

Effects of main directions within the tree canopy on fruit quality parameters in apricot cv. “Şalak” (*Prunus armeniaca* L.)

Cumali Gümüşel¹, Mücahit Pehlivan^{2*}, Tuncay Kaya², Berna Doğru Çokran³

¹Iğdır University, Institute of Science,
76000, Iğdır, Türkiye

²Iğdır University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Horticulture,
76000, Iğdır, Türkiye

³Pamukkale University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Horticulture,
20600, Denizli, Türkiye

*Corresponding author: mucahit.pehlivan@igdir.edu.tr

Abstract

This study was conducted to determine the quality differences between the fruits located in the west, east, south and north directions within the tree canopy of apricot variety “Şalak” during 2021-2022. To this end, some physical properties such as fruit weight, stone weight, fruit width, length, and height, fruit flesh thickness, fruit firmness, and fruit $L^* a^* b^*$ colour values were examined. In addition, chemical properties were also evaluated, including soluble solids content (SSC), juice pH, titratable acidity, and ascorbic acid. Based on the biennial means, the effects of the main directions were statistically significant ($p \leq 0.05$) for all the fruit quality traits studied, except for fruit thickness, sphericity, stone weight, and b^* value. The fruits were heavier and larger in the east and south directions, while the fruits with higher firmness scores were harvested in the north and west directions. The south side produced more matte fruits (L^*) and the east side produced fruits with a higher level of red colour (a^*) than the other sides. The SSC content of the fruits on the east and south sides was higher than on the other sides. The ascorbic acid content of the fruit was higher on the west and north sides than on the east and south sides. Based on the ripening index, the fruits on the east and south sides were found to be riper than the fruits on the north and west sides. As a result of this study, it is recommended that apricot growers, especially those producing in large orchards and harvesting for several days, first harvest the east and south sides of the canopy and then harvest the remaining other sides.

Keywords: Fruit position, quality attributes, ripening index, variety “Şalak”

Zusammenfassung

Einfluss der Hauptrichtungen innerhalb der Baumkrone auf Fruchtqualitätsparameter bei der Aprikosensorte “Şalak” (*Prunus armeniaca* L.). Diese Studie wurde durchgeführt, um die Qualitätsunterschiede zwischen den Früchten in West-, Ost-, Süd- und Nordrichtung innerhalb der Baumkronen der Aprikosensorte “Şalak” in den Jahren 2021-2022 zu bestimmen. Zu diesem Zweck wurden einige physikalische Eigenschaften wie Fruchtgewicht, Steingewicht, Fruchtbreite, -länge und

-höhe, Fruchtfleischdicke, Fruchtfestigkeit und $L^* a^* b^*$ Farbwerte untersucht. Außerdem wurden einige chemische Eigenschaften wie der Gehalt an löslichen Feststoffen (SSC), der pH-Wert des Saftes, die titrierbare Säure und die Ascorbinsäure bewertet. Basierend auf den zweijährigen Mittelwerten waren die Effekte der Hauptrichtungen für alle untersuchten Fruchtqualitätsmerkmale statistisch signifikant ($p \leq 0,05$), mit Ausnahme der Fruchtdicke, der Sphärizität, des Steingewichts und des b^* -Wertes. Die Früchte waren in östlicher und südlicher Richtung schwerer und größer, während die Früchte mit höheren Festigkeitswerten in nördlicher und westlicher Richtung geerntet wurden. Auf der Südseite wurden mehr matte Früchte (L^*) und auf der Ostseite mehr rote Früchte (a^*) geerntet als auf den anderen Seiten. Der SSC-Gehalt der Früchte war auf der Ost- und Südseite höher als auf den anderen Seiten. Der Ascorbinsäuregehalt der Früchte war auf der West- und Nordseite höher als auf der Ost- und Südseite. Anhand des Reifeindex wurde festgestellt, dass die Früchte auf der Ost- und Südseite reifer sind als die Früchte auf der Nord- und Westseite. Als Ergebnis dieser Studie wird empfohlen, dass Aprikosenanbauer, insbesondere solche, die in großen Anlagen produzieren und an mehreren Tagen ernten, zuerst die Ost- und Südseite der Baumkrone ernten und dann die anderen Seiten.

Schlagwörter: Position der Früchte, Qualitätsmerkmale, Reifeindex, Sorte "Şalak"

Introduction

Apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) is a widely cultivated fruit species belonging to the family *Rosaceae*, originating from China and Central Asia, and encompasses over 12 described species. Appreciated for its flavor and aroma, apricot is consumed globally (Ruiz and Egea 2008; Velardo et al., 2021; Milošević et al., 2021). In 2021, global apricot production reached 3,578,841 tons, with Türkiye leading the production with 800,000 tons in the same year, followed by Uzbekistan, Iran and Algeria (FAO, 2023). According to available data, the East Anatolian Region, as a distinct agroecological zone, contributes 53.70 % to Türkiye's apricot production. Notably, Malatya stands out in this region with a production of 303,756 tons in 2022, followed by Iğdır and Elazığ with 40,844 tons and 29,186 tons respectively. (TÜİK, 2023).

The city of Iğdır, situated in the Aras Valley of Eastern Anatolia Region, contributes about 4 % to Türkiye's apricot production. Roughly, 90 % of the apricots produced in this city are of "Şalak" variety, marketed as table fruit at the end of June and beginning of July. (Doğru Çokran and Karadeniz, 2020). Wild apricot seedlings (Zerdali) are commonly used as a rootstock for the "Şalak"

apricot variety, resulting in vigorous growth with a wide and spreading canopy. However, due to the large tree canopy, fruits do not ripen simultaneously during the harvest period, leading to non-uniform fruit quality and reduced marketable yield. Immaturely harvested apricots are prone to wrinkling, water loss, and mechanical damage, while overripe ones are susceptible to softening, deterioration, and reduced shelf life, resulting in economic losses. Fruit harvested too early or too late in the season is more prone to postharvest physiological disorders compared to those harvested at proper ripeness (Kader, 1999).

Previous studies in apple have demonstrated that fruit size, color, dry matter (Kviklys et al., 2022), and ascorbic acid content (Feng et al., 2014) were influenced by the fruit's position in the canopy. Fruit position (main direction) significantly affected fruit weight by about 30 % in sweet cherry (Polyák et al., 2011). The effects of fruit canopy position on quality indices and bioactive compounds in dried apricot varieties Hacıhaliloğlu and Kabaaşı, both commercially significant, were examined, revealing quality disparities in the fruits (Karabulut et al., 2018). However, the physical attributes and ripening components were only limitedly investigated in that study.

Hence, the objective of this article was to investigate the effects of the four main directions within the tree canopy on standard quality traits, including fruit size (fruit length, fruit thickness, fruit width, fruit mean diameter, sphericity), fruit weight, seed weight, flesh/seed ratio, flesh thickness, firmness, CIE Lab*, hue, chroma, soluble solid content, titratable acidity, ripening index, pH, and ascorbic acid content in apricot fruit.

Materials and methods

Plant material, sampling procedures and experimental design

The experiment was conducted in a commercial orchard located in Iğdır (865 m, 39°50' N and 44°62' E), in Eastern Anatolia of Türkiye, during 2021-2022. The study material consisted of apricot cultivar “Şalak” grafted onto wild apricot

(Zerdali) rootstock, which was planted at a distance of 8 x 8 m in 2013. Due to the square planting system, the orchard rows were oriented both south-north and east-west. A modified central leader training system was adopted for tree management. Conventional practices, including irrigation, pruning, fertilization, and pest control were implemented for orchard maintenance. The apricot variety “Şalak” used in the study is characterized by its elliptical shape, yellow fruit skin and flesh, occasional slight red skin coloration, juicy and well-balanced taste, medium firmness and excellent eating quality (Doğru Çokran and Karadeniz, 2020). Notably, “Şalak” is distinguished by its large fruit size and weight (Fig. 1). Sampling areas for each main direction were determined using a GPS device (Garmin Vista HCx, Taoyuan, Taiwan). Samples were collected from designated sampling area to represent three-dimensional space. The directions of the tree were sampled as indicated by yellow areas in Fig.2.



Fig. 1: Fruit of “Şalak” apricot variety (Doğru Çokran, 2020)




Fig. 2: Illustration of sampling area within tree canopy. N; north, W; west, S; south, E; east.

The monthly average humidity, temperature, and precipitation data for the study area during the years 2021 and 2022 are presented in Fig. 3. In 2021, the annual average temperature, humidity and total precipitation were recorded as 14.2 °C, 54.9 % and 193.7 mm, respectively. In 2022, these values were slightly lower, with an annual average of 14.1 °C, humidity of 54.2 %, and total precipitation of 162.8 mm. The experiment was

established with seven replicates ($n=7$), each consisting of one apricot tree per plot, following a completely randomized design. A total of 40 fruits were randomly picked for analysis from each of the seven trees, with 10 fruits from each of the four main directions, for each experimental year. The harvest took place on June 14, 2021, in the first year, and on June 26, 2022 in the second year.




Fig. 3: Weather conditions: (a) monthly relative humidity, (b) monthly temperature, and (c) monthly precipitation, in the study years (2021 and 2022)

Fruit physical measurements

Fruit and stone weight were measured with an electronic scale (A&D Weighing EJ 610, Taiwan) with an accuracy ± 0.01 g. In the calculation of flesh/stone ratio, the stone weight was subtracted from whole fruit weight, and fruit weight was proportioned to the flesh weight as a percentage ($f/s = \frac{\text{fruit weight} - \text{stone weight}}{\text{fruit weight}} \times 100$). Fruit dimensions (width-w, length-l and thickness-t) and flesh thickness were determined with a digital calliper (Louisware RO-04, China) with an accuracy of ± 0.02 mm. Geometric dimension diameters (gdd) were calculated using fruit dimension values as follows: $gdd = \sqrt[3]{lwt}$ (Mohsenin, 1980). Sphericity (s) was calculated using the formula as follows: $s = \frac{gdd}{l}$ (Milošević et al., 2021). Fruit firmness was measured using a hand penetrometer (Fruit pressure tester, FT 327, Italy) and expressed in kg/cm².

The outer surface color parameters (CIE $L^*a^*b^*$) of the harvested fruits were measured with a Minelto CR-400 Chroma meter (Konica, Inc. Japan). Here; L^* , represents lightness from black (0) to white (100), a^* represents the color from green (0) to red (100) and b^* , indicates the color from blue (0) to yellow (100) (Gonçalves et al., 2007). Hue° and chroma were calculated using the following formulas; $\arctan(b^*/a^*)$ and $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, respectively (Doğan, 2002).

Fruit chemical analyses

The soluble solid content (SSC) of fruit juice was measured using a portable refractometer (Hanna Instruments, Vöhringen, Germany) at room temperature conditions. Titratable acidity (TA) was determined in prepared fruit juice by titrating with 0.1 N NaOH to pH 8.1. The pH measurement in fruit juice was conducted using a portable pH meter (Jenco Instruments Inc., San Diego, USA). The SSC/Titratable ratio was utilized to determine the ripening index in the fruit samples. The ascorbic acid content of the fruits was measured

with a reflectometer (RQflex 10 plus, Merck, Germany) using a 25–450 mg L⁻¹ measuring ranges for ascorbic acid. The method involves reducing yellow molybdophosphoric acid to molybdenum blue by the action of ascorbic acid. The results were expressed as mg 100 g⁻¹. (Balık et al., 2023). All measurements were done triplicate.

Statistical analyses

Two-way ANOVA, Principal component analyses (PCA), and a biplot PCA design were performed using JMP 17 software package (Trial, JMP Statistical Discovery LLC, North Carolina, USA). When the F test was significant, means were compared using the Fishers's Least Significant test at $p \leq 0.05$. The R studio corrplot package (Wei and Simko, 2017) was utilized to determine Person's rank correlation matrix ($p \leq 0.05$) for the studied traits.

Results and discussion

Fruit physical attributes

Fruit size is an important quality criterion expressed by fruit width, length and thickness, affecting consumer preferences in table apricot varieties. During the two years of the current study (Tab. 1), fruit width, length, geometric mean diameter, and flesh thickness were significantly affected by the directions within the tree canopy, while fruit thickness and sphericity showed no significant differences among directions. The maximum values of fruit width, length, geometric mean diameter, and flesh thickness were obtained from the south side, measuring 44.68 mm, 53.49 mm, 46.66 mm and 12.94 mm, respectively, while the lowest values of these parameters was observed on the west and north sides. The effect of the years on these traits, except for flesh thickness, was statistically significant, with the year of 2022 yielding the highest values. Years x directions interaction was only significant in sphericity (Fig. 4).

Tab. 1: Average of fruit size (dimension), geometric mean diameter, sphericity and flesh thickness (2021–2022)

Directions	Years	Fruit width (mm)	Fruit length (mm)	Fruit thickness (mm)	Geometric mean diameter (mm)	Sphericity	Flesh thickness (mm)
East		44.25 ab	52.80 b	42.29 a	46.22 ab	0.88 a	12.60 b
West		43.97 b	52.66 b	41.94 a	45.94 b	0.87 a	12.48 b
North		43.70 b	52.39 b	41.94 a	45.78 b	0.87 a	12.48 b
South		44.68 a	53.49 a	42.56 a	46.66 a	0.87 a	12.94 a
	2021	43.14 b	52.46 b	40.35 b	45.02 b	0.86 b	12.92 a
	2022	45.16 a	53.21 a	44.02 a	47.28 a	0.89 a	12.33 a
F Value							
Directions		4.35**	4.36**	2.36 ^{ns}	4.31**	0.56 ^{ns}	6.43***
Years		100.83***	11.10***	356.49***	147.21***	236.90***	47.18***
Directions x Years		0.065 ^{ns}	0.185 ^{ns}	0.714 ^{ns}	0.962 ^{ns}	4.17**	0.43 ^{ns}

Different letters in the same column indicate significantly different values according to Fisher's LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$), **significant at $p < 0.01$, ***significant at $p < 0.001$, ns: not significant.

For “Şalak” apricot variety, the findings of this study regarding fruit size (length, width, and thickness) and flesh thickness were in line with those documented by Pehlivan et al. (2021), Doğru Çokran (2020), Gülsoy et al. (2016), and Mashhadi and Khadivi (2022). However, the sphericity values of the fruits were lower than those reported by Altıkat and Temiz (2019).

The effect of the directions on the fruit weight, the flesh/stone ratio and the fruit firmness were found to be significantly different, but the stone weight showed no significant difference (Tab. 2). The south direction exhibited the highest fruit weight at 55.43 g, whereas the other directions

showed lower levels within the same statistical group. The highest value of the flesh/stone ratio was obtained from the east side and the south side with 96.43 and 96.41, respectively. The highest firmness value was observed on the north side, measuring 5.79 kg/cm², whereas the lowest value was recorded on the south side (4.16 kg/cm²). The examined parameters were significantly influenced by years, as indicated in Tab. 2. The highest fruit and stone weight were recorded in the year 2022, while the highest flesh/stone ratio and the highest firmness were observed in the year 2021. The interaction between years and directions was significant only for fruit firmness (Fig. 4).

Tab. 2: Average of fruit and stone weight, flesh/stone ratio and fruit firmness (2021-2022)

Directions	Years	Fruit weight (g)	Stone weight (g)	Flesh/stone ratio (%)	Fruit firmness (kg/cm ²)
East		53.59 b	1.90 a	96.43 a	4.78 c
West		52.88 b	1.95 a	96.27 b	5.30 b
North		51.92 b	1.96 a	96.21 b	5.79 a
South		55.43 a	1.96 a	96.41 a	4.16 d
	2021	43.36 b	1.62 b	96.60 a	5.60 a
	2022	58.55 a	2.27 a	96.07 b	4.42 b
F Value					
Directions		5.33***	2.14 ^{ns}	4.56***	36.48***
Years		250.84***	905.57***	106.65***	103.10***
Directions x Years		0.40 ^{ns}	0.52 ^{ns}	1.01 ^{ns}	12.76***

Different letters in the same column indicate significantly different values according to Fisher's LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$), ***significant at $p < 0.001$, ns: not significant.




Fig. 4: Interaction of directions x years for fruit sphericity (a) and fruit firmness (b). Different letters in the related visuals indicate significant differences according to the LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Fruit weight, stone weight and flesh/stone ratio in the present study were consistent with the findings reported by Muradoğlu et al. (2011) and Doğru Çokran (2020). Fruit firmness of “Şalak” cultivar was previously determined to be 5.13 kg/cm² under Malatya ecological conditions (Karaat, 2018), and the present data obtained in this study were comparable to these results.

The L^* , a^* and hue° values of the fruit were significantly affected by the directions. However, the effect of the directions on b^* and chroma was not significant (Tab. 3). Compared to the other directions, the fruits on the south side of the tree canopy exhibited a lower L^* value of 78.36. Fruits on the east, west and north sides of the canopy were grouped statistically similarly, with values of 81.57, 80.91 and 81.07, respectively. Although the fruit on the east side displayed the highest redness (a^*) with a value of 5.45, the fruit on the west side exhibited the lowest redness with a value of 2.63. Fruits in the eastern direction within canopy may have accumulated more anthocyanins because

the daily temperature was lower in the early morning when fruits were the first exposed to the sun. Previously, Jakopic et al. (2009) and Awad et al. (2001) reported that apple peel from the sun-exposed outer canopy had higher anthocyanin concentrations than inner canopy fruit. In the present work, the highest hue angle value at 85.31 was observed in the fruits on the north side, while the lowest hue angle value was 82.83 in fruits on the east side. All colour parameters studied were significantly affected by the years. Fruits in 2021 were observed to be more yellow (b^* and hue°) and brighter (L^*) than those in 2022, whereas fruits in 2022 were redder (a^*) and had higher chroma values than those in 2021. Except for chroma, the year x direction interaction was found to be significant for the colour parameters examined (Fig. 5). Hąkgüder Taze and Ünlütürk (2018) reported that the L^* , a^* , and b^* values in the apricot cultivar “Şalak” were 65.53, 4.93, and 42.34, respectively. Apart from L^* value, the a^* , b^* , hue° and chroma values in the present study were consistent with the researchers’ findings above.

Tab. 3: Average of fruit colour parameters according to CIE $L^*a^*b^*$ (2021-2022)

Directions	Years	L^*	a^*	b^*	Hue°	Chroma
East		81.57 a	5.45 a	46.47 a	82.83 c	47.02 a
West		80.91 a	2.63 c	43.26 a	84.74 ab	43.53 a
North		81.07 a	3.54 bc	46.88 a	85.31 a	47.20 a
South		78.36 b	4.16 b	44.09 a	83.81 bc	44.47 a
	2021	83.21 a	2.39 b	40.92 a	85.63 a	41.05 b
	2022	77.74 b	5.50 a	49.43 b	82.71 b	50.06 a
F Value						
Directions		3.54**	12.37***	2.13ns	7.52***	2.30ns
Years		50.93***	85.96***	48.91***	54.08***	55.34***
Directions x Years		3.61**	6.85***	2.62*	4.78***	2.42ns

Different letters in the same column indicate significantly different values according to Fisher's LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$), **significant at $p < 0.01$, ***significant at $p < 0.001$, ns: not significant.




Fig. 5: Interaction of directions x years for L^* (a), a^* (b), b^* (c) and hue angle value (d). Different letters in the related visuals indicate significant differences according to the LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Fruit chemical properties

Apricot fruits situated in four primary directions within the tree canopy exhibited significant differences in all chemical traits examined. (Tab. 4). The east side recorded the highest SSC at

14.53 %, while the west side and the north side fell within the same statistical group, displaying the lowest SSC values at 13.10 % and 13.12 %, respectively.

Tab. 4: Average of some chemical features in cv. "Şalak" fruit (2021-2022)

Directions	Years	Soluble solid content (%)	Titrateable acidity (g 100 g ⁻¹)	Ripening index	Fruit juice pH	Ascorbic acid (mg 100 g ⁻¹)
East		14.53 a	0.85 b	17.26 a	4.37 a	15.84 b
West		13.10 c	0.89 a	15.03 c	4.27 b	16.97 a
North		13.12 c	0.83 bc	16.08 b	4.29 b	16.25 ab
South		13.97 b	0.81 c	17.80 a	4.37 a	14.88 c
	2021	13.49 b	0.89 a	15.35 b	4.18 b	14.31 b
	2022	13.87 a	0.80 b	17.76 a	4.47 a	17.66 a
F Value						
Directions		25.61***	12.50***	26.15***	22.66***	10.65***
Years		7.44*	129.36***	102.80***	680.72***	156.14***
Directions x Years		4.78**	13.19***	19.09***	4.64***	10.73***

Different letters in the same column indicate significantly different values according to Fisher's LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$), *significant at $p < 0.05$, **significant at $p < 0.01$, ***significant at $p < 0.001$, ns: not significant.

Based on directions, titrateable acidity varied from 0.81 % (south) to 0.89 % (west). The highest ripening index was observed on the east (17.26) and south (17.80) sides, both falling within the same statistical group, whereas the lowest ripening index was noted on the west side (15.03). Fruit on the east and west sides exhibited the highest pH value, at the same score (4.37), within the same statistical group, while those on the west and north sides displayed the lowest pH values at 4.27 and 4.29, respectively. The ascorbic acid content ranged from 14.88 mg 100 g⁻¹ to 16.97 mg 100 g⁻¹, with fruits on the west side showing a higher content compared to those on the other sides. The years significantly influenced all studied chemical parameters. The SSC, ripening index, pH, and ascorbic acid content were higher in 2022 than in 2021, whereas higher titrateable acidity was observed in 2021 compared to 2022. The interaction between years and directions for all examined chemical parameters was found to be significant (Fig. 6). According to Özyörük and Güleriyüz (1992), the SSC, titrateable acidity and ascorbic acid content of 'Şalak' variety were reported to be 13.50 %, 0.92 g 100 g⁻¹ and 15.4 mg 100 g⁻¹, respectively. The pH value of the same

variety was found to be in the range of 4.46 to 4.81 (Doğru Çokran et al., 2015). Our findings were consistent with those of these researchers.

Fruits located on the east and south sides within the tree canopy were observed to be larger in size and more ripe compared to the other sides. This could be attributed to the angle of sunlight incidence and the associated temperature conditions. Corelli et al. (2004) noted that fruit growth proportions were correlated with temperature conditions. Due to higher irradiance, the east and south sides experienced elevated temperatures compared to the north and west sides, promoting faster fruit development and resulting in larger fruit size and weight at harvest. The impact of the years on the parameters examined in this study was significant, with traits generally higher in 2022 compared to 2021. This may be attributed to climatic variations between April, when the fertilised ovary develops, and July, when the harvest concludes. As depicted in Fig. 3, relative humidity and precipitation between April and July were higher in 2022 than in 2021.




Fig. 6: Interaction of directions x years for soluble solid content (a), acidity (b), ripening index (c), pH (d) and ascorbic acid (e). Different letters in the related visuals indicate significant differences according to the LSD test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Correlation among studied traits

The physical characteristics of the fruit (fruit dimension, geometric mean diameter, and stone and fruit weight) were found to be significantly correlated with each other (Fig. 7). Similar relationships were previously described by Biondi et al. (1991). In the present study, sphericity was observed to have a negative and slight correlation with fruit length, while the flesh/stone ratio exhibited a negative correlation with stone weight. Fruit firmness correlated significantly with fruit size (dimensions, geometric mean diameter,

and sphericity), fruit weight and stone weight, albeit only slightly, correlated negatively. A positive correlation was found between the ripening index and soluble solid content, while a negative correlation was found between the ripening index and titratable acidity. Similar relationships were reported by Milošević et al. (2021). In this study, a significant, negative and moderate correlation was observed between hue^o and a*, while a significant, positive, and strong correlation was found between chroma and b*.

Principal component analysis

According to the PCA analysis, the first two principal components (PC) accounted for 45.3 % of the total variation in the present study. The variation rate and eigenvalues were determined to be 30.20 % and 6.02 for PC1, and 15.10 % and 3.02 for PC2, respectively. In a biplot PCA projection, four main directions and two years were visually separated (Fig. 8). This projection revealed that in the year2022, there were higher

levels of ascorbic acid content, sphericity, stone weight, pH, ripening index, and a* value, whereas in 2021, a higher titratable acid content was observed for cv. “Şalak”. Furthermore, the south direction exhibited higher fruit length, fruit width, fruit thickness, geometric mean diameter, fruit weight and soluble solids content. Conversely, the north direction displayed higher fruit firmness, hue° and L* values for cv. “Şalak”.




Fig. 7: Pearson's rank correlation among 20 studied variables. W; fruit width, L; fruit length, T; fruit thickness, Dg; geometric mean diameter, S; sphericity, FT; flesh thickness, FW; fruit weight, SW; stone weight, F/S; flesh/stone ratio, FF; fruit firmness, SSC; soluble solid content, TA; titratable acidity, RI; ripening index, AA; ascorbic acid, H; hue°, C; chroma




Fig. 8: PCA analysis and biplot design illustration for relation direction, years and 20 studied traits. W; fruit width, L; fruit length, T; fruit thickness, Dg; geometric mean diameter, S; sphericity, FT; flesh thickness, FW; fruit weight, SW; stone weight, F/S; flesh/stone ratio, FF; fruit firmness, SSC; soluble solid content, TA; titratable acidity, RI; ripening index, AA; ascorbic acid, H; hue°, C; chroma

Conclusion

In both years, as well as based on the two-year averages, the effect of main directions on the standard quality traits of apricot was found to be significant. Apricot fruits' positioning within the tree canopy showed quality differences depending on the directions. Generally, fruits located on the east and south sides were observed to be larger in size, heavier in mass, riper, with higher soluble solid content, higher pH, and lower ascorbic acid content compared to those on the

west and north sides. The study indicated that ripening and fruit quality components in the cv. "Şalak" were not uniform. Therefore, it is necessary to develop new harvesting strategies for the "Şalak" apricot variety. The most important step is to harvest the orchards several times instead of once and to prioritize evaluation of the eastern and southern directions where ripening starts first.

Acknowledgements

This study is based on the Master's Thesis of CG who is a student of Iğdır University, Institute of Science and Technology. As a thesis advisor, MP

conceptualized and established the methodology, while TK and BDÇ contributed at every stage of the study.

References

- Altıkat, S., Temiz, Ş.** 2019: Physico-mechanical and some chemical properties of apricot varieties in Iğdır province. *YYU Journal of Agricultural Science*, 29 (3): 373–381.
- Awad, M. A., Wagenmakers, P. S., de Jager, A.** 2001: Effects of light on flavonoid and chlorogenic acid levels in the skin of 'Jonagold' apples. *Sci. Hortic.*, 88: 289–298.
- Balık, S., Kaya, T., Aslantaş R.** 2023: Fruit Quality parameters, sugars, vitamin C, antioxidant activity, organic acids, and phenolic compounds for a new endemic apple variety, "Long Apple". *Horticulture*, 9: 1171.
- Biondi, G., Pratella, G. C., Bassi R.** 1991: Maturity indexes as a function of quality in apricot harvesting. *Acta Horticulturae* 293: 667-671. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.1991.293.75
- Corelli Grappadelli, L., Lakso, A. N.** 2004: Fruit development in deciduous tree crops as affected by physiological factors and environmental conditions. *Acta Hortic.*, 636: 425–441.
- Doğan, İ. S.** 2002: Bisküvi üretiminde kalite kriteri olarak renk ölçümüne yeni bir yaklaşım. *Türkiye 7. Gıda Kongresi*, 22–24 Mayıs, Ankara. 357–362 s.
- Doğru Çokran, B., Karadeniz, T.** 2020: Şalak apricot and its importance in Iğdır. *Bahçe, Special Issue* (49): 271-275.
- Doğru Çokran, B., Kaya, T., Pehlivan, M., Gülsoy, E.** 2015: Effect of growing place on phenological and pomological characteristics of Şalak apricot cultivar grown in Aras Basin. VII. National Horticulture Congress, Abstract Proceedings Book, Çanakkale, 25-29.
- Doğru Çokran, B.** 2020: Clonal selection of "Şalak" (Aprikoz) cv. grown at Aras Basin. Ordu, Türkiye, Ordu University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Science, Horticulture, PhD Thesis.
- FAO.** 2023: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. FAOSTAT. Website <https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL>, (10.10.2023).
- Feng, F., Li, M., Ma, F., Cheng, L.** 2014: Effects of location within the tree canopy on carbohydrates, organic acids, amino acids and phenolic compounds in the fruit peel and flesh from three apple (*Malus x domestica*) cultivars. *Horticulture Research*, 1: 14019. doi:10.1038/hortres.2014.19.
- Gonçalves, B., Silva, A.P., Moutinho-Pereira, J., Bacelar, E., Rosa, E., Meyer, A.S.** 2007. Effect of ripeness and postharvest storage on the evolution of colour and anthocyanins in cherries (*Prunus avium* L.). *Food Chem.* 103: 976–984.
- Gülsoy, E., Kaya, T., Pehlivan, M., Doğru Çokran, B.** 2016: Textural and physicochemical characteristics of Şalak (Apricose) apricot cultivar. In VII International Scientific Agriculture Symposium, "Agrosym 2016", 6-9 October 2016, Jahorina, Bosnia and Herzegovina.
- Hakgüder Taze, B., Ünlütürk S.** 2018: Effect of postharvest UV-C treatment on the microbial quality of 'Şalak' apricot. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 233: 370–377.
- Jakopic, J., Stampar, F., Veberic, R.** 2009: The influence of exposure to light on the phenolic content of 'Fuji'apple. *Sci. Hortic.*, 123: 234–239.
- Kader, A. A.** 1999: Fruit maturity, ripening, and quality relationships. *Acta Hortic.* 485: 203–208.
- Karaat, F. E.** 2018: Variation and heritability of phytochemical characters in some apricot cultivars and hybrids. Niğde, Türkiye, Niğde Ömer Halis University, Graduate School of Natural and Applied Sciences, Department of Agricultural Genetic Engineering, PhD Thesis.

- Karabulut I, Bilenler T, Sislioglu K, Gokbulut, I., Seyhan, F., Ozdemir, I. S., Ozturk, B.** 2018: Effect of fruit canopy positions on the properties of apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) varieties. J Food Biochem: 42:e12458. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.1245812>
- Kviklys, D., Viškelis, J., Liaudanskas, M., Janulis, V., Laužike, K., Samuolien, G., Uselis, N., Lanauskas, J.** 2022: Apple fruit growth and quality depend on the position in tree canopy. Plants, 11: 196. doi.org/10.3390/plants11020196
- Mashhadi, Z., Khadivi, A.** 2022: Identification of superior late-blooming apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) genotypes among seedling-originated trees. Food Science and Nutrition, 10 (4): 1159–1166.
- Milošević, T., Milošević, N., Glišić, I.** 2021: Early tree performances, precocity and fruit quality attributes of newly introduced apricot cultivars grown under western Serbian conditions. Turk J Agric For, 45: 819–833.
- Mohsenin, N. N.** 1980: Physical properties of plant and animal materials. New York: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers Inc., 51–87 p
- Muradoğlu, F., Pehlivan, M., Gündoğdu, M., Kaya, T.** 2011: Physico-chemical characteristics and mineral contents of some apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) genotypes grown in Iğdır province. Iğdır Univ. J. Inst. Sci. & Tech., 1 (1): 17–22.
- Özyoruk, C., Güleriyüz, M.** 1992: A research on pomological, biological and phenological characteristics of apricot cultivars in Iğdır Plain. Research in Agricultural Science, 23 (1): 16-28.
- Pehlivan, M., Doğru Çokran, B., Çatak, E.** 2021: Alteration of some physical and chemical composition in apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) during fruit development. Journal of Agriculture, 4 (1): 10–15.
- Polyak, N. I., Csizmazia, Z., Vaszil, B., Ancza, E., Nyeki, J., Szabo, Z.** 2011: Sampling experience in a cherry plantation. Int. J. of Horticultural Science, 17 (1-2): 21-28.
- Ruiz, D., Egea, J.** 2008: Phenotypic diversity and relationships of fruit quality traits in apricot (*Prunus armeniaca* L.) germplasm. Euphytica 163: 143–158.
- TUIK.** 2023: Turkish Statistical Institute. <https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Kategori/GetKategori?p=tarim-111&dil=2> (accessed 1 November 2023).
- Velardo-Micharet, B., Agudo-Corbacho, F., Ayuso-Yuste, M. C., Bernalte-García, M. J.** 2021: Evolution of some fruit quality parameters during development and ripening of three apricot cultivars and effect of harvest maturity on postharvest maturation. Agriculture, 11 (7): 639. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11070639>.
- Wei, T., Simko, V.** 2017. R package “corrplot”: Visualization of a Correlation Matrix (Version 0.84). Available from <https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot>.

Received January, 12th, 2024