

PARTITIONING THE SET OF PRIMES TO CREATE r -DIMENSIONAL SEQUENCES WHICH ARE UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED MODULO $[0, 1)^r$

JEAN-MARIE DE KONINCK¹ — IMRE KÁTAI²

¹Département mathématiques et statistique, Université Laval, Québec, CANADA

²Department of Computer Algebra, Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, HUNGARY

ABSTRACT. Expanding on our previous results, we show that by partitioning the set of primes into a finite number of subsets of roughly the same size, we can create r -dimensional sequences of real numbers which are uniformly distributed modulo $[0, 1)^r$.

Communicated by Werner Georg Nowak

1. Introduction

In previous papers, we used the factorization of integers to generate large families of normal numbers; see for instance [1] and [2]. Along the same lines, letting $q \geq 3$ be a prime number, we showed in a recent paper [3] how one can create an infinite sequence $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ of normal numbers in base $q - 1$ such that, for any fixed integer $r \geq 1$, the r -dimensional sequence $(\{\alpha_1(q - 1)^n\}, \dots, \{\alpha_r(q - 1)^n\})$ is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1)^r$, where $\{y\}$ stands for the fractional part of y . Here, given an appropriate partition of the primes, we create an r -dimensional sequence of real numbers which is uniformly distributed modulo $[0, 1)^r$.

First, we introduce some basic notation. Given an integer $q \geq 3$, let $A_q := \{0, 1, \dots, q - 1\}$. Given an integer $t \geq 1$, an expression of the form $i_1 i_2 \dots i_t$, where each $i_j \in A_q$, is called a *finite word* of length t . The symbol Λ will denote the *empty word*, so that if we concatenate the words α, Λ, β , then, instead of writing $\alpha\Lambda\beta$, we simply write $\alpha\beta$.

© 2019 BOKU-University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences and Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11K16, 11J71.

Keywords: Uniform distribution modulo one.

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NC-ND 4.0 International Public License.

Let $\wp$ stand for the set of all primes. Given an integer $q \geq 3$, a partition $\mathcal{T}$ of $\wp$ into sets of primes $\wp_0, \wp_1, \dots, \wp_{q-1}, \mathcal{R}$, noted $(\mathcal{T}, q)$, is said to be a *regular partition* if $\wp_0 \cup \wp_1 \cup \dots \cup \wp_{q-1} \cup \mathcal{R} = \wp$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is finite (possibly empty) and where the sets $\wp_i$'s are roughly of the same size in the sense that, for every fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\max_{\substack{j=0,1,\dots,q-1 \\ \varepsilon \leq y/x \leq 1}} \left| \frac{q \pi([x, x+y] \cap \wp_j)}{\pi([x, x+y])} - 1 \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following provide examples of *regular partitions* of primes:

- (1) Given an arbitrary integer $k \geq 2$, let $\ell_0, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\varphi(k)-1}$ be the reduced residues mod k (here φ stands for the Euler totient function). Setting

$$\wp_\nu := \{p \in \wp : p \equiv \ell_\nu \pmod{k}\} \quad (\nu = 0, 1, \dots, \varphi(k) - 1),$$

$$\mathcal{R} := \{p \in \wp : p \mid k\}.$$

Using the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions, one can easily show that $\wp_0, \wp_1, \dots, \wp_{\varphi(k)-1}, \mathcal{R}$ represents a regular partition of the primes.

- (2) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Given an integer $q \geq 2$, let $I_0, I_1, \dots, I_{q-1}$ be disjoint intervals each of length $1/q$ such that $[0, 1) = I_0 \cup I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_{q-1}$. Setting $\wp_\nu = \{p \in \wp : \{\alpha p\} \in I_\nu\}$ for $\nu = 0, 1, \dots, q - 1$ and $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$, we easily obtain a regular partition of the primes.
- (3) Let $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ represent the sequence of all primes. Given a fixed integer k , then for each integer $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$, consider the set of primes $\wp_\ell := \{p_{mk+\ell} : m = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and let $\mathcal{R} = \emptyset$, then one can show that $\wp_0, \wp_1, \dots, \wp_{k-1}, \mathcal{R}$ constitutes a regular partition of the primes.

Let λ_N be a function such that $\lambda_N \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for each integer $N > e^e$, we introduce the intervals:

$$J_N = [e^N, e^{N+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad K_N = [N, N^{\lambda_N}],$$

as well as the particular product of primes

$$Q_N := \prod_{p \in K_N} p.$$

Now, we write each integer $n \in J_N$ as

$$n = \pi_1(n) \pi_2(n) \cdots \pi_{h(n)}(n) \nu(n), \tag{1}$$

where $\pi_1(n) \leq \pi_2(n) \leq \dots \leq \pi_{h(n)}(n)$ are those prime factors of n located in the interval K_N and $\nu(n)$ stands for the product of the other prime factors of n , namely those which are relatively prime to Q_N .

2. Main result

THEOREM 1. *Let $(\mathcal{T}^{(j)}, q_j)_{j \geq 1}$ be an arbitrary sequence of regular partitions of the primes, with corresponding partitions*

$$\wp_0^{(j)}, \wp_1^{(j)}, \dots, \wp_{q_j-1}^{(j)}, \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Given $j, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$a_{j,n} = \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \wp_\ell^{(j)} \text{ with } j \leq h(n), \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \text{ or if } j > h(n), \end{cases}$$

where $h(n)$ is as in (1). For each integer $j \geq 1$, consider the number β_j whose q_j -ary expansion is

$$\beta_j = 0.a_{j,1}a_{j,2}\dots$$

and further consider the sequence

$$u_{n,j} = \{\beta_j q_j^n\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, for each fixed integer $r \geq 1$, the r -dimensional sequence

$$(u_{n,1}, u_{n,2}, \dots, u_{n,r})_{n \geq 1}$$

is uniformly distributed modulo $[0, 1)^r$.

3. The approach and some preliminary lemmas

Fix positive integers r and k . Then, consider the real $r \times k$ matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1,1} & \cdots & b_{1,k} \\ b_{2,1} & \cdots & b_{2,k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ b_{r,1} & \cdots & b_{r,k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where each $b_{i,j}$ belongs to A_{q_j} , and, moreover, for each positive integer n , consider the real $r \times k$ matrix

$$\kappa(n) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,n+1} & \cdots & a_{1,n+k} \\ a_{2,n+1} & \cdots & a_{2,n+k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{r,n+1} & \cdots & a_{r,n+k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where the elements $a_{j,m}$ are those appearing in the q_j -ary expansion of β_j .

Then, set

$$T := \left(\prod_{j=1}^r q_j \right)^k.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove that, given any small number $\varepsilon > 0$, for every matrix S (as the one above), we have

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{T}{x} \# \{n \leq x : \kappa(n) = S\} - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (2)$$

Indeed, fixing $\varepsilon > 0$ and assuming that we can establish that for $e^N \leq x \leq e^{N+1}$, we have

$$|T \# \{n \in [e^N, x) : \kappa(n) = S\} - (x - e^N)| \leq \varepsilon x + O(1), \quad (3)$$

and similarly, also with $[e^\nu, e^{\nu+1})$ instead of $[e^N, x)$ for $\nu = k, k+1, \dots, N-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \# \{n \leq x : \kappa(n) = S\} &= \sum_{\nu=k}^{N-1} \# \{n \in J_\nu : \kappa(n) = S\} \\ &\quad + \# \{n \in [e^N, x) : \kappa(n) = S\} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

then we easily see that (2) follows (3).

LEMMA 1. *Let y_x be a function of x which tends to infinity with x . Then, the number of those positive integers $n \leq x$ which have two prime divisors p_1, p_2 such that $y_x \leq p_1 < p_2 < 2p_1$ and p_2 divides $|\prod_{-k \leq j \leq k} (n+j)|$ is $o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. It is clear that amongst those positive integers $n \leq x$, the situation $p_1 \mid n$, $p_2 \mid n+j$ for some $j \in [-k, k]$ and $y_x \leq p_1 < p_2 < 2p_1$ occurs at most $x \sum_{y_x \leq p_1 < p_2 < 2p_1 < x} \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} = o(x)$ times, thus establishing our claim. $\square$

LEMMA 2. *With y_x as in Lemma 1, we have*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \# \{n \leq x : p^2 \mid n, p \geq y_x\} = 0.$$

Proof. This is immediate if one observes that

$$\# \{n \leq x : p^2 \mid n, p \geq y_x\} \leq \sum_{p \geq y_x} \frac{x}{p^2} \ll \frac{x}{y_x}. \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3. *As $N \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\frac{1}{e^N} \left\{ n \in J_N : \min_{-k \leq \ell \leq k} h(n+\ell) \leq r \right\} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the Turán-Kubilius inequality. $\square$

UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED r -DIMENSIONAL SEQUENCES

LEMMA 4. *Let $J = [e^N, x]$, where $e^N < x \leq e^{N+1}$. Let r and k be fixed positive integers. Let $Q_{i,\ell}$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $\ell = 1, \dots, k$ be distinct primes belonging to K_N such that $Q_{1,\ell} < Q_{2,\ell} < \dots < Q_{r,\ell}$. Moreover, let $S_J(Q_{i,\ell} \mid i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k)$ be the number of those integers $n \in J$ for which $\pi_i(n + \ell) = Q_{i,\ell}$. Also, for each integer $r \geq 1$, let $\sigma(1), \dots, \sigma(k)$ be the permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, k\}$ which allows us to write*

$$Q_{r,\sigma(1)} < Q_{r,\sigma(2)} < \dots < Q_{r,\sigma(k)}.$$

Then, given any small $\varepsilon > 0$ and provided $x - e^N \geq \varepsilon e^N$, we have, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & S_J(Q_{i,\ell} \mid i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k) \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \frac{x - e^N}{\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq \ell \leq k}} Q_{i,\ell}} \cdot \prod_{N \leq \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(k)}} \left(1 - \frac{\rho(\pi)}{\pi}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho(\pi) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } N \leq \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(1)}, \\ k-1 & \text{if } Q_{r,\sigma(1)} < \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(2)}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \text{if } Q_{r,\sigma(k-1)} < \pi < Q_{r,\sigma(k)}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi \in \{Q_{i,\ell} : i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is relation (2.1) in our paper [3]. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ be fixed and set $\eta = 1 + \delta$. Further let N be a large number and let $\nu_0 = \nu_0(N)$ be an integer satisfying

$$\nu_0 \delta > \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log N.$$

Then, for each $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, \nu_0\}$, consider the interval

$$L_m = [\eta^m N, \eta^{m+1} N].$$

Further consider the set $\mathcal{M}$ of matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & \cdots & m_{1,k} \\ m_{2,1} & \cdots & m_{2,k} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ m_{r,1} & \cdots & m_{r,k} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $0 \leq m_{1,\ell} < m_{2,\ell} < \dots < m_{r,\ell} \leq \nu_0(N)$ for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $m_{u_1, v_1} \neq m_{u_2, v_2}$ if $(u_1, v_1) \neq (u_2, v_2)$.

With J as in the statement of Lemma 4 and given $n \in J$, write

$$\tau(n) = M \quad \text{if } \pi_i(n + \ell) \in L_{m_{i,\ell}} \quad (\ell = 1, \dots, k, i = 1, \dots, r).$$

Let us drop all those integers $n \in J$ which can be neglected in light of Lemmas 1, 2 or 3. Then, the size of the set of those $n \leq x$ thus dropped is $o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, for those $n \in J$ which are not dropped, we have that $\tau(n) = M$ holds for one and only one element of M . Let us fix a matrix $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Observe that if $Q_{i,\ell}$ is a prime in the interval $L_{m_{i,\ell}}$, then, according to Lemma 4, the expression $S_J(Q_{i,\ell} \mid i = 1, \dots, r, \ell = 1, \dots, k)$ tends to a constant as $N \rightarrow \infty$, since in fact it is

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{x - e^N}{N^{rk} \prod \eta^{\sum m_{i,\ell}}} \prod_{N < \pi < N\eta^{\max m_{i,\ell}}} \left(1 - \frac{\rho^*(\pi)}{\pi}\right),$$

where $\rho^*(\pi)$ is defined by

$$\rho^*(\pi) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } N \leq \pi < N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(1)}}, \\ k-1 & \text{if } N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(1)}} \leq \pi < N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(2)}}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \text{if } N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(k-1)}} \leq \pi < N\eta^{m_{r,\sigma(k)}}. \end{cases}$$

The size of the collection of those primes $Q_{i,\ell} \in L_{m_{i,\ell}}$ is equal to

$$\prod_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ \ell=1, \dots, k}} \pi(L_{m_{i,\ell}}).$$

On the other hand, the size of the collection of those $Q_{i,\ell}$ which also belong to $\wp_{b_{i,\ell}}^{(i)}$ is equal to

$$\prod_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ \ell=1, \dots, k}} \pi\left(L_{m_{i,\ell}} \cap \wp_{b_{i,\ell}}^{(i)}\right).$$

Now, since

$$\prod_{\substack{i=1, \dots, r \\ \ell=1, \dots, k}} \frac{q_i \pi(L_{m_{i,\ell}} \cap \wp_{b_{i,\ell}}^{(i)})}{\pi(L_{m_{i,\ell}})} = \left(1 + O(\xi(N))\right), \quad (4)$$

where $\xi(N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and since (4) holds uniformly for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$, we have thus established that (3) holds. Since we can perform the same argument with the interval $[e^\nu, e^{\nu+1})$ instead of $[e^\nu, x)$, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

5. Final remarks

Finally, we may expand on an analogous result.

Given two integers $Q \geq 2$ and $r \geq 1$ such that $(Q, r) = 1$, let

$$\wp_{Q,r} := \{p \in \wp : p \equiv r \pmod{Q}\}.$$

Moreover, let $(\mathcal{T}^{(j)}, q_j)_{j \geq 1}$ be a sequence of regular partitions of the set of primes $\wp_{Q,r}$, with corresponding partitions

$$\wp_0^{(j)}, \wp_1^{(j)}, \dots, \wp_{q_j-1}^{(j)}, \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots),$$

with $\#\mathcal{R}^{(j)} < \infty$, that is, such that

$$\wp_0^{(j)} \cup \wp_1^{(j)} \cup \dots \cup \wp_{q_j-1}^{(j)} \cup \mathcal{R}^{(j)} = \wp_{Q,r} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\max_{\substack{\ell=0,1,\dots,q_j-1 \\ \varepsilon \leq y/x \leq 1}} \left| \frac{q_j \pi([x, x+y] \cap \wp_\ell^{(j)})}{\pi([x, x+y] \cap \wp_{Q,r})} - 1 \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is any preassigned small number.

Moreover, let x_N, J_N, λ_N and K_N be defined as in Section 1, and further set

$$S_N := \prod_{\pi \in K_N \cap \wp_{Q,r}} \pi \quad \text{for each integer } N > e^e.$$

Then, let

$$N \leq \pi_1(n) \leq \dots \leq \pi_{h(n)}(n) \leq N^{\lambda_N}$$

be all the prime divisors $\pi_j(n) \equiv r \pmod{Q}$ belonging to the interval K_N , which is such that

$$n = \pi_1(n) \cdots \pi_{h(n)}(n) \nu(n), \quad \text{where } (\nu(n), S_N) = 1.$$

Finally, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the integers

$$a_{j,n} := \begin{cases} \ell & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \wp_\ell^{(j)} \quad \text{and } j \leq h(n), \\ 0 & \text{if } \pi_j(n) \in \mathcal{R}^{(j)} \quad \text{or if } j > h(n), \end{cases}$$

the real numbers

$$\beta_j = 0.a_{j,1}a_{j,2} \dots \quad (q_j - \text{ary expansion}),$$

and the corresponding sequence of real numbers

$$u_{n,j} = \{\beta_j q_j^n\} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

With this set up, one can prove that, for each fixed positive integer r , the r -dimensional sequence $(u_{n,1}, u_{n,2}, \dots, u_{n,r})_{n \geq 1}$ is uniformly distributed modulo $[0, 1)^r$.

REFERENCES

- [1] DE KONINCK, J. M.—KÁTAI, I.: *Normal numbers generated using the smallest prime factor function*, Ann. Math. Qué. **38** (2014), no. 2, 133–144.
- [2] ——— *Prime-like sequences leading to the construction of normal numbers*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **49** (2013), no. 2, 291–302.
- [3] ——— *Multidimensional sequences uniformly distributed modulo 1 created from normal numbers*. In: SCHOLAR—a scientific celebration highlighting open lines of arithmetic research, *Contemp. Math. Vol. 655*, Centre Rech. Math. Proc., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015. pp. 77–82.

Received December 13, 2017

Accepted May 9, 2018

Jean-Marie De Koninck

Département mathématiques et statistique

Université Laval

Québec G1V 0A6

CANADA

E-mail: jmdk@mat.ulaval.ca

Imre Káta

Department of Computer Algebra

Eötvös Loránd University

Pázmány Péter Sétány I/C

HU-1117 Budapest

HUNGARY

E-mail: katai@inf.elte.hu