

GAMIFICATION AND SIMULATION IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE TRAINING FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL: PEDAGOGICAL IMPLICATIONS AND OPERATIONAL RELEVANCE

Ana-Blanca CIOCOI-POP

“Lucian Blaga” University of Sibiu, Romania
anablanca.ciocoi-pop@ulbs.ro

ABSTRACT

The increasing complexity of multinational military operations has generated a growing need for innovative instructional approaches capable of preparing military personnel for demanding communicative environments. Among these approaches, gamification and simulation-based learning have attracted considerable attention because of their potential to promote learner engagement, communicative competence, and operational readiness. This paper presents a literature-based conceptual analysis of the pedagogical potential of gamification and simulation in English language training for military personnel. Drawing upon research in applied linguistics, educational psychology, English for Specific Purposes, and military education, the paper examines the theoretical foundations of these approaches and discusses their applicability within military instructional contexts. Particular attention is devoted to the specific characteristics of military learners, institutional constraints, scenario-based learning, and the relationship between language instruction and operational communication. The discussion further considers the pedagogical implications of integrating gamification and simulation into military English programmes while acknowledging the practical challenges associated with their implementation. The paper concludes by outlining directions for future research and emphasizing the need for continued pedagogical innovation in military language education.

KEYWORDS:

gamification, simulation-based learning, English language training, military personnel, communicative competence

Submission Received: 11 May 2026; Revised: 26 July 2026; Accepted: 28 July 2026; Published: September 2026

1. Introduction

English has become the principal language of international military cooperation, supporting communication during multinational operations, peacekeeping missions, joint exercises, and institutional collaboration within NATO and other international organizations. Contemporary military personnel are therefore expected to demonstrate not only general linguistic proficiency but also the ability to communicate accurately, efficiently, and appropriately in operational environments characterized by time pressure, intercultural interaction, and rapidly evolving situations.

DOI: 10.2478/raft-2026-0030

© 2026 Ana-Blanca Ciocoi-Pop. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License.

Traditional approaches to language instruction, while effective in developing grammatical competence and general language proficiency, do not always provide sufficient opportunities for learners to develop the communicative flexibility required in contemporary military contexts. Increasing attention has therefore been directed toward learner-centred pedagogical approaches that promote active participation, authentic communication, collaborative problem-solving, and experiential learning.

Among these approaches, gamification and simulation-based learning have emerged as particularly promising pedagogical strategies. By incorporating game design principles and realistic operational scenarios into instructional practice, these approaches create learning environments that encourage meaningful language use while reflecting many of the communicative demands encountered during military service. Their educational value lies not only in their capacity to enhance learner engagement but also in their potential to support decision-making, teamwork, and intercultural communication within professionally relevant contexts.

The aim of this paper is to examine the pedagogical potential of gamification and simulation-based learning in English language training for military personnel through a conceptual, literature-based analysis. Rather than reporting empirical findings, the study synthesizes research from applied linguistics, educational psychology, English for Specific Purposes, and military education to explore how these instructional approaches may contribute to communicative competence and operational preparedness. The discussion focuses on the theoretical foundations of gamification and simulation, their compatibility with military educational environments, and the pedagogical considerations associated with their implementation.

Given the evolving character of military operations and the increasing importance of multinational cooperation, language instruction must continue to adapt to changing professional requirements. Examining innovative instructional approaches from a theoretical perspective provides a valuable foundation for future empirical research and for the continued development of military English programmes that are both pedagogically sound and operationally relevant.

2. Theoretical Framework

The pedagogical value of gamification and simulation-based learning in military English instruction can be understood through several complementary theoretical perspectives drawn from applied linguistics, educational psychology, and experiential learning. In particular, Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT), English for Specific Purposes (ESP), Self-Determination Theory (SDT), and Experiential Learning Theory provide a coherent conceptual foundation for examining how these instructional approaches may contribute to language learning in military contexts. Task-Based Language Teaching emphasizes the use of meaningful communicative tasks that resemble authentic language use rather than isolated grammatical practice (Ellis, 2003; Richards & Rodgers, 2001). Learning occurs through purposeful interaction in which learners solve problems, exchange information, and negotiate meaning while pursuing clearly defined objectives. This approach is particularly appropriate for military English because professional communication is inherently task-oriented and frequently involves operational planning, briefing, decision-making, and cooperation under time constraints.

English for Specific Purposes complements this perspective by emphasizing that language instruction should respond to the communicative requirements of particular professional communities (Dudley-Evans & St John, 1998). Military English extends beyond general language proficiency to include specialized terminology, standardized phraseology, operational discourse, and intercultural communication. Consequently, instructional activities should mirror the communicative situations that military personnel are likely to encounter during multinational exercises and operational deployments.

The motivational dimension of gamification can be explained through Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), which proposes that intrinsic motivation develops when learners experience autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Gamified learning environments seek to support these psychological needs through appropriately designed challenges, immediate feedback, achievable goals, and collaborative activities. When carefully implemented, game elements may increase learner engagement by encouraging active participation and sustained involvement in the learning process rather than relying exclusively on external rewards.

Simulation-based learning is further supported by Experiential Learning Theory (Kolb, 1984), which views learning as a cyclical process involving concrete experience, reflection, conceptualization, and active experimentation. Simulations provide learners with opportunities to apply linguistic knowledge in realistic professional situations, enabling them to develop communicative competence while simultaneously strengthening decision-making, teamwork, and problem-solving skills. Such learning environments closely resemble the operational conditions under which military personnel are expected to communicate effectively.

The use of gamification should not be understood simply as introducing games into educational settings. Rather, as Deterding et al. (2011) explain, gamification involves the application of game design elements in non-game contexts to promote motivation, engagement, and meaningful participation. Kapp (2012) similarly argues that successful gamification depends upon aligning game mechanics with clearly defined instructional objectives rather than emphasizing entertainment alone. In military education, this distinction is particularly important because instructional activities must remain aligned with operational requirements and professional learning outcomes.

Taken together, these theoretical perspectives suggest that gamification and simulation-based learning offer complementary approaches to military English instruction. While TBLT and ESP provide the linguistic and professional foundations for authentic communication, Self-Determination Theory and Experiential Learning Theory explain the motivational and cognitive mechanisms through which learners may become more actively engaged in language learning. Collectively, these frameworks provide a robust conceptual basis for exploring the pedagogical potential of innovative instructional strategies within military language education.

3. The Military Training Context

English language training within military institutions differs substantially from language instruction in civilian educational settings because it is shaped by organizational culture, operational requirements, and institutional constraints. These characteristics influence both instructional design and learner participation, requiring pedagogical approaches that combine linguistic development with professional applicability.

One defining feature of military education is its hierarchical organizational structure. Learners are accustomed to clearly established chains of command, standardized procedures, and instructor-directed learning environments. While these characteristics contribute to discipline and instructional consistency, they may reduce opportunities for spontaneous interaction and collaborative knowledge construction, both of which are central to communicative language teaching (Harmer, 2007; Hofstede, 2001). Mention should be made of the fact that the previous claim is rather an extrapolation from general theory, and not specific to military education. Consequently, instructors must balance institutional expectations with learner-centred methodologies that encourage active participation without compromising organizational norms.

Military learners also operate under conditions of considerable cognitive and physical demand. Intensive training schedules, operational responsibilities, and time constraints frequently limit opportunities for sustained language practice. Under these circumstances, English is generally perceived as a professional necessity rather than an academic subject, resulting in predominantly instrumental forms of motivation. Language instruction should therefore maintain a clear connection between classroom activities and authentic professional communication in order to sustain learner engagement and demonstrate the immediate relevance of language learning.

Another important characteristic of military language education is its emphasis on precision and clarity. Military communication frequently takes place in high-pressure environments where misunderstandings may affect operational effectiveness. Consequently, learners must develop not only grammatical accuracy but also the ability to communicate efficiently, appropriately, and confidently in situations involving multinational cooperation, mission planning, crisis response, and intercultural interaction.

Assessment represents a further defining feature of military language programmes. Within NATO member states, proficiency is commonly evaluated according to the descriptors established by STANAG 6001, which provide a standardized framework for assessing listening, speaking, reading, and writing skills. Although these standards contribute to consistency and interoperability across allied forces, they also require instructors to balance examination preparation with the development of broader communicative competence required in operational environments. The international character of contemporary military operations further increases the importance of intercultural communication. Military personnel regularly cooperate with colleagues from different linguistic and cultural backgrounds, making successful interaction dependent upon both language proficiency and intercultural awareness. English therefore functions not only as a shared operational language but also as a means of facilitating collaboration among multinational teams.

These contextual characteristics suggest that military English instruction should extend beyond the transmission of linguistic knowledge alone. Effective programmes should integrate authentic communicative tasks, collaborative problem-solving, and operationally relevant learning experiences that reflect the realities of contemporary military service while remaining compatible with institutional requirements.

4. Gamification in Military English Language Training

Gamification has emerged as an increasingly influential instructional approach in education by incorporating selected game design elements into non-game learning environments. Rather than transforming learning into entertainment, gamification seeks to enhance learner participation, sustained engagement, and goal-oriented behaviour through carefully designed pedagogical activities (Deterding et al., 2011; Kapp, 2012). Within military English language training, these characteristics make gamification particularly relevant because military education already emphasizes structured progression, clearly defined objectives, feedback, and performance monitoring.

Game elements such as points, badges, achievement levels, missions, leaderboards, and collaborative challenges can be integrated into language instruction to encourage active participation and sustained learner involvement. When these elements are aligned with clearly defined learning outcomes, they may increase learner engagement while reinforcing language acquisition through repeated communicative practice rather than mechanical memorization alone. Their pedagogical value depends not on competition itself but on their capacity to support meaningful interaction, continuous feedback, and purposeful language use.

In military contexts, gamification offers opportunities to design learning activities that closely reflect professional responsibilities. Such activities encourage the integration of linguistic knowledge with professional communication while maintaining a high level of learner involvement throughout the instructional process.

The motivational benefits of gamification can be interpreted through Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000). By supporting learners' needs for competence, autonomy, and relatedness, appropriately designed gamified activities may foster intrinsic motivation and encourage sustained participation in language learning. Nevertheless, educational research also emphasizes that game elements should support pedagogical objectives rather than become objectives in themselves. Excessive reliance on external rewards or competition may reduce long-term motivational benefits if instructional design is not carefully balanced (Kapp, 2012).

Gamification may also facilitate formative assessment by providing learners with continuous feedback regarding their progress. Immediate feedback enables learners to identify areas requiring further practice while allowing instructors to monitor performance and adjust instructional activities accordingly. Such feedback mechanisms are particularly valuable within intensive military training programmes, where opportunities for individualized guidance may be limited by time constraints. The successful implementation of gamification in military settings depends upon careful instructional planning, appropriate technological resources, instructor expertise, and alignment with institutional objectives. Consequently, gamification is best understood as one component of a broader pedagogical strategy that complements communicative language teaching, task-based learning, and English for Specific Purposes rather than replacing these established approaches.

Viewed from this perspective, gamification provides a flexible instructional framework capable of supporting communicative language learning while remaining compatible with the organizational realities of military education. Its greatest contribution lies in creating meaningful learning experiences that encourage active participation and connect language development with professionally relevant communication.

5. Simulation-Based Learning in Military English Language Training

Simulation-based learning has become an increasingly important instructional approach within military education because it enables learners to practise language in realistic professional environments while minimizing the risks associated with operational training. Unlike traditional classroom activities, simulations recreate communicative situations in which learners must apply linguistic knowledge, professional judgment, and collaborative decision-making simultaneously. As a result, simulation-based learning provides opportunities to bridge theoretical language instruction and professional practice in a controlled educational setting.

Within military English programmes, simulations can be designed around a wide range of operational scenarios, including mission briefings, checkpoint procedures, multinational staff meetings, humanitarian operations, crisis-response activities, and peace-support missions. These activities require learners to communicate purposefully while responding to changing circumstances, thereby reflecting the dynamic nature of military communication more closely than conventional classroom exercises.

From a pedagogical perspective, simulation-based learning is firmly grounded in Experiential Learning Theory (Kolb, 1984), according to which learning develops through the interaction between experience, reflection, conceptual understanding, and active experimentation. Simulated environments allow learners to test communicative strategies, analyse their performance, and refine their language use in progressively more complex situations. This cyclical learning process supports not only linguistic development but also the acquisition of professional competences that are essential in multinational military contexts.

Simulation-based activities are particularly valuable because they integrate multiple dimensions of communicative competence. Learners must combine grammatical accuracy with pragmatic appropriateness, intercultural awareness, teamwork, and effective decision-making while operating under realistic time constraints. Such activities therefore encourage the development of language skills within contexts that resemble future professional responsibilities rather than isolated classroom practice. An additional advantage of simulation-based learning is the opportunity it provides for structured feedback and reflective practice. Following simulation activities, instructors can facilitate debriefing sessions during which learners analyse communication strategies, identify strengths and weaknesses, and consider alternative responses to operational situations. As Crookall (2010) emphasizes, systematic debriefing is an essential component of simulation because it transforms practical experience into meaningful learning.

Simulation-based learning should be regarded as a complementary instructional approach that enriches military English education by providing authentic communicative experiences within structured learning environments. While further empirical research is needed to evaluate its impact across different military contexts, existing theoretical and pedagogical literature suggests that simulations constitute a valuable component of contemporary military language instruction when integrated thoughtfully into broader instructional programmes.

6. Pedagogical Implications

The discussion presented throughout this paper suggests that the successful integration of gamification and simulation into military English language training depends not merely on the adoption of innovative instructional technologies but on their pedagogically informed implementation.

A central consideration concerns curriculum design. Gamified activities and simulation-based tasks should be explicitly aligned with clearly defined learning outcomes, operational communication requirements, and the proficiency descriptors established by STANAG 6001. Their educational value lies in supporting the progressive development of communicative competence through activities that reflect the linguistic demands of multinational military cooperation while remaining compatible with institutional training objectives.

The role of the instructor likewise becomes increasingly important. Effective implementation requires teachers to combine expertise in language pedagogy with an understanding of military communication, operational procedures, and English for Specific Purposes. Rather than acting primarily as transmitters of knowledge, instructors assume the role of facilitators who design authentic learning environments, guide learner interaction, monitor performance, and provide constructive feedback throughout the learning process. Careful instructional design is equally essential. Game mechanics should always serve pedagogical objectives rather than becoming ends in themselves. Similarly, simulation activities should be selected according to their relevance to specific communicative outcomes and learners' proficiency levels. Activities that are excessively complex or insufficiently structured may increase cognitive demands without producing corresponding learning benefits. Appropriate scaffolding, clear instructions, and systematic debriefing therefore remain fundamental components of effective instructional practice.

Assessment should also be integrated into the instructional process rather than functioning solely as a final measure of achievement. Formative assessment, continuous feedback, peer collaboration, and reflective practice provide valuable opportunities for monitoring learner progress while encouraging the gradual development of communicative competence. Such approaches complement standardized proficiency assessment by supporting language learning throughout the instructional process rather than evaluating it exclusively at its conclusion.

Institutional factors must likewise be considered when introducing innovative instructional approaches. The successful implementation of gamification and simulation requires organizational support, appropriate technological infrastructure, instructor training, and sufficient curricular flexibility. Educational innovation is therefore most likely to succeed when pedagogical objectives, institutional policies, and operational requirements are developed in a mutually supportive manner.

Taken together, these pedagogical considerations suggest that the value of gamification and simulation lies not in the instructional tools themselves but in the quality of their educational design. When thoughtfully integrated into military English programmes, these approaches offer meaningful opportunities to support communicative language development while remaining consistent with the professional demands of contemporary military education.

7. Challenges and Future Directions

Although the theoretical literature reviewed in this paper highlights the pedagogical potential of gamification and simulation-based learning, their successful implementation within military English language programmes is influenced by a range of institutional, pedagogical, and technological factors. Recognizing these challenges is essential for translating conceptual frameworks into effective educational practice.

One important consideration concerns instructor preparation. The successful integration of gamified activities and simulation-based learning requires instructors to possess expertise not only in language pedagogy but also in instructional design, educational technologies, and the communicative demands of military environments. Professional development initiatives should therefore equip instructors with the knowledge and practical skills necessary to design authentic learning experiences while maintaining alignment with institutional objectives.

Technological infrastructure represents a further challenge. Although digital platforms, simulation software, and virtual learning environments create valuable instructional opportunities, their implementation may be constrained by institutional resources, cybersecurity requirements, and the availability of specialized training facilities. Consequently, educational innovation should be planned in accordance with organizational capacities rather than technological novelty alone. Assessment also deserves continued attention. While standardized frameworks such as STANAG 6001 remain essential for ensuring consistency across military language programmes, future developments should explore ways of integrating performance-based assessment with established proficiency standards. Scenario-based tasks, collaborative problem-solving activities, and digitally supported assessment may provide more comprehensive evidence of communicative competence while remaining compatible with institutional certification requirements.

Perhaps the most significant limitation of the existing literature is the relative scarcity of empirical research examining the implementation of gamification and simulation in military English language education. Much of the current scholarship, including the present paper, is conceptual in nature and draws upon established theoretical frameworks together with findings from related educational contexts. Consequently, additional empirical investigation is needed to evaluate how these instructional approaches influence learner engagement, communicative competence, language proficiency, and operational performance across different military settings.

Future research could examine classroom implementation through experimental and quasi-experimental studies, longitudinal investigations of learner development, case studies of military language programmes, and mixed-methods research combining quantitative performance measures with qualitative learner and instructor perspectives. Comparative studies involving different military institutions or multinational training environments would also contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the contextual factors influencing successful implementation. Finally, continued collaboration among specialists in applied linguistics, educational psychology, instructional technology, and military education will be essential for developing evidence-informed pedagogical practices. Such interdisciplinary cooperation can support the design of innovative instructional models that remain pedagogically sound, operationally relevant, and responsive to the evolving communicative demands of contemporary military service.

8. Conclusions

The increasing complexity of multinational military operations requires English language instruction that extends beyond the development of general linguistic proficiency to include the communicative, intercultural, and professional competences necessary for effective operational collaboration. Within this context, gamification and simulation-based learning represent promising pedagogical approaches that are compatible with the evolving demands of contemporary military education.

This literature-based conceptual analysis has examined the theoretical foundations of these instructional approaches through the perspectives of Task-Based Language Teaching, English for Specific Purposes, Self-Determination Theory, and Experiential Learning Theory. Collectively, these frameworks suggest that gamification and simulation can provide meaningful opportunities for designing authentic, learner-centred instructional experiences that reflect the communicative realities of military service.

The discussion has also highlighted that the educational value of these approaches depends less on the use of digital technologies or game elements themselves than on their thoughtful pedagogical integration. Successful implementation requires careful curriculum design, instructor expertise, appropriate assessment practices, institutional support, and alignment with operational communication needs. When these conditions are met, gamification and simulation can complement established language-teaching methodologies while remaining consistent with military training requirements.

At the same time, the present study has emphasized the conceptual nature of the existing discussion. Although the literature provides substantial theoretical support for the integration of gamification and simulation into military English language education, additional empirical research is needed to examine their implementation across different instructional settings and to evaluate their impact on language development, communicative performance, and operational preparedness. Such evidence will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the conditions under which these approaches are most effective.

Ultimately, innovation in military language education should be guided not by technological novelty but by sound pedagogical principles and evidence-informed instructional design. Continued collaboration among researchers, language instructors, instructional designers, and military training specialists will play an important role in developing English language programmes that remain academically rigorous, operationally relevant, and responsive to the changing demands of international military cooperation.

REFERENCES

Crookall, D. (2010). Serious games, debriefing, and simulation/gaming as a discipline. *Simulation & Gaming*, 41(6), 898-920. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/104687811039078>.

Deci, E.L., & Ryan, R.M. (2000). The "What" and "Why" of Goal Pursuits: Human Needs and the Self-Determination of Behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, An International Journal for the Advancement of Psychological Theory*, 11(4), 227-268. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01.

Deterding, S., Dixon, D., Khaled, R., & Nacke, L. (2011). From game design elements to gamefulness: Defining gamification. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments*, 9-15. ACM. DOI:10.1145/2181037.2181040.

Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M.J. (1998). *Developments in English for Specific Purposes: A Multi-Disciplinary Approach*. Cambridge University Press.

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-Based Language Learning and Teaching*. Oxford University Press.

Harmer, J. (2007). *The Practice of English Language Teaching* (4th ed.). Pearson Longman.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's Consequences: Comparing Values, Behaviors, Institutions and Organizations Across Nations* (2nd ed.). Sage.

Kapp, K.M. (2012). *The Gamification of Learning and Instruction*. Pfeiffer.

Kolb, D.A. (1984). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Prentice Hall.

NATO. (2011). *STANAG 6001: NATO Standardization Agreement – Language Proficiency Levels* (4th ed.). NATO Standardization Office.

Richards, J.C., & Rodgers, T.S. (2001). *Approaches and Methods in Language Teaching* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press.