^3 + a \cdot P_1 \cdot (P_3)^2 + b \cdot (P_3)^3)$.

We now state the proposition

(44) For all elements X, Y, Z of $\text{GF}(p)$ holds $(\text{EC WEqProjCo}(a, b, p))(\langle X, Y, Z \rangle) = Y^2 \cdot Z - (X^3 + a \cdot X \cdot Z^2 + b \cdot Z^3)$.

Let p be a prime number and let a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. The functor $\text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ yielding a non empty subset of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ is defined by:

(Def. 9) $\text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p) = \{P \in \text{ProjCo GF}(p) : (\text{EC WEqProjCo}(a, b, p))(P) = 0_{\text{GF}(p)}\}$.

One can prove the following two propositions:

(45) $\langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ is an element of $\text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$.

(46) Let p be a prime number and a, b, X, Y be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. Then $Y^2 = X^3 + a \cdot X + b$ if and only if $\langle X, Y, 1 \rangle$ is an element of $\text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$.

Let p be a prime number and let P, Q be elements of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$. We say that $P \text{ EQ } Q$ if and only if:

(Def. 10) There exists an element a of $\text{GF}(p)$ such that $a \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $P_1 = a \cdot Q_1$ and $P_2 = a \cdot Q_2$ and $P_3 = a \cdot Q_3$.

Let us notice that the predicate $P \text{ EQ } Q$ is reflexive and symmetric.

We now state two propositions:

(47) For every prime number p and for all elements P, Q, R of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ such that $P \text{ EQ } Q$ and $Q \text{ EQ } R$ holds $P \text{ EQ } R$.

(48) Let p be a prime number, a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$, P, Q be elements of $(\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p)) \times (\text{the carrier of } \text{GF}(p))$, and d be an element of $\text{GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ and $d \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $Q_1 = d \cdot P_1$ and $Q_2 = d \cdot P_2$ and $Q_3 = d \cdot P_3$. Then $Q \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$.

Let p be a prime number. The functor $\mathbb{R}\text{-ProjCo } p$ yielding a binary relation on $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ is defined by:

(Def. 11) $\mathbb{R}\text{-ProjCo } p = \{\langle P, Q \rangle; P \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{ProjCo GF}(p), Q \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{ProjCo GF}(p) : P \text{ EQ } Q\}$.

One can prove the following proposition

- (49) For every prime number p and for all elements P, Q of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ holds $P \text{ EQ } Q$ iff $\langle P, Q \rangle \in \mathbb{R}\text{-ProjCo } p$.

Let p be a prime number. Note that $\mathbb{R}\text{-ProjCo } p$ is total, symmetric, and transitive.

Let p be a prime number and let a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. The functor $\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)$ yielding an equivalence relation of $\text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ is defined as follows:

(Def. 12) $\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p) = \mathbb{R}\text{-ProjCo } p \cap \nabla_{\text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)}$.

Next we state a number of propositions:

- (50) Let p be a prime number, a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$, and P, Q be elements of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$. Suppose $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $P, Q \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$. Then $P \text{ EQ } Q$ if and only if $\langle P, Q \rangle \in \mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)$.
- (51) Let p be a prime number, a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$, and P be an element of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ and $P_3 \neq 0$. Then there exists an element Q of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ such that $Q \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ and $Q \text{ EQ } P$ and $Q_3 = 1$.
- (52) Let p be a prime number, a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$, and P be an element of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ and $P_3 = 0$. Then there exists an element Q of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ such that $Q \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ and $Q \text{ EQ } P$ and $Q_1 = 0$ and $Q_2 = 1$ and $Q_3 = 0$.
- (53) Let p be a prime number, a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$, and x be a set. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $x \in \text{Classes } \mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)$. Then
- (i) there exists an element P of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ such that $P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ and $P = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$ and $x = [P]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)}$, or
 - (ii) there exists an element P of $\text{ProjCo GF}(p)$ and there exist elements X, Y of $\text{GF}(p)$ such that $P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$ and $P = \langle X, Y, 1 \rangle$ and $x = [P]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)}$.
- (54) Let p be a prime number and a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$. Then $\text{Classes } \mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p) = \{[\langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)}\} \cup \{[P]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)}; P \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{ProjCo GF}(p) : P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p) \wedge \bigvee_{X, Y : \text{element of } \text{GF}(p)} P = \langle X, Y, 1 \rangle\}$.
- (55) Let p be a prime number and a, b, d_1, Y_1, d_2, Y_2 be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$ and $\langle d_1, Y_1, 1 \rangle, \langle d_2, Y_2, 1 \rangle \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)$. Then $[\langle d_1, Y_1, 1 \rangle]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)} = [\langle d_2, Y_2, 1 \rangle]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)}$ if and only if $d_1 = d_2$ and $Y_1 = Y_2$.

(56) Let p be a prime number, a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$, and F_1, F_2 be sets.

Suppose that

- (i) $p > 3$,
- (ii) $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$,
- (iii) $F_1 = \{[(0, 1, 0)]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a,b,p)}\}$, and
- (iv) $F_2 = \{[P]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a,b,p)}; P \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{ProjCo GF}(p) : P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p) \wedge \bigvee_{X,Y: \text{element of GF}(p)} P = \langle X, Y, 1 \rangle\}$.

Then F_1 misses F_2 .

(57) Let X be a non empty finite set, R be an equivalence relation of X , S be a Classes R -valued function, and i be a set. If $i \in \text{dom } S$, then $S(i)$ is a finite subset of X .

(58) Let X be a non empty set, R be an equivalence relation of X , and S be a Classes R -valued function. If S is one-to-one, then S is disjoint valued.

(59) Let X be a non empty set, R be an equivalence relation of X , and S be a Classes R -valued function. If S is onto, then $\bigcup S = X$.

(60) Let X be a non empty finite set, R be an equivalence relation of X , S be a Classes R -valued function, and L be a finite sequence of elements of $\mathbb{N}$. Suppose S is one-to-one and onto and $\text{dom } S = \text{dom } L$ and for every natural number i such that $i \in \text{dom } S$ holds $L(i) = \overline{\overline{S(i)}}$. Then $\overline{\overline{X}} = \sum L$.

(61) Let p be a prime number, a, b, d be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$, and F, G be sets. Suppose that

- (i) $p > 3$,
- (ii) $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$,
- (iii) $F = \{Y \in \text{GF}(p) : Y^2 = d^3 + a \cdot d + b\}$,
- (iv) $F \neq \emptyset$, and
- (v) $G = \{[(d, Y, 1)]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a,b,p)}; Y \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{GF}(p) : \langle d, Y, 1 \rangle \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)\}$.

Then there exists a function from F into G which is onto and one-to-one.

(62) Let p be a prime number and a, b, d be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$.

Then $\overline{\overline{\{[(d, Y, 1)]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a,b,p)}; Y \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{GF}(p) : \langle d, Y, 1 \rangle \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p)\}}} = 1 + \text{Lege}_p(d^3 + a \cdot d + b)$.

(63) Let p be a prime number and a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$. Then there exists a finite sequence F of elements of $\mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $\text{len } F = p$,
- (ii) for every natural number n such that $n \in \text{Seg } p$ there exists an element d of $\text{GF}(p)$ such that $d = n - 1$ and $F(n) = 1 + \text{Lege}_p(d^3 + a \cdot d + b)$, and
- (iii) $\overline{\overline{\{[P]_{\mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a,b,p)}; P \text{ ranges over elements of } \text{ProjCo GF}(p) : P \in \text{EC SetProjCo}(a, b, p) \wedge \bigvee_{X,Y: \text{element of GF}(p)} P = \langle X, Y, 1 \rangle\}}} = \sum F$.

- (64) Let p be a prime number and a, b be elements of $\text{GF}(p)$. Suppose $p > 3$ and $\text{Disc}(a, b, p) \neq 0_{\text{GF}(p)}$. Then there exists a finite sequence F of elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ such that
- (i) $\text{len } F = p$,
 - (ii) for every natural number n such that $n \in \text{Seg } p$ there exists an element d of $\text{GF}(p)$ such that $d = n - 1$ and $F(n) = \text{Lege}_p(d^3 + a \cdot d + b)$, and
 - (iii) $\overline{\text{Classes } \mathbb{R}\text{-EllCur}(a, b, p)} = 1 + p + \sum F$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Grzegorz Bancerek. Cardinal numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):377–382, 1990.
- [2] Grzegorz Bancerek. The fundamental properties of natural numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):41–46, 1990.
- [3] Grzegorz Bancerek and Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Segments of natural numbers and finite sequences. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):107–114, 1990.
- [4] Józef Białas. Group and field definitions. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):433–439, 1990.
- [5] Czesław Byliński. Functions and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):55–65, 1990.
- [6] Czesław Byliński. Functions from a set to a set. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):153–164, 1990.
- [7] Czesław Byliński. Some basic properties of sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):47–53, 1990.
- [8] Agata Darmochwał. Finite sets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):165–167, 1990.
- [9] Krzysztof Hryniewiecki. Basic properties of real numbers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):35–40, 1990.
- [10] G. Seroussi I. Blake and N. Smart. *Elliptic Curves in Cryptography*. Number 265 in London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [11] Eugeniusz Kusak, Wojciech Leończuk, and Michał Muzalewski. Abelian groups, fields and vector spaces. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):335–342, 1990.
- [12] Rafał Kwiatek. Factorial and Newton coefficients. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):887–890, 1990.
- [13] Konrad Raczkowski and Paweł Sadowski. Equivalence relations and classes of abstraction. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):441–444, 1990.
- [14] Christoph Schwarzweller. The ring of integers, euclidean rings and modulo integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 8(1):29–34, 1999.
- [15] Christoph Schwarzweller. The binomial theorem for algebraic structures. *Formalized Mathematics*, 9(3):559–564, 2001.
- [16] Andrzej Trybulec. Domains and their Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):115–122, 1990.
- [17] Andrzej Trybulec. Tuples, projections and Cartesian products. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):97–105, 1990.
- [18] Michał J. Trybulec. Integers. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(3):501–505, 1990.
- [19] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Groups. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(5):821–827, 1990.
- [20] Wojciech A. Trybulec. Vectors in real linear space. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(2):291–296, 1990.
- [21] Zinaida Trybulec. Properties of subsets. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):67–71, 1990.
- [22] Edmund Woronowicz. Relations and their basic properties. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):73–83, 1990.
- [23] Edmund Woronowicz and Anna Zalewska. Properties of binary relations. *Formalized Mathematics*, 1(1):85–89, 1990.

Received December 21, 2010
