

NUTRITIONAL APPROACHES TO MITIGATE ANTIBIOTIC USAGE IN SWINE PRODUCTION: A MINI REVIEW

Cosmin Sonea, Raluca Aniela Gheorghe-Irimia, Dana Tapaloaga, Paul-Rodian Tapaloaga
University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, 59, Marasti Blvd, District 1, Bucharest,
Romania

Corresponding author e-mail: raluca.irimia@fmv.usamv.ro

Abstract

The escalating concern surrounding antibiotic usage in swine production has prompted a critical re-evaluation of strategies to promote animal health and performance. This comprehensive review delves into the realm of "Nutritional Approaches to Mitigate Antibiotic Usage in Swine Production", even though the use of antibiotics as growth promoters was banned in the European Union and other countries. The paper presents the historical context and contemporary challenges associated with antibiotic utilization in swine farming, emphasizing the emergence of antibiotic resistance as a global health threat. It explores a spectrum of nutritional strategies designed to reduce or replace antibiotics in swine diets, including the incorporation of probiotics, prebiotics, and optimized feed formulations. The review discusses the mechanisms by which nutritional interventions enhance swine health and productivity, underscoring the intricate benefits of reduced antibiotic dependency. Furthermore, it acknowledges the practical challenges and limitations of implementing these nutritional approaches and considers their potential economic implications. By fostering a deeper understanding of these innovative dietary approaches, this review aims to contribute to sustainable swine farming practices and inform future research directions in the quest for healthier and more resilient swine populations.

Keywords: nutritional interventions, antibiotic reduction, swine production, gut microbiota, sustainable farming

1. INTRODUCTION

The utilization of antibiotics within the realm of swine production has been a pervasive practice over an extended time. Specifically, antibiotics have found widespread application in subtherapeutic doses, primarily as growth promoters, with the overarching objectives of augmenting pig growth rates, reducing morbidity and mortality, and enhancing both production and reproductive performance parameters [7].

This particular mode of antibiotic administration, involving subtherapeutic doses, is commonly designated as antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) [7]. The judicious use of AGPs has been demonstrably efficacious, yielding substantial benefits in terms of bolstering animal health and augmenting overall productivity within the swine production domain [7, 15]. On the other hand, the European Union has banned the use of antibiotics for growth promotion since 2006, and similar measures have been taken in other countries [12].

Nevertheless, the pervasive and indiscriminate employment of antibiotics in the context of swine husbandry has engendered substantial apprehension, notably considering the potential ramifications thereof. Foremost among these concerns is the phenomenon of antibiotic resistance, which carries the grave implication of bestowing resistance traits upon pathogenic bacteria. The utilization of antibiotics within swine production systems has been shown to foster the selection of antibiotic-resistant strains within swine enteric microbiota [6]. These resistant bacterial strains may subsequently be transmitted to human populations through diverse vectors, including direct animal contact, consumption of meat

contaminated with antibiotic-resistant organisms, or via environmental routes, such as water bodies contaminated with swine waste [6, 10].

The ascendancy of antibiotic-resistant bacteria constitutes an eminent peril to public health, as it fundamentally constrains the efficacy of antibiotics in the treatment of bacterial infections, irrespective of whether the host is of human or porcine origin. This emergent threat engenders escalated rates of morbidity and mortality, translating into augmented healthcare expenditures. The swine industry is uniquely positioned to propagate antibiotic-resistant bacteria due to its intensive, large-scale production paradigms, coupled with the spatial proximity of swine populations, thereby creating a conducive milieu for the dissemination of resistant strains [6].

Beyond the purview of public health, the use of antibiotics in swine production implicates environmental concerns. The dissemination of antibiotics into the environment can be facilitated through the land application of swine manure, precipitating contamination of both surface water and groundwater reservoirs. This environmental contamination has the potential to elicit deleterious repercussions for aquatic ecosystems, with collateral consequences for the overarching quandary of antibiotic resistance proliferation within environmental niches [2,10].

Considering the pronounced concerns and multifaceted challenges entailed by the application of antibiotics in swine production, there is an emergent imperative to elucidate alternative strategies for the amelioration of antibiotic utilization. Prominently, the deployment of probiotics emerges as a promising avenue, given their substantiated capacity to enhance swine gut health and

optimize nutrient utilization [7]. Concurrently, investigations are underway to evaluate the feasibility of bacteriocins, antimicrobial peptides synthesized by bacteria, as potential antibiotic substitutes within the domain of swine husbandry [6]. These avenues of research and innovation hold promise in mitigating the pressing challenges associated with antibiotic utilization in swine production while concurrently safeguarding public health and environmental integrity.

In this regard, the review primarily focuses on the interplay between nutrition and antibiotic usage in swine farming, with an emphasis on strategies that aim to mitigate antibiotic dependency. It encompasses a broad range of nutritional interventions and additives, providing an in-depth analysis of their mechanisms of action and benefits. Additionally, the review acknowledges the challenges and limitations inherent in implementing these nutritional approaches in real-world swine production settings. The scope of this review is intended to inform about the current state of knowledge regarding nutritional strategies to address antibiotic usage in swine production and to guide future research endeavors in this critical area.

2. ANTIBIOTICS IN SWINE PRODUCTION

The historical and contemporary utilization of antibiotics in swine husbandry has been a pervasive and longstanding practice, chiefly undertaken to stimulate growth and preempt disease outbreaks. This practice can be traced back several decades, with the seminal discovery of antibiotics' growth-promoting effects in the 1940s [8]. Since their initial recognition, antibiotics have been routinely employed as additives in swine diets, administered at subtherapeutic levels, with the primary aim of optimizing feed conversion efficiency and augmenting growth rates in swine.

The paramount rationale underpinning antibiotic use in swine farming resides in its pivotal role in averting and managing bacterial infections, which constitute a substantial threat to swine health and the economic viability of swine enterprises.

Swine are intrinsically susceptible to a spectrum of bacterial diseases, encompassing respiratory and gastrointestinal infections, which, if left unchecked, can precipitate substantial financial losses.

Antibiotics have emerged as efficacious prophylactic and therapeutic agents, wielding the capacity to curtail the incidence of these infections, thereby diminishing morbidity and mortality rates in swine herds.

Nonetheless, the burgeoning utilization of antibiotics in swine production has precipitated apprehensions regarding the emergence and dissemination of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains. The protracted exposure of bacterial populations to subtherapeutic antibiotic doses engenders a selection pressure that begets the proliferation of antibiotic-resistant variants.

This, in turn, engenders a substantial impediment to the effective treatment of bacterial infections in both animal and human populations.

The swine industry has been identified as a consequential contributor to the broader conundrum of antibiotic resistance, primarily due to the pervasive deployment of antibiotics within swine husbandry practices [8].

Recent years have witnessed an accelerating recognition of the imperativeness of curtailing antibiotic usage within swine farming.

This paradigm shift has been catalyzed by mounting apprehensions regarding the potentially deleterious repercussions of antibiotic resistance on public health and environmental ecosystems.

Consequently, various jurisdictions have instituted regulatory frameworks and guidelines aimed at promoting judicious and responsible antibiotic utilization within the ambit of livestock production, with a particular focus on swine farming. These measures are devised to foster the sustainability of swine production while concurrently mitigating the looming specter of antibiotic resistance proliferation [6].

The emergence of antibiotic resistance represents a profound and escalating global health concern of paramount significance. Antibiotic resistance refers to the ability of bacteria, and other microorganisms, to withstand the therapeutic effects of antibiotics that were previously efficacious in treating infections.

This phenomenon has arisen primarily due to the extensive and often indiscriminate use of antibiotics in healthcare, agriculture, and animal husbandry.

The core issue is the relentless evolutionary adaptability of bacteria. When antibiotics are employed, susceptible bacteria are selectively killed, leaving behind resistant strains to proliferate. These resistant bacteria can then horizontally transfer their resistance genes to others, fostering the dissemination of antibiotic resistance across diverse microbial populations.

The ramifications of antibiotic resistance are dire. It curtails the efficacy of antibiotics, rendering once-manageable infections challenging to treat. This contributes to increased morbidity, mortality, and healthcare costs.

Additionally, the emergence of multidrug-resistant pathogens further exacerbates the predicament, limiting treatment options.

Addressing antibiotic resistance necessitates a multifaceted approach, encompassing responsible antibiotic use, the development of novel antibiotics, and the exploration of alternative therapeutic strategies.

The global medical and scientific communities must collaborate rigorously to curb the dissemination of resistance genes and mitigate the profound public health consequences of antibiotic resistance.

3. NUTRITIONAL STRATEGIES TO REDUCE ANTIBIOTIC DEPENDENCY

In the pursuit of reducing or substituting antibiotics in swine diets, a spectrum of nutritional strategies has garnered attention, all aimed at enhancing swine health, optimizing nutrient utilization, and fostering the proliferation of beneficial gut microbiota [4].

One such salient approach revolves around the utilization of probiotics, which are living microorganisms that, when administered in sufficient quantities, confer discernible health benefits to the host. Extensive research demonstrates that probiotics wield the capacity to ameliorate swine gut health via multifaceted mechanisms. They orchestrate favorable shifts in the gut microbiota's composition, engendering a symbiotic balance that supports enhanced nutrient digestion and absorption, while simultaneously bolstering the immune apparatus [5]. By preserving the equilibrium of the gut microbiome, probiotics serve as a compelling avenue for diminishing the exigency for antibiotic intervention.

In tandem, the deployment of prebiotics constitutes an alternative nutritional modality of considerable import. Prebiotics comprise non-digestible carbohydrates that selectively stimulate the proliferation and metabolic activity of beneficial gut bacteria. This selective enrichment of beneficial microbial consortia enhances gut health and augments nutrient assimilation in swine, culminating in discernible improvements in growth performance, all while diminishing the reliance on antibiotics [5].

Additionally, the integration of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) into swine diets emerges as a promising strategy to curtail antibiotic dependency. AMPs, as naturally occurring molecules, inherently possess antimicrobial properties, effectively curbing the growth of pathogenic bacterial strains. Concurrently, they exert a favorable influence by promoting the proliferation of beneficial gut bacteria. Studies corroborate the efficacy of dietary AMP supplementation in enhancing growth performance, augmenting nutrient digestibility, and fortifying intestinal health in weaned pigs [13].

Organic acids, including formic acid, citric acid, and propionic acid, have antimicrobial properties that enable them to control pathogenic bacteria in the gastrointestinal tract. They lower the gut pH, creating an environment unfavorable for harmful bacteria while promoting the growth of beneficial bacteria. This contributes to better gut health, nutrient utilization, and reduced susceptibility to infections.

Achieving balanced and optimized feed formulations is of paramount importance in swine nutrition. These formulations are tailored to meet swine's specific nutritional needs at different stages of growth. Properly balanced diets ensure that essential nutrients, including proteins, vitamins, minerals, and energy sources, are provided in the right proportions.

This not only promotes growth and reproductive performance but also supports immune function and overall health. Balanced diets minimize the risk of nutrient deficiencies or excesses, which can lead to health issues and suboptimal performance.

4. MECHANISMS AND BENEFITS OF NUTRITIONAL INTERVENTIONS

Nutritional interventions wield a profound influence on swine health and performance, operating through a multifaceted array of mechanisms rooted in scientific rigor. These interventions, meticulously designed to optimize nutrient utilization, fortify gut health, and augment immune function, orchestrate a harmonious symphony culminating in heightened growth rates, superior feed efficiency, and enhanced disease resistance. One pivotal mechanism orchestrated by nutritional interventions revolves around the cultivation of a balanced and salubrious gut microbiota. The gut microbiota occupies a central role in swine physiology, impacting nutrient digestion, absorption, immune function, and pathogen protection. Nutritional strategies, notably the integration of prebiotics and probiotics, exercise a profound influence by modulating the composition and metabolic activity of the gut microbiota. This intervention promotes the proliferation of beneficial bacterial consortia while simultaneously suppressing deleterious counterparts [4]. The ramifications of this microbial equilibrium are profound, encompassing enhanced nutrient utilization, mitigated susceptibility to gastrointestinal maladies, and an overarching enhancement of swine health and performance.

Concomitantly, nutritional interventions are instrumental in bolstering swine immune function. Certain nutrients, including vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants, constitute fundamental building blocks regarding immune system development and function. Their judicious incorporation into swine diets galvanizes immune responses, augments disease resistance, and ultimately curtails the imperative for antimicrobial interventions [4].

Moreover, nutritional interventions are pivotal in the optimization of nutrient utilization and feed efficiency. Precision in diet formulation, meticulously balancing energy, protein, and essential nutrients, facilitates the efficient conversion of feed into body weight gain. This strategy, underpinned by scientific rigor, begets improved growth rates and heightened feed efficiency, thereby mitigating the overall production costs and enhancing economic viability [4].

The potential economic advantages of reducing antibiotic use in swine production constitute a compelling incentive for the swine industry, offering multifaceted benefits grounded in empirical evidence and economic rationale [4]. Foremost, the curtailment of antibiotic use translates into substantial cost savings. Antibiotics represent a non-negligible financial outlay for swine producers,

encompassing the expenses associated with antibiotic procurement, administration, and veterinary oversight. By reducing reliance on antibiotics, producers can significantly alleviate these expenditures, contributing directly to enhanced profitability and operational efficiency [15].

Moreover, a judicious reduction in antibiotic usage diminishes the risk of antibiotic resistance emergence and proliferation within swine populations. This phenomenon carries dire economic implications for swine production, as the prevalence of antibiotic-resistant pathogens can necessitate more intensive and costly disease management strategies. The subsequent need for alternative treatments, often involving more expensive antibiotics or novel therapeutic interventions, heightens healthcare expenditures [4].

Furthermore, reduced antibiotic usage aligns with global efforts to combat antibiotic resistance and safeguards the long-term effectiveness of antibiotics for critical therapeutic applications, both within veterinary medicine and human healthcare. The emergence of antibiotic-resistant bacteria poses a severe threat to public health and entails considerable societal costs, including increased morbidity, mortality, and healthcare expenses.

Beyond direct cost savings, responsible antibiotic reduction fosters the establishment of healthier swine populations, characterized by enhanced disease resistance and robust growth performance. Healthy swine are more likely to attain optimal growth rates, attain market weight more rapidly, and exhibit superior reproductive performance, thereby bolstering overall economic viability [4].

5. CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS

The deployment of nutritional strategies to curtail antibiotic usage in swine production is confronted by many challenges and limitations, rooted in the intricate swine gut microbiota, the variable responses exhibited by individual animals, and the pressing need for cost-effective and pragmatic solutions.

A primary impediment lies in the labyrinthine nature of the gut microbiota and its intricate interplay with dietary constituents. The gut microbiota, a dynamic and highly diverse ecosystem, presents a formidable complexity that resists facile manipulation. Responses to nutritional interventions within this milieu can diverge significantly among individual swine and even within populations, contingent upon many factors, including genetic predispositions, dietary compositions, and prevailing environmental [3]. As such, the quest to tailored, personalized nutritional interventions that efficaciously modulate the gut microbiota and engender swine health and performance improvements is ensconced in a quagmire of intricacies.

A further limitation emerges from the considerable variability characterizing individual animal responses to these interventions. Swine populations exhibit pronounced heterogeneity in genetic profiles, physiological statuses, and health conditions, thereby engendering an intricate tapestry of individualized responses to dietary manipulations. This variance complicates the endeavor to attain uniform, predictable outcomes across the swine cohort [1, 11]. The imperative, therefore, is to consider the customization of nutritional approaches to suit the distinctive needs of individual animals or specific subpopulations, a task demanding both discernment and precision.

Additionally, the translation of nutritional interventions into tangible reductions in antibiotic use mandates a pragmatic assessment of practicality and cost-effectiveness. Swine breeders are besieged by the exigencies of production economics, necessitating solutions that are not only efficacious but also economically tenable. The accessibility and affordability of alternative feed ingredients, supplements, and additives wield a pivotal influence on the feasibility and widespread adoption of nutritional strategies [1, 9].

To apply nutritional changes in the industry, other aspects such as practicality, regulatory frameworks and potential risks should be considered.

Practicality, a quintessential consideration, exhibits a mutable complexion contingent upon the dimensions and dynamics of swine production enterprises. The nimbleness of smaller producers may render the introduction and orchestration of these interventions comparably facile, while large-scale commercial counterparts may confront logistical intricacies. Pragmatism is not confined merely to financial aspects; it extends to the technical adeptness and resourcefulness required for the efficacious formulation and implementation of diets replete with dietary additives. Furthermore, the practicality paradigm encompasses the seamless integration of these strategies within existing production frameworks [11].

Industry-wide adoption, the cornerstone of substantive change, emerges as a pivotal axis of contemplation. The tapestry of stakeholders, ranging from swine producers to veterinarians and spanning the entire supply chain, must collectively embrace these transformative practices. Effective knowledge dissemination, educational initiatives, and outreach endeavors bear paramount importance in inculcating a culture of adoption. It is within the confines of regulatory frameworks and industry benchmarks that the incentives for responsible antibiotic reduction and the adoption of nutritional alternatives manifest.

Potential risks and unintended consequences constitute a sobering facet of this endeavor. The pursuit of reduced antibiotic utilization through nutritional avenues mandates a meticulous scrutiny of prospective pitfalls.

Inadequate formulation or haphazard administration of dietary additives can instigate adverse repercussions on swine health and performance. A shadow looms in the form of antimicrobial resistance, a specter akin to that which antibiotics have encountered, and its emergence in response to either the overuse or improper application of dietary additives. Robust monitoring, incessant research endeavors, and an unwavering commitment to prescribed practices are quintessential in tempering these impending risks and unanticipated corollaries.

Regulatory frameworks and consumer perception, influential undercurrents, merit acknowledgment. Regulatory scrutiny may necessitate approval or oversight for specific dietary additives, and unwavering adherence to stipulated regulations is of paramount importance. Furthermore, the fervor of consumer demand for antibiotic-free or ethically sourced meat products must not be underestimated. Meeting these requisites can serve as a catalyst for the embrace of alternative strategies within the industry, albeit not without entailing logistical and fiscal quandaries.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this review underscores the pivotal role of nutritional approaches in mitigating antibiotic usage in swine production while promoting sustainable farming practices. The key findings and insights gleaned from the literature reveal a multifaceted landscape where nutritional strategies, including probiotics, prebiotics, and organic acids, have demonstrated the potential to enhance swine health and performance. These strategies operate through mechanisms such as modulating the gut microbiota, fortifying immune function, and optimizing nutrient utilization, yielding tangible benefits in terms of growth rates, feed efficiency, and disease resistance.

However, it is essential to acknowledge the challenges and limitations associated with the implementation of these approaches. Factors such as cost-effectiveness, practicality, and the need for industry-wide adoption present hurdles that necessitate careful consideration and strategic planning. Moreover, potential risks, including the emergence of antimicrobial resistance, underscore the importance of responsible and judicious application of nutritional interventions.

Looking ahead, future research directions beckon with promise. In-depth investigations into the nuanced interactions between dietary components, gut microbiota, and swine health are warranted. Tailored approaches that account for individual variability and genetic factors may yield more precise and personalized nutritional strategies. Additionally, the exploration of novel dietary additives and formulations holds potential for further innovation in sustainable swine production.

The overall impact of nutritional approaches on antibiotic usage in swine production is profound. As the swine industry grapples with the imperative to reduce antibiotic usage, nutritional strategies emerge as a viable and responsible alternative.

These approaches not only reduce the financial burden associated with antibiotics but also contribute to the global effort to combat antimicrobial resistance, safeguard public health, and ensure the sustainability of swine farming practices.

In essence, nutritional approaches represent a paradigm shift in swine production—a shift towards responsible and sustainable practices that prioritize swine health, economic viability, and environmental stewardship. By continuing to explore, refine, and integrate these strategies, the swine industry can forge a path towards a future where antibiotic usage is minimized, and the legacy of sustainable farming endures.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Bell, J., Rossi, T., Bauer, J., Capra, S. Developing and evaluating interventions that are applicable and relevant to inpatients and those who care for them; a multiphase, pragmatic action research approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 2014; 14(1).
- [2] Chen, J., Sun, R., Pan, C., Sun, Y., Mai, B., Li, Q. Antibiotics and food safety in aquaculture. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 2020; 68(43):11908-11919.
- [3] Dechêne-Tempier, M., Marois-Créhan, C., Libante, V., Jouy, E., Leblond-Bourget, N., Payot, S. Update on the mechanisms of antibiotic resistance and the mobile resistome in the emerging zoonotic pathogen *Streptococcus suis*. *Microorganisms* 2021; 9(8): 1765.
- [4] Holman, D., Chénier, M. Antimicrobial use in swine production and its effect on the swine gut microbiota and antimicrobial resistance. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology* 2015; 61(11): 785-798.
- [5] Kim, S., Cho, J., Kim, H., Song, M. Rice as an alternative feed ingredient in swine diets. *Journal of Animal Science and Technology* 2021; 63(3): 465-474.
- [6] Lagha, A., Haas, B., Gottschalk, M., Grenier, D. Antimicrobial potential of bacteriocins in poultry and swine production. *Veterinary Research* 2017; 48(1).
- [7] Liao, S., Nyachoti, M. Using probiotics to improve swine gut health and nutrient utilization. *Animal Nutrition* 2017; 3(4): 331-343.
- [8] Looft, T., Johnson, T., Allen, H., Bayles, D., Alt, D., Stedtfield, R., Stanton, T. In-feed antibiotic effects on the swine intestinal microbiome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2012; 109(5): 1691-1696.
- [9] Niederwerder, M. Risk and mitigation of African swine fever virus in feed. *Animals* 2021; 11(3): 792.
- [10] Palafox-Carlos, H., Ayala-Zavala, J., González-Aguilar, G. The role of dietary fiber in the bioaccessibility and bioavailability of fruit and vegetable antioxidants. *Journal of Food Science* 2011; 76(1): R6-R15.
- [11] Sapkota, A., Curriero, F., Gibson, K., Schwab, K. Antibiotic-resistant enterococci and fecal indicators in surface water and groundwater impacted by a concentrated swine feeding operation. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 2007; 115(7): 1040-1045.
- [12] Robinson, K., Ma, X., Liu, Y., Qiao, S., Hou, K., Zhang, G. Dietary modulation of endogenous host defense peptide synthesis as an alternative approach to in-feed antibiotics. *Animal Nutrition* 2018, 4(2), 160-169.
- [13] Thacker, P. Alternatives to antibiotics as growth promoters for use in swine production: a review. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology* 2013; 4(1).

- [14] Yang, H., Paruch, L., Chen, X., Eerde, A., Skomedal, H., Wang, Y., Clarke, J. Antibiotic application and resistance in swine production in china: current situation and future perspectives. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science* 2019; 6.
- [15] Zhang, Q., Ying, G., Pan, C., Liu, Y., & Zhao, J. Comprehensive evaluation of antibiotics emission and fate in the river basins of china: source analysis, multimedia modeling, and linkage to bacterial resistance. *Environmental Science & Technology* 2015; 49(11): 6772-6782.