

review

The role of particle therapy in the treatment of locally recurrent rectal cancer: a systematic review

Ana Perpar¹, Petra Georg^{2,3}, Ajra Secerov Ermenc^{1,4}

¹ Department of Radiation Oncology, Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

² Department of Radiation Oncology, University Clinic Krems, Krems an der Donau, Austria

³ Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Krems an der Donau, Austria

⁴ Medical Faculty, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Radiol Oncol 2026; 60(3): 323-331.

Received 25 November 2025

Accepted 22 May 2026

Correspondence to: Ana Perpar, M.D., Ph.D., Institute of Oncology Ljubljana, Department of Radiation Oncology, Zaloška cesta 2, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. E-mail: aperpar@onko-i.si

Disclosure: No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Background. Colorectal cancer is a common malignancy. Advancements in multimodality treatment have improved outcomes. About 2–10% of patients will have a local recurrence even after optimal treatment. Salvage surgical treatment is the treatment of choice, however, in posterior and lateral recurrences, surgery is linked to a high rate of treatment-related morbidity. Some recurrences remain unresectable even after neoadjuvant treatment.

Materials and methods. A systematic literature review was performed to search for studies on curative-intent radiation therapy (RT) with photons, stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) and particle beam therapy. The aim of the literature search was to define the role of particle therapy in the treatment of patients with unresectable or inoperable local recurrences of rectal cancer where neoadjuvant treatment is unlikely to result in downstaging, leaving patients with RT as the only curative treatment option.

Results. 32 studies which fulfil the criteria were identified. In general, SBRT and particle beam therapy permitted the application of significantly higher doses to the target without a concomitant increase in treatment-related toxicities.

Conclusions. For unresectable and inoperable locally recurrent rectal cancer ablative radiotherapy techniques such as SBRT and particle beam therapy offer a curative treatment approach as an alternative to surgery. SBRT can be offered for small local and nodal recurrences, while particle beam therapy can be offered for larger recurrences and complex shapes spanning several anatomical compartments as well. Further research is needed to stratify patients according to their need and eligibility for the different possible modalities of curative-intent RT.

Key words: rectal cancer; inoperable local recurrence; unresectable recurrence; particle therapy; ion beam therapy; SBRT; curative RT

Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the third commonest cancer in men and the second commonest cancer in women in the European Union.¹ With improvements in treatment, e.g. the use of total mesorectal excision in surgical treatment, the use of neoadjuvant and

later total neoadjuvant treatment combining radiotherapy and systemic treatment, including immunotherapy, the risk for local and pelvic recurrence has been significantly reduced, the 5-year follow-up of the RAPIDO trial yielded a 10% rate of locoregional failure in the experimental group and may be as low as 2%, according to some results.²⁻⁹

However, for patients experiencing a pelvic recurrence, curative treatment options are limited.

Rokan *et al.* have published a review grouping local recurrences according to anatomical site of recurrence: central (18%), lateral (15%), posterior (13%), anterior below peritoneal reflection (10%), infralevator/anterior urogenital triangle (4%), and anterior above peritoneal reflection (1%), unknown 39%.¹⁰

The preferred treatment for pelvic recurrences is salvage surgery which must be undertaken with the aim of achieving negative margins, since complete (R0) resections have been consistently linked to significantly better survival outcomes than non-radical resections.¹¹⁻¹³

Unfortunately, not all sites of recurrence have the same resectability and prognosis. Central recurrences have consistently been shown to result in the highest rate of R0 resection, lateral and posterior recurrences are the least likely to be successfully treated with an R0 resection and even worse results have been reported for recurrences involving more than one compartment.^{12,14-17}

Additionally, aggressive surgical treatment of lateral and posterior recurrences results in a higher rate of severe impairments, including severe neurological dysfunction of bladder and bowel in high sacral resection, while extensive lateral resection is linked to a 82% rate of postoperative complications.¹⁸ And though exenteration may offer patients with locally advanced rectal cancer the chance of long-term survival with acceptable levels of morbidity, it remains a major operation associated with significant morbidity and mortality where the R1 resection rate remains high, especially in recurrent cancers (median 28.26%, upper range 68.2%).^{19,20}

The use of neoadjuvant chemoradiation in locally recurrent rectal cancer is recommended by both ESMO and Beyond TME guidelines, with the aim of downstaging and improving resectability.^{21,22} In patients who had already received a radiation course to the pelvis, the prescribed dose must be individualized to reach a compromise between maximum safe dose and the dose constraints of pelvic organs. The Dutch national guidelines for the management of locally recurrent rectal cancer report the use of intensity-modulated radiation therapy / volumetric modulated arc therapy (IMRT/VMAT) use as the standard of care in the Netherlands both for primary and reirradiation of locally recurrent rectal cancer.²³

There is however a significant proportion of patients with locally recurrent rectal cancer who ei-

ther remain unresectable after neoadjuvant treatment or are not candidates for surgical treatment due to other reasons (e.g. the patients' poor general condition, comorbidities or refusal to consent to treatment which may leave them with life-long functional compromise). If lateral and posterior recurrences from Rokan *et al.* are grouped together, we have over a quarter (28%) of all local recurrences with a significant likelihood of unresectable disease even after neoadjuvant treatment.¹⁰

There is evidence that local recurrences after radiation therapy shift from central and anterior to more lateral and posterior.²⁴ This means that an even larger proportion of patients who received neoadjuvant radiation as part of their primary treatment have recurrences in unfavourable locations.

For these patients, curative-intent radiation therapy (RT) presents the only chance of cure. The development of modern treatment techniques – stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) and intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) – as well as the use of charged particles with a more favourable dose distribution profile makes the application of a curative dose possible.

The risk of severe radiation-induced toxicity depends on where the recurrence is located. In posterior and lateral recurrences, the major risks are radiation-induced damage to the rectum (with possible haemorrhage, perforation and fistulas) and neurotoxicity (with sensorimotor impairments and neuropathic pain), while high-dose radiation therapy of central and anterior recurrences, while still linked with increased risk of rectal damage, also carries the risk of bladder toxicity (haemorrhage, perforation and fistulas). Any recurrence abutting the bony structures of the pelvis also increases the risk of bone fractures.

For the purposes of this article, we have focused on unresectable or inoperable local recurrences of rectal cancer where neoadjuvant treatment is unlikely to result in any significant downstaging, leaving patients with definitive RT as the only curative treatment option. In this narrative review we wish to summarize the current state of the art in the treatment of these patients and establish the role of particle therapy in their treatment of patients.

Materials and methods

This review was conducted using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-

Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.²⁵ Eligible sources included published and peer-reviewed work evaluating

- (1) clinical outcomes (including local control and overall survival was mandatory, data on toxicities was desired, but not necessary for inclusion) of
- (2) conformal photon, SBRT or particle beam therapy with curative intent for
- (3) pelvic recurrences of rectal cancer in
- (4) both radiation-naïve and pre-irradiated patients, reported in
- (5) English with
- (6) a minimum number of 10 reported cases.
- Studies had to report on the
- (7) radiation dose applied,
- (8) any concomitant or additional treatment the patients received, e.g. concomitant chemotherapy, hyperthermia or surgery,
- (9) pelvic control outcomes (including local and regional control, if reported) and
- (10) survival.

We have searched PUBMED, EMBASE, SCOPUS, Clinicaltrials.gov and COCHRANE, those found in references from the major articles identified, and articles known to the authors. To avoid missing potentially relevant publications, sources were excluded by individual screening of reports rather than screening of titles and abstracts. We have also scanned the references of included articles for missed publications.

The first search was conducted in December 2023, then updated in September / October 2024.

An initial screening was performed using citations and titles, to filter studies duplicated among databases or studies that were not clinical trials, such as reviews, letters, editorials, and in vivo or in vitro studies. A second screening of abstracts and excluded studies with irrelevant subjects, fewer than 10 patients with rectal cancer receiving re-irradiation, and studies that were not clinical trials was conducted. Finally, a full-text review to identify studies meeting the above inclusion criteria was performed. In cases of multiple studies from a single institution, the following criteria were applied and prioritized in the following order: (1) studies with the largest number of patients with rectal cancer who received reirradiation, and (2) the most recent publication. Even if studies were published by the same institution, multiple studies were included if the patient cohorts could safely be assumed to be different.

Only articles that report patient outcomes on the usual timepoints were included, i.e. full years.




FIGURE 1. Process of article selection.

The report by Habermehl *et al.* was excluded for this reason.²⁶

Studies on conventional photon radiation therapy were excluded if they reported results on older treatment techniques used before the adoption of 3-dimensional conformal radiation therapy (3D-CRT), or if no definite information was available in the article and it could safely be assumed the cohort was treated with older techniques.

Studies reporting on locally recurrent rectal cancer amongst other pelvic malignancies (e.g. together with locally advanced rectal cancer or together with recurrent urological and/or gynaecological malignancies) were included only if the results of treatment of locally recurrent rectal cancer were reported separately from the other entities and if the number of the included patients with locally recurrent rectal cancer was 10 or more. Moningi *et al.* and Shirai *et al.* were excluded for this reason.^{27,28}

The above screening processes was performed by AP and checked by PG & AŠE, final inclusion was confirmed upon mutual consent.

The following terms were used:

```

((carbon[Title/Abstract])
OR (hadron[Title/Abstract])
OR (hadrons[Title/Abstract])
OR (carbon ion beam[Title/Abstract])
OR (carbon ion[Title/Abstract])
OR (proton[Title/Abstract])
OR (protons[Title/Abstract])
OR (proton beam[Title/Abstract])
OR (charged particle[Title/Abstract])
OR (charged particles[Title/Abstract])
OR (particle[Title/Abstract]))
OR
((SBRT[Title/Abstract])
OR stereotactic[Title/Abstract])
OR stereotaxy[Title/Abstract]))
OR
((radiation[Title/Abstract])
OR radiotherapy[Title/Abstract])
OR
(radiation therapy[Title/Abstract])
OR (reirradiation[Title/Abstract])
OR (irradiation[Title/Abstract])
OR (actinotherapy[Title/Abstract]))

AND

(rectal[Title/Abstract] OR (rectum[Title/Abstract]))

AND

((recurrence[Title/Abstract]) OR (relapse[Title/Abstract]) OR (local recurrence[Title/Abstract]) OR (pelvic recurrence[Title/Abstract]) OR (pelvic relapse[Title/Abstract]) OR (local relapse[Title/Abstract]) OR (locally recurrent[Title/Abstract]) OR (recurrent[Title/Abstract]) OR (pelvis[Title/Abstract]) OR (pelvic[Title/Abstract]) OR (pelvic[Title/Abstract]))

```

SBRT = stereotactic body radiation therapy

For Clinicaltrials.gov the terms were: rectal and rectum for condition/disease and particle, carbon or proton for other terms.

A search for currently active studies on radiation therapy of locally recurrent rectal cancer was performed.

Results

32 studies which fulfil the criteria were identified, 12 studies reporting on the results of photon RT, 6 studies reporting on the results of SBRT, 13 studies reporting on the results of particle beam therapy. The process of selection is depicted in Figure 1.

Multimodality treatment

Several studies have reported improved outcomes in patients treated with multimodality therapy and in which an R0 resection could be achieved.^{29,30}

The results of photon RT are presented in Supplementary Table 1.³¹⁻⁴²

Surgery after radiation has been shown to result in superior outcomes, including local control as well as survival, in addition, tumour size and total dose applied were predictive of improved outcomes. However, in some reports, surgery as well as higher applied dose have also been linked with a higher risk of severe late toxicity.^{31,34,36,39-41,43-45}

Patients unlikely to have resectable disease even after neoadjuvant chemo(re)irradiation are unlikely to benefit from surgical treatment and for them, the outcomes for definitive radiation therapy with photons remain dismal, please see Supplementary Table 1 for further details. These patients urgently require a treatment alternative, since for most of them, their survival is determined by local control of the recurrence.^{31,39,41}

Numerous strategies to enhance the therapeutic ratio have been explored, including concomitant systemic treatment, alternative fractionation regimes, to the use of intraoperative RT which, owing to the physical characteristics of the beams used, electrons, kilovoltage photons, or brachytherapy, can facilitate the application of a higher cumulative dose without significantly increasing the risk for late toxicities.^{31-33,36,39,41-43,46,47} Photons, given their physical limitations, cannot apply a curative dose to the recurrent tumour outside of stereotactic radiation modalities and are used in a neoadjuvant setting or a means of palliation.

It should also be pointed out that several of the studies included patients with metastatic disease, which undoubtedly had an impact on overall survival. In the studies reporting on the results of definitive RT, only Cai *et al.* report including patients with metastatic disease.^{31,33,34,36,40,41}

Definitive radiation therapy

The results of definitive RT with SBRT or particle beams are presented in Supplementary Table 2.⁴⁸⁻⁶⁶

Stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT)

The included studies report clinical results for various follow-up intervals; thus an estimate of expected local control is difficult. In addition, dose prescription regimes within individual publications are highly variable as most publications report only a mean dose or biologically equivalent dose (BED) or alternatively a range of doses and fractions.^{48,49,51,52} The results of a uniform dose prescription protocol (30 Gy in 5 fractions) are reported only by Johnstone *et al.* and Smith *et al.*^{50,53}

2-year local control can be expected to be 68.2–93.7%, while 2-year survival was reported to be 65.9–84.4%.^{48–50,53} Minecci *et al.*⁵² report 5-year local control rates of 82.6%, Kim *et al.* report 5 year-survival 23.2%.⁵¹ The incidence of late grade 3 or more toxicities ranges from 0–13%.^{49,52}

In the studies reported, the median tumour size ranges from 13.4 to 90.1 cm³ or up to 136.5 cm³ for the median planning target volume (PTV) volume.^{48,50,52} The vast majority of treated patients were treated for pelvic nodal rectal cancer recurrences, which confirms that SBRT is the radiation modality of choice for delivering high dose to a smaller volume of relatively simple shape.

Particle beam therapy

The usual dose regimes used in the Japanese centres for carbon ion beam therapy consists of 16 fractions with a total dose of 67.2–73.6 Gy. 5-year local control rates are reported to be between 35–88% with a clear dose-response relationship, which is also mirrored in the reported 5-year overall survival.⁵⁴

Other centres using carbon ion beams have more heterogeneous dose prescription, however Cai *et al.* have also observed a dose-response relationship with the cutoff at 66 Gy.⁶⁴

It is difficult to compare the results of proton beam therapy since the publications we have included report outcomes at different time intervals and do not use uniform dose prescription regimes, however, 1-year and 3-year local control is reported as between 66.3–70% and 55–80.2%.^{56,57,60,62}

The volumes of treated targets are variable, but from the studies that report volumes of targets, the median gross target volume (GTV) volume ranges from 57.1–154 cm³ for carbon ions and 26.1–86.4 cm³ for protons, with maximum volumes over 300 cm³. Others report only median target diameters which range from 30–63 mm.^{56,58,60,65,66}

In RT-naïve patients, the toxicity profile is very favourable and in general late toxicities of particle beam therapy grade 3 or higher are reported in up to 5% of cases, with rare grade 4 toxicities, though Takagawa *et al.* report grade 4 gastrointestinal toxicities in 3 patients in 2 of whom reirradiation was associated with further local recurrence after proton beam therapy.^{54,57,62,67,68} In patients treated with reirradiation severe toxicities are reported more frequently, up to 21%.⁵⁵ There is also mention of grade 5 toxicities, in particular Koroulakis *et al.* report a fatal presacral haemorrhage in patient previously treated with radiation for ovarian can-

cer in the 1970s who developed a grade 4 presacral skin ulcer.⁶⁰

Clinical trials

The ongoing studies are summarized in Supplementary Table 3.^{69–77}

There are several studies currently recruiting patients with locally recurrent rectal cancer for particle beam RT.

Combs *et al.* published the protocol of the PANDORA-01 study in 2012⁷⁸, describing the protocol for their prospective phase I/II study for carbon ion beam therapy for recurrent rectal cancer. As of now, no clinical results have been published.

Discussion

Since the majority of the included studies were retrospective, non-controlled studies, there is an inherent risk of bias in our publication.

As is evident from this review, patients with locally recurrent rectal cancer who do not receive a complete resection have dismal outcomes after conformal photon radiation therapy. However, both SBRT as well as particle therapy offer a curative non-invasive treatment option for these patients, both RT-naïve and preirradiated. Both SBRT as well as particle beam therapy allow for the application of ablative doses to the target in contrast to conformal photon RT. The application of sufficient dose in the context of ablative radiation therapy is crucial to the curative intent.^{61,63,64} Yamada *et al.* have demonstrated that raising the prescribed dose from 67.2 Gy relative biological effectiveness (RBE) to 73.6 Gy RBE more than doubles both 5-year local control as well as 5-year overall survival.⁵⁴

The main limiting factors to the use of SBRT are target size and shape; while small local and nodal recurrences are good candidates for SBRT, larger recurrences with complex shapes spanning several anatomical compartments are not optimal candidates for SBRT. The definitions of SBRT treatment almost never include the maximum size of the target, specifying only that an ablative dose must be safely applied to the target, the commonly used cut-off for lung tumours is 5 cm (with acceptable results published for larger tumours) and 6 cm for liver tumours, both of which usually have simple, circular shapes.^{79,80} Any attempt at generalizing these results to tumours with complex concave shapes is difficult if not impossible. In our review,




FIGURE 2. Patient selection for particle therapy of locally recurrent rectal cancer.

MDT = metastasis-directed treatment; PS = performance status; reRT = reirradiation; RT = radiation therapy; SBRT = stereotactic body radiation therapy

studies that reported the range of tumour volumes reported maxima under 150 cm³, with Dagoglu *et al.* as the only exception, where the maximum size of lesions was over 1 litre, with the median under 100 cm³, meaning that most targets were still relatively small.⁴⁸

The favourable dose distribution characteristics of particle beams and the potential for dose escalation would increase the chances of cure of macroscopic radioresistant disease without significantly increasing the rate of severe toxicity. Thus, particle beam therapy would present a curative alternative for surgery in patients with unresectable or inoperable locally recurrent rectal cancer.

As regards the selection of patients for each curative-intent RT modality, tumour size and shape may provide useful criteria. Some centres set an upper limit for particle therapy at tumour sizes above 15 x 15 cm, there is still a significant number of patients which are not candidates for SBRT due to the size of the recurrent tumours which would be considered treatable with particles.^{54,58} Thus, particle beams can offer curative treatment to patients with recurrent tumours too large or too complex-shaped for SBRT.

While patients with recurrent tumours abutting or invading the gastrointestinal and urinary tract are routinely counselled against ablative RT, case reports show that in select cases, even such patients may be treated curatively with the application of ablative dose if all reasonable measures are taken to mitigate the risk of late, severe, possibly life-threatening toxicities, including resecting bowel loops at risk of radiation-induced necrosis.^{81,82} However, it should be stressed that these cases are exceptions to the rule and that such decisions lie exclusively in the domain of an experienced, well-versed multidisciplinary team that operates in a high-volume comprehensive cancer centre.

An alternative mitigation strategy in the case of hollow organ abutment is the use of spacers, which displace the hollow organs from the high-dose area and thus both render the application of ablative dose possible and significantly reduce the risk of severe late toxicities.⁸³⁻⁸⁵ Though it should be noted that Shinoto *et al.* report that out of 224 patients in the J-CROS-1404 trial 12 patients developed grade 3 or more late toxicities and all 7 patients who developed pelvic abscesses had undergone spacer insertion before particle beam RT, so this method

may have a risk of toxicities independent of ablative RT.⁵⁸

A randomized trial between surgery and particle beam therapy for patients with unresectable locally recurrent rectal cancer is neither practicable nor is it acceptable from an ethical point of view, given that according to the literature, patients who were treated with an incomplete resection with macroscopic residual tumour (R2 resection) had at best comparable and at worst worse outcomes than those who had not been surgically treated and received only palliative / conservative treatment.¹¹⁻¹³

Similarly, comparing the results of conformal photon radiation therapy and ablative radiation therapy (either SBRT or particle therapy) is meaningless, since their roles in the management of this diverse patient group are different: the former is an adjunct to surgical treatment while the latter, with the possibility of dose escalation, is an alternative for surgery when surgery is not possible.^{61,63} While retrospective studies have shown that certain patients are better candidates for one over the other, until we have more robust, prospective data, no definitive recommendations can be made for patient selection.

Particle therapy avoids mutilating surgical procedures in patients with posterior and lateral recurrences, in extensive recurrent tumours spanning more than one compartment, as well as offering the chance of cure for patients with unresectable disease and contraindications to surgery with acceptable toxicity.

The authors propose the following algorithm for the selection of candidates for particle therapy of locally recurrent rectal cancer:

In conclusion, for unresectable and inoperable locally recurrent rectal cancer ablative radiotherapy techniques such as SBRT and particle beam therapy offer a curative treatment approach. Further research is needed to stratify patients according to their need and eligibility for the different possible modalities of treatment.

Currently running prospective studies will furnish us with more robust results to facilitate patient stratification towards optimal modes of treatment as well as optimizing treatment protocols to achieve optimal results.

References

1. Cancer Factsheets in EU-27 countries - 2020 | ECIS - European Cancer Information System [Internet]. [Cited 2023 Nov 12]. Available at: <https://ecis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/cancer-factsheets-eu-27-countries-2020>
2. Heald RJ, Ryall RDH. Recurrence and survival after total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. *Lancet* 1986; **327**: 1479-82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(86)91510-2
3. Sauer R, Liersch T, Merkel S, Fietkau R, Hohenberger W, Hess C, et al. Preoperative Versus postoperative chemoradiotherapy for locally advanced rectal cancer: results of the German CAO/ARO/AIO-94 Randomized Phase III Trial after a median follow-up of 11 years. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30**: 1926-33. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.40.1836
4. Folkesson J, Birgisson H, Pahlman L, Cedermark B, Glimelius B, Gunnarsson U. Swedish Rectal Cancer Trial: long lasting benefits from radiotherapy on survival and local recurrence rate. *J Clin Oncol* 2005; **23**: 5644-50. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2005.08.144
5. Conroy T, Castan F, Etienne PL, Rio E, Mesgouez-Nebout N, Evesque L, et al. Total neoadjuvant therapy with mFOLFIRINOX versus preoperative chemoradiotherapy in patients with locally advanced rectal cancer: long-term results of the UNICANCER-PRODIGE 23 Trial. *Ann Oncol* 2024; **35**: 873-81. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2024.06.019
6. Fokas E, Schlenska-Lange A, Polat B, Klautke G, Grabenbauer GG, Fietkau R, et al. Chemoradiotherapy plus induction or consolidation chemotherapy as total neoadjuvant therapy for patients with locally advanced rectal cancer: long-term results of the CAO/ARO/AIO-12 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol* 2022; **8**: e215445. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2021.5445
7. Ciseł B, Pietrzak L, Michalski W, Wyrwicz L, Rutkowski A, Kosakowska E, et al. Long-course preoperative chemoradiation versus 5 × 5 Gy and consolidation chemotherapy for clinical T4 and fixed clinical T3 rectal cancer: long-term results of the randomized Polish II study. *Ann Oncol* 2019; **30**: 1298-303. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdz186
8. Li H, Tang Y, Zhou H, Hu C, Wei L, Zhang Y, et al. Preoperative short-course radiotherapy followed by chemotherapy and PD-1 inhibitor administration for locally advanced rectal cancer: the initial results of a Randomized Phase II/III Trial (STELLAR II study). *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2024; **120**: e462. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2024.07.1025
9. Dijkstra EA, Nilsson PJ, Hospers GAP, Bahadoer RR, Meershoek-Klein Kranenbarg E, Roodvoets AGH, et al. Locoregional failure during and after short-course radiotherapy followed by chemotherapy and surgery compared with long-course chemoradiotherapy and surgery: a 5-year follow-up of the RAPIDO Trial. *Ann Surg* 2023; **278**: e766-72. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000005799
10. Rokan Z, Simillis C, Kontovounisios C, Moran B, Tekkis P, Brown G. Locally recurrent rectal cancer according to a Standardized MRI Classification System: a systematic review of the literature. *J Clin Med* 2022; **11**: 3511. doi: 10.3390/jcm11123511
11. Bhangu A, Ali SM, Darzi A, Brown G, Tekkis P. Meta-analysis of survival based on resection margin status following surgery for recurrent rectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 2012; **14**: 1457-66. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2012.03005.x
12. Bhangu A, Ali M, Cunningham D, Brown G, Tekkis PP. Comparison of long-term survival outcomes of operative versus nonoperative management of recurrent rectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2012; **30**: e14132. doi: 10.1200/jco.2012.30.15_suppl.e14132
13. Hagemans JAW, Van Rees JM, Alberda WJ, Rothbarth J, Nuytens JJME, Van Meerten E, et al. Locally recurrent rectal cancer; long-term outcome of curative surgical and non-surgical treatment of 447 consecutive patients in a tertiary referral centre. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2020; **46**: 448-54. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2019.10.037
14. Bird TG, Ngan SY, Chu J, Kroon R, Lynch AC, Heriot AG. Outcomes and prognostic factors of multimodality treatment for locally recurrent rectal cancer with curative intent. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2018; **33**: 393-401. doi: 10.1007/s00384-018-2985-y
15. Zhao J. Patterns and prognosis of locally recurrent rectal cancer following multidisciplinary treatment. *World J Gastroenterol* 2012; **18**: 7015. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v18.i47.7015
16. Yamada K, Ishizawa T, Niwa K, Chuman Y, Akiba S, Aikou T. Patterns of pelvic invasion are prognostic in the treatment of locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Br J Surg* 2001; **88**: 988-93. doi: 10.1046/j.0007-1323.2001.01811.x
17. Moore HG, Shoup M, Riedel E, Minsky BD, Alektiar KM, Ercolani M, et al. Colorectal cancer pelvic recurrences: determinants of resectability. *dis colon rectum* 2004; **47**: 1599-606. doi: 10.1007/s10350-004-0677-x
18. Gao Z, Gu J. Surgical treatment of locally recurrent rectal cancer: a narrative review. *Ann Transl Med* 2021; **9**: 1026. doi: 10.21037/atm-21-2298

19. Fahy MR, Hayes C, Kelly ME, Winter DC. Updated systematic review of the approach to pelvic exenteration for locally advanced primary rectal cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2022; **48**: 2284-91. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2021.12.471
20. Platt E, Dovell G, Smolarek S. Systematic review of outcomes following pelvic exenteration for the treatment of primary and recurrent locally advanced rectal cancer. *Tech Coloproctol* 2018; **22**: 835-45. doi: 10.1007/s10151-018-1883-1
21. Beyond TME Collaborative; Ali SM, Antoniou A, Beynon J, Bhangu A, Bose P, et al. Consensus statement on the multidisciplinary management of patients with recurrent and primary rectal cancer beyond total mesorectal excision planes. *Br J Surg* 2013; **100**: 1009-14. doi: 10.1002/bjs.9192
22. Glynne-Jones R, Wyrwicz L, Tiret E, Brown G, Rödel C, Cervantes A, et al. Rectal cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* 2017; **28**: iv22-40. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx224
23. Piqueur F, Creemers DMJ, Banken E, Coolen L, Tanis PJ, Maas M, et al. Dutch national guidelines for locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2024; **127**: 102736. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2024.102736
24. Enríquez-Navascués JM. Patterns of local recurrence in rectal cancer after a multidisciplinary approach. *World J Gastroenterol* 2011; **17**: 1674. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v17.i13.1674
25. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021; **n71**. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71
26. Habermehl D, Wagner M, Ellerbrock M, Büchler MW, Jäkel O, Debus J, et al. Reirradiation using carbon ions in patients with locally recurrent rectal cancer at HIT: first results. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015; **22**: 2068-74. doi: 10.1245/s10434-014-4219-z
27. Moningi S, Ludmir EB, Polamraju P, Williamson T, Melkun MM, Herman JD, et al. Definitive hyperfractionated, accelerated proton reirradiation for patients with pelvic malignancies. *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol* 2019; **19**: 59-65. doi: 10.1016/j.ctr.2019.08.004
28. Shirai K, Ohno T, Saitoh J, Ichi, Okamoto M, Katoh H, Murata K, et al. Prospective study of isolated recurrent tumor re-irradiation with carbon-ion beams. *Front Oncol* 2019; **9**: 181. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00181
29. Bird TG, Ngan SY, Chu J, Kroon R, Lynch AC, Heriot AG. Outcomes and prognostic factors of multimodality treatment for locally recurrent rectal cancer with curative intent. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2018; **33**: 393-401. doi: 10.1007/s00384-018-2985-y
30. Tang Z, Liu L, Liu D, Wu L, Lu K, Zhou N, et al. Clinical Outcomes and Safety of Different Treatment Modes for Local Recurrence of Rectal Cancer. *Cancer Manag Res* 2020; **12**: 12277-86. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S278427
31. Al-Haidari G, Skovlund E, Undseth C, Rekstad BL, Larsen SG, Åsli LM, et al. Re-irradiation for recurrent rectal cancer - a single-center experience. *Acta Oncol* 2020; **59**: 534-40. doi: 10.1080/0284186X.2020.1725111
32. Bosman SJ, Holman FA, Nieuwenhuijzen GAP, Martijn H, Creemers GJ, Rutten HJT. Feasibility of reirradiation in the treatment of locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Br J Surg* 2014; **101**: 1280-9. doi: 10.1002/bjs.9569
33. Cai G, Zhu J, Palmer JD, Xu Y, Hu W, Gu W, et al. CAPIRI-IMRT: a phase II study of concurrent capecitabine and irinotecan with intensity-modulated radiation therapy for the treatment of recurrent rectal cancer. *Radiat Oncol* 2015; **10**: 57. doi: 10.1186/s13014-015-0360-5
34. Chung SY, Koom WS, Keum KC, Chang JS, Shin SJ, Ahn JB, et al. Treatment outcomes of re-irradiation in locoregionally recurrent rectal cancer and clinical significance of proper patient selection. *Front Oncol* 2019; **9**: 529. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00529
35. Jo S, Choi Y, Park SK, Kim JY, Kim HJ, Lee YH, et al. Efficacy of dose-escalated radiotherapy for recurrent colorectal cancer. *Ann Coloproctol* 2016; **32**: 66. doi: 10.3393/ac.2016.32.2.66
36. Kishan AU, Voog JC, Wiseman J, Cook RR, Ancukiewicz M, Lee P, et al. Standard fractionation external beam radiotherapy with and without intra-operative radiotherapy for locally recurrent rectal cancer: the role of local therapy in patients with a high competing risk of death from distant disease. *Br J Radiol* 2017; **90**: 20170134. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20170134
37. Park Y, Kim K, Park HJ, Jeong SY, Park KJ, Han SW, et al. Results of re-irradiation for pelvic recurrence in anorectal cancer patients. *Br J Radiol* 2019; **92**: 20180794. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20180794
38. Sun DS, Zhang JD, Li L, Dai Y, Yu JM, Shao ZY. Accelerated hyperfractionation field-involved re-irradiation combined with concurrent capecitabine chemotherapy for locally recurrent and irresectable rectal cancer. *Br J Radiol* 2012; **85**: 259-64. doi: 10.1259/bjr/28173562
39. Susko M, Lee J, Salama J, Thomas S, Uronis H, Hsu D, et al. The use of re-irradiation in locally recurrent, non-metastatic rectal cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2016; **23**: 3609-15. doi: 10.1245/s10434-016-5250-z
40. Tanaka H, Yamaguchi T, Hachiya K, Okada S, Kitahara M, Matsuyama K, et al. Radiotherapy for locally recurrent rectal cancer treated with surgery alone as the initial treatment. *Radiat Oncol J* 2017; **35**: 71-7. doi: 10.3857/roj.2016.02005
41. Tao R, Tsai CJ, Jensen G, Eng C, Kopetz S, Overman MJ, et al. Hyperfractionated accelerated reirradiation for rectal cancer: an analysis of outcomes and toxicity. *Radiation Oncol* 2017; **122**: 146-51. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2016.12.015
42. Watanabe J, Shoji H, Hamaguchi T, Miyamoto T, Hirano H, Iwasa S, et al. Chemoradiotherapy for local recurrence of rectal cancer: a single center study of 18 patients. *In Vivo* 2019; **33**: 1363-8. doi: 10.21873/invivo.11612
43. Youssef FF, Parikh PJ, DeWees TA, Mutch MG, Tan BR, Grigsby PW, et al. Efficacy and toxicity of rectal cancer reirradiation using IMRT for patients who have received prior pelvic radiation therapy. *Adv Radiat Oncol* 2016; **1**: 94-100. doi: 10.1016/j.adro.2016.02.002
44. Koom WS, Choi Y, Shim SJ, Cha J, Seong J, Kim NK, et al. Reirradiation to the pelvis for recurrent rectal cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2012; **105**: 637-42. doi: 10.1002/jso.23023
45. Das P, Delclos ME, Skibber JM, Rodriguez-Bigas MA, Feig BW, Chang GJ, et al. Hyperfractionated accelerated radiotherapy for rectal cancer in patients with prior pelvic irradiation. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2010; **77**: 60-5. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.04.056
46. Cai G, Zhu J, Hu W, Zhang Z. Accelerated hyperfractionated intensity-modulated radiotherapy for recurrent/unresectable rectal cancer in patients with previous pelvic irradiation: results of a phase II study. *Radiat Oncol* 2014; **9**: 278. doi: 10.1186/s13014-014-0278-3
47. Holman FA, Bosman SJ, Haddock MG, Gunderson LL, Kusters M, Nieuwenhuijzen GAP, et al. Results of a pooled analysis of IOERT containing multimodality treatment for locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2017; **43**: 107-17. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2016.08.015
48. Dagoglu N, Mahadevan A, Nedea E, Poylin V, Nagle D. Stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) reirradiation for pelvic recurrence from colorectal cancer. *J Surg Oncol* 2015; **111**: 478-82. doi: 10.1002/jso.23858
49. DeFoe S, Bernard M, Rwigema JC, Heron D, Ozhasoglu C, Burton S. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for the treatment of presacral recurrences from rectal cancers. *J Can Res Ther* 2011; **7**: 408. doi: 10.4103/0973-1482.92000
50. Johnstone P, Okonta L, Aitken K, Holmes J, Harrison M, Harji D, et al. A multicentre retrospective review of SABR reirradiation in rectal cancer recurrence. *Radiation Oncol* 2021; **162**: 1-6. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2021.06.030
51. Kim MS, Choi C, Yoo S, Cho C, Seo Y, Ji Y, et al. Stereotactic Body radiation therapy in patients with pelvic recurrence from rectal carcinoma. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2008; **38**: 695-700. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyn083
52. Minneci M, Baclay JRM, Von Eyben R, Vitzthum L, Pöllom E, Chang DT. The utility of stereotactic body radiotherapy for pelvic recurrences after prior radiation therapy. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2021; **111**: e59-60. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2021.07.405
53. Smith T, O'Cathail SM, Silverman S, Robinson M, Tsang Y, Harrison M, et al. Stereotactic body radiation therapy reirradiation for locally recurrent rectal cancer: outcomes and toxicity. *Adv Radiat Oncol* 2020; **5**: 1311-9. doi: 10.1016/j.adro.2020.07.017
54. Yamada S, Kamada T, Ebner DK, Shinoto M, Terashima K, Isozaki Y, et al. Carbon-ion radiation therapy for pelvic recurrence of rectal cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2016; **96**: 93-101. doi: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2016.04.022
55. Yamada S, Takiyama H, Isozaki Y, Shinoto M, Ebner DK, Koto M, et al. Carbon ion radiotherapy for locally recurrent rectal cancer of patients with prior pelvic irradiation. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2022; **29**: 99-106. doi: 10.1245/s10434-021-10876-4
56. Takagawa Y, Suzuki M, Seto I, Azami Y, Machida M, Takayama K, et al. Proton beam reirradiation for locally recurrent rectal cancer patients with prior pelvic irradiation. *J Radiat Res* 2024; **65**: 379-86. doi: 10.1093/jrr/rrae019

57. Takagawa Y, Suzuki M, Yamaguchi H, Seto I, Azami Y, Machida M, et al. Outcomes and prognostic factors for locally recurrent rectal cancer treated with proton beam therapy. *Adv Radiat Oncol* 2023; **8**: 101192. doi: 10.1016/j.adro.2023.101192
58. Shinoto M, Yamada S, Okamoto M, Shioyama Y, Ohno T, Nakano T, et al. Carbon-ion radiotherapy for locally recurrent rectal cancer: Japan Carbon-ion Radiation Oncology Study Group (J-CROS) Study 1404 Rectum. *Radiother Oncol* 2019; **132**: 236-40. doi: 10.1016/j.radonc.2018.10.007
59. Shiba S, Okamoto M, Shibuya K, Kobayashi D, Miyasaka Y, Ohno T. Five-year outcomes in carbon-ion radiotherapy for postoperative pelvic recurrence of rectal cancer: a prospective clinical trial (GUNMA 0801). *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol* 2024; **44**: 100701. doi: 10.1016/j.ctro.2023.100701
60. Koroulakis A, Molitoris J, Kaiser A, Hanna N, Bafford A, Jiang Y, et al. Reirradiation for rectal cancer using pencil beam scanning proton therapy: a single institutional experience. *Adv Radiat Oncol* 2021; **6**: 100595. doi: 10.1016/j.adro.2020.10.008
61. Jeans EB, Ebner DK, Takiyama H, Qualls K, Cunningham DA, Waddle MR, et al. Comparing oncologic outcomes and toxicity for combined modality therapy vs. carbon-ion radiotherapy for previously irradiated locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Cancers* 2023; **15**: 3057. doi: 10.3390/cancers15113057
62. Hiroshima Y, Ishikawa H, Murakami M, Nakamura M, Shimizu S, Enomoto T, et al. Proton beam therapy for local recurrence of rectal cancer. *Anticancer Res* 2021; **41**: 3589-95. doi: 10.21873/anticancer.15147
63. Chung SY, Takiyama H, Kang JH, Chang JS, Min BS, Tsuji H, et al. Comparison of clinical outcomes between carbon ion radiotherapy and X-ray radiotherapy for reirradiation in locoregional recurrence of rectal cancer. *Sci Rep* 2022; **12**: 1845. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-05809-4
64. Cai X, Du Y, Wang Z, Li P, Yu Z, Zhang Q, et al. The role of carbon ion radiotherapy for unresectable locally recurrent rectal cancer: a single institutional experience. *Radiat Oncol* 2020; **15**: 209. doi: 10.1186/s13014-020-01653-w
65. Cai X, Li P, Zhao J, Wang W, Cheng J, Zhang G, et al. Definitive carbon ion re-irradiation with pencil beam scanning in the treatment of unresectable locally recurrent rectal cancer. *J Radiat Res* 2023; **64**: 933-9. doi: 10.1093/jrr/rrad068
66. Barcellini A, Vitolo V, Cobiainchi L, Peloso A, Vanoli A, Mirandola A, et al. Re-irradiation with carbon ion radiotherapy for pelvic rectal cancer recurrences in patients previously irradiated to the pelvis. *In Vivo* 2020; **34**: 1547-53. doi: 10.21873/invivo.11944
67. Hamauchi S, Yamazaki K, Yasui H, Boku N, Onozawa Y, Fukutomi A, et al. Safety and efficacy of proton-beam radiation therapy for patients with locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Ann Oncology* 2012; **23**: xi160. doi: 10.1016/S0923-7534(20)32506-0
68. Shiba S, Okamoto M, Kiyohara H, Ohno T, Kaminuma T, Asao T, et al. Prospective observational study of high-dose carbon-ion radiotherapy for pelvic recurrence of rectal cancer (GUNMA 0801). *Front Oncol* 2019; **9**: 702. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2019.00702
69. Shioyama Y. A phase II clinical trial of carbon-ion radiotherapy for patients with local recurrence after primarily resected rectal cancer (HIMAT1351) [Internet]. Clinical trial registration. [cited 2025 Sep 13]. Available at: <https://center6.umin.ac.jp/cgi-open-bin/ctr/ctr.cgi?function=brows&action=brows&type=summary&language=J&recptno=R000015979>
70. Yamada S. A phase II clinical trial of carbon-ion radiotherapy for pelvic recurrent rectal cancer in patients with prior pelvic carbon-ion irradiation. [Internet]. UMIN Clinical Trials Registry U. [cited 2025 Sep 13]. Available at: https://center6.umin.ac.jp/cgi-open-bin/ctr_e/ctr_view.cgi?recptno=R000025839
71. Cai X. A Prospective Phase II clinical study of carbon ion re-irradiation in unresectable locally recurrent rectal cancer [Internet]. [Clinical trial registration] clinicaltrials.gov; 2023 [cited 2025 Sep 13]. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05807984>
72. Wan J, Wu R, Fu M, Shen L, Zhang H, Wang Y, et al. TORCH-R trial protocol: hypofractionated radiotherapy combined with chemotherapy and toripalimab for locally recurrent rectal cancer: a prospective, single-arm, two-cohort, phase II trial. *Front Oncol* 2023; **13**: 1304767. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2023.1304767
73. Kim HC. Concurrent chemo-proton radiotherapy with or without resection and spacer insertion for loco-regional recurrence of previous irradiated rectal cancer (RECCPT) [Internet]. [cited 2025 Sep 14]. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT03098108>. clinicaltrials.gov; 2018.
74. Washington University School of Medicine. Hypofractionated pencil-beam scanning intensity-modulated proton therapy (IMPT) in recurrent rectal cancer (IMPARC) [Internet]. [cited 2025 Sep 14]. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT04827732>. 2025.
75. Truelsen CG, Rønne HS, Kallehauge JF, Szejewska JE, Bahji R, Diness LV, et al. Acute toxicity and quality of life in dose-escalated proton reirradiation for locally recurrent rectal cancer: the prospective ReRad II trial. *Clin Transl Radiat Oncol* 2025; **54**: 100999. doi: 10.1016/j.ctro.2025.100999
76. Gambacorta MA, Romano A, Caravatta L, Macchia G, Chiloire G, Galofaro E, et al. Radiotherapy & total neoadjuvant therapy for recurrent rectal cancer in previously irradiated patients, (RETRY): a multicenter prospective observational study. *Radiat Oncol* 2024; **19**: 174. doi: 10.1186/s13014-024-02555-x
77. Denost Q, Frison E, Salut C, Sitta R, Rullier A, Harji D, et al. A phase III randomized trial evaluating chemotherapy followed by pelvic reirradiation versus chemotherapy alone as preoperative treatment for locally recurrent rectal cancer – GRECCAR 15 trial protocol. *Colorectal Dis* 2021; **23**: 1909-18. doi: 10.1111/codi.15670
78. Combs SE, Kieser M, Habermehl D, Weitz J, Jäger D, Fossati P, et al. Phase I/II trial evaluating carbon ion radiotherapy for the treatment of recurrent rectal cancer: the PANDORA-01 trial. *BMC Cancer* 2012; **12**: 137. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-12-137
79. Peterson J, Niles C, Patel A, Boujaoude Z, Abouzgheib W, Goldsmith B, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for large (> 5 cm) non-small-cell lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer* 2017; **18**: 396-400. doi: 10.1016/j.clcc.2016.11.020
80. Dawson LA, Hashem S, Bujold A. Stereotactic body radiation therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book* 2012; **32**: 261-4. doi: 10.14694/EdBook_AM.2012.32.171
81. Shiba S, Okamoto M, Shibuya K, Okazaki S, Miyasaka Y, Ohtaka T, et al. Carbon ion radiation therapy for postoperative pelvic recurrence of rectal cancer with a large tumor infiltrating and compressing the rectum: a case report. *Adv Radiat Oncol* 2021; **6**: 100774. doi: 10.1016/j.adro.2021.100774
82. Nagata K, Takiyama H, Tashiro K, Yamadera M, Okamoto K, Kajiwarra Y, et al. Multidisciplinary management of locally recurrent rectal cancer with carbon ion radiotherapy followed by prophylactic removal of the irradiated bowel: a case report. *Surg Case Rep* 2024; **10**: 13. doi: 10.1186/s40792-024-01811-2
83. Kobayashi T, Sekimoto M, Milki H, Yamamoto N, Harino T, Yagyu T, et al. Laparoscopic polyglycolic acid spacer placement for locally recurrent rectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 2024; **26**: 760-5. doi: 10.1111/codi.16882
84. Sasaki R, Demizu Y, Yamashita T, Komatsu S, Akasaka H, Miyawaki D, et al. First-in-human phase 1 study of a Nonwoven Fabric Bioabsorbable Spacer for Particle Therapy: Space-Making Particle Therapy (SMPT). *Adv Radiat Oncol* 2019; **4**: 729-37. doi: 10.1016/j.adro.2019.05.002
85. Trifiletti DM, Garda AE, Showalter TN. Implanted spacer approaches for pelvic radiation therapy. *Expert Rev Med Devices* 2016; **13**: 633-40. doi: 10.1080/17434440.2016.1195682