



Global Christian healthcare missions, European colonialism, and the demand to decolonize medicine

Christoffer Grundmann^a

^a MTh, DTh, Emeritus Professor in Religion and the Healing Arts, Valparaiso University, Valparaiso, Indiana, United States

Abstract

The introduction of the article sketches the background of the discourse about decolonizing medicine. Its first section addresses principal issues of intercultural encounters as those relate to medical systems (I) followed by an outline of the intertwining of Christian missions with European colonialism (II), while the final part deals with the Christian engagement in global healthcare work over against the background of that discussion (III).

Keywords: medical systems, rational-scientific medicine, colonialism, Christian medical mission, global health, public healthcare

Introduction

It all began with student protests at the University of Cape Town in post-Apartheid South Africa early in March 2015. Students demanded – and agitated for – the removal of a statue of Cecil Rhodes (1853-1902), the key figure in establishing British colonial rule in Southern Africa but also a generous benefactor of their institution. The students argued that Rhodes' philanthropy veiled the cruelty of the exploitative colonial system to which he owed his riches. He, thus, became the impersonation of colonialism.¹

This protest, dubbed “Rhodes Must Fall” spread quickly across the nation and abroad. At Oxford University, custodian of a huge scholarship fund endowed by Rhodes and having also a statue of his on public display, students protested likewise while scholars at Harvard Law School staged a similar protest that same year labeled “Royall Must Fall” aiming at the removal of their school's shield honoring the memory of a family complicit in “the brutal torture and murder

of 88 enslaved persons.”² Between 2018-2020 working groups on “Decolonizing Global Health” were established at Duke and Johns Hopkins University, the Universities of Copenhagen, Stockholm, and Edinburgh. An invitation to a conference on “Decolonizing Global Health” by the Humanitarian Student Association at Harvard in February 2019, read: “Come join folks committed to a vision of global health that is equitable, reflexive, and anti-colonial ... What does it mean to engage in this field [of global health] without acknowledging and tackling the history of colonial plunder? What does it mean to not acknowledge the role of global capitalism in generating the unequal conditions that manifests health and disease? ... Our specific goals include: ... Imagining alternative futures of ‘global health’ that can incorporate various non-hegemonic views including anti-imperialism and anti-capitalism ... The conference will be a step towards creating a student community committed to a vision of global health that is equitable, reflexive, and anti-colonial in both delivery and discourse.”³

The call to decolonize medicine, global health in particular, “is greatly nuanced ranging from calls to reform ‘the system’ to calls for ‘disposing of it all together’” viewing health problems in low or middle income countries as the result of a “radicalized hierarchization of humanity and health systems, and exploitative neoliberalism.”⁴ While entertaining utopian visions and extremist views is the privilege of the youth and typical for students determined to make the world a better place, these protests were not just that. Sensing that there is more than mere polemics or protest their concerns received serious attention in articles published by renowned medical journals like the *Lancet* and the *BMJ Global Health*⁵ unleashing a flood of topic related studies. To better understand this discussion some of its basic topics should be clarified first.

I. Some Principal Considerations

Provided the call for decolonizing global healthcare is not driven by ethnocentric ideology or nationalistic policy, it reflects a heightened, often discounted, awareness of issues implicit in any cosmopolitan endeavor like trade, travel, and diplomacy. Such awareness is not the least a reaction to living in a globalized world with the experience of the particularity of one’s own worldview. The confrontation with, sometimes the clash of different perceptions of what is right and wrong⁶ prompts the quest for self-affirmation and cultural identity.⁷

Cultures are manifestations of different time-tested tactics by larger social groups. They are handed down orally by elders, in sacred texts, and by traditional customs so that next generations get enabled to manage existential challenges and solve practical problems of their environment successfully. Environments, however, keep changing constantly due not only to ecological, economical, and social changes, but also to new discoveries and inventions which require the acquisition of innovative skills. If cultures lack dynamic adaptability and fail to adjust accordingly, they are doomed to oblivion.⁸

Infirmary, impairment, and disease present challenges plaguing humankind since time

immemorial. No surprise, then, that every culture has developed strategies in combating maladies and protecting from harm to avoid untimely death.⁹ The study of this is the subject of medical ethnography, a subdiscipline of medical anthropology.¹⁰ Each of these strategies reflects the unique worldview of the community practicing it. This becomes evident in the explanation of the cause and etiology of diseases – by evil eye, demons, spirits, curses, God, gods, envy, virus/bacterium; in the treatment of sickness – chants, spells, herbs, medicines, sacrifices, prayer, spells, drugs, surgery; and in the professionals to be consulted like shamans, medicine men, herbalists, witch-doctors, prophets, sorcerers, physicians, and surgeons.

All **nosology, diagnosis, and therapy** are rooted in a distinct worldview and operate within that plausibility structure fairly effectively. Even if practitioners of rational-scientific medicine claim their praxis to be neutral and free from any overarching axiom, it is not.¹¹ Rather, they just avoid explicit allusion to an overall frame of reference. Omitting reference to a meaning providing perspective, they work within a reductionistic set of parameters that limits the impact of their efforts which complementary or alternative approaches such as psychosomatic medicine, holistic medicine, whole person medicine, and the like attempt to compensate for.¹² However, said reductionism has an indubitable advantage, too, namely the efficacious applicability of this medicine almost everywhere, unlike shamanistic procedures which are dependent on a shared worldview to become effective as they are practiced in Indonesia¹³, Korea¹⁴, South Africa¹⁵, among the Saami in Finland¹⁸, Native Americans in the U.S. and Canada¹⁷, and elsewhere.

While the **plurality of therapeutic systems** mirrors the diversity of cultures around the globe, it is without question that there exist great differences regarding the reliability of diagnoses and the outcomes of cures. For instance, when people in Ghana diagnose goiter as “witch-disease” and, fearing for the wellbeing of their community, seek to eliminate the goiter affected individual as soon as possible, (which,

unfortunately, are mainly women)¹⁸, they render a culturally established sentence with horrible consequences for the unfortunate person. In contrast, if goiter gets diagnosed as what it is, namely iodine insufficiency treatable with substitute medication, the social stigmatization loses its grip and allows the patient to live on. Likewise with leprosy (Hansen's disease), which since biblical times¹⁹ led to the expulsion of the diseased and their despicable treatment in many a culture up until pretty recently as in Japan.²⁰ However, thanks to the discovery of the *Mycobacterium leprae* in the 19th century followed by the multi-drug therapy used today, this scourge of mankind lost its threat, too; it now can be healed.²¹ The same is expected to happen soon for people living with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV).²²

No doubt, modern/Western/allopathic/bio- or **rational-scientific medicine** is the most reliable healing system available today, the blessings of which nobody wants to forego. Its strength lies in diagnostic soundness and effective treatments thanks to methodological, evidence-based research, potent drugs, hygiene, and/or surgery for countless diseases, albeit not for all.²³ The reductionism ingrained in Western medicine yielded – and keeps yielding as in genetic medicine – astonishing results for better understanding the cause of diseases and their successful treatments. This efficacy is owed to pivotal discoveries resulting from the unrestrained study of nature, human nature in particular, its anatomy, physiology, and pathology aided by pharmacological inventions and surgical skills. These developments happened to come about in Western culture due to a principal change in attitude towards nature. Researchers were not any longer afraid of a looming revenge by spirits or the wrath of the guardians of nature like the gods of fauna and flora. English statesman, philosopher, and Attorney General Francis Bacon (1561-1626), in 1620, programmatically proclaimed: “The secrets of nature are better revealed under the torture of experiments than when they follow their natural course.”²⁴ His younger contemporary, French philosopher, scientist,

and mathematician René Descartes (1596-1650) declared that the human body – not the human being! – is a machine devoid of a soul allowing for its unrestricted dissection²⁵, something which in the Celestial Empire of China was permitted only in the early 20th century.²⁶

Such kind of thinking paved the way for **autonomous investigative research** unfettered by cultural, religious, philosophical, or ecclesiastical restrictions, gaining full momentum in the 18th century, commonly known as the age of European Enlightenment. *Scientia*, that is wisdom, nurtured by knowledge mainly based on traditional texts, so morphed into *science*, knowledge, based on rational experiments and their astute evaluative observation by critical minds. Truth was not revealed any longer in holy Scripture and hallowed tradition studied and explained by the learned. Truth then got steadily revealed by discoveries resulting from methodical, controlled experiments and their judicious analysis by rational reasoning. Thus, science became synonymous with the search for truth. Being excited about all their new findings and discoveries enabled by this approach, researchers perceived their work quite literally as acting like “priests” in the “temple of science” where their colleagues gathered as devoted “worshippers” to celebrate newly obtained knowledge as “revelation” of ultimate “truth.”²⁷ The Nestor of cellular pathology, eminent German physician and healthcare politician Rudolf Virchow (1821-1902), before a huge audience of staunch scientists in 1865, unabashedly confessed: “I openly admit that science has become our religion.”²⁸ Thus, what Francis Bacon still regarded to be a religious pursuit for the benefit of humanity, namely to regain anew the “dominion over creation” (Gn. 1:28) lost in the fall, became in the course of time an entirely secularized venture – as we know science and medicine to be nowadays.

Even though they have a long-standing tradition and show notable results, neither Acupuncture nor Unani, neither Ayurveda nor Traditional Chinese, but **rational-scientific medicine obtained global dominance** despite



her well-known shortcomings. Her principles serve as rationale for policy decisions of the World Health Organization (WHO), its advising and material assistance²⁹, while the categorization of maladies listed in the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) uses the nosology and taxonomy of biomedicine, which, however, does not work regarding so-called Culture Bound Syndromes (CBS) specific to particular societies.³⁰ The impact of rational-scientific medicine entails the education of experts in medical schools and professional training in colleges of nursing. It also comprises family medicine, community health, disease prevention, hospitals, laboratories, rehabilitation centers, and healthcare insurance plans, too. Maintaining and running such highly complex system is costly and, therefore, unaffordable for economically strained populaces.³¹

But the plea for decolonizing global healthcare is also caused by reactions to **public healthcare measures** regarding behavioral directives issued by governments to control epidemics. To stop the spread of an infectious disease, people are asked not to have any physical contact with contagious individuals infected with a virus, to abstain from intimate contact with them, and to stay away from their bedside if not protected properly. When such patients die, their bodies must be safely disposed of, which violates basic cultural norms to be observed at burials by the communities so not to anger the spirit of the dead and inflict harm on the wellbeing of family and clan. Therefore, if the need for healthcare measures is not communicated well enough that it can be understood truly by the population involved, certainly the advice will not be heeded, or, as in the case of vaccination, the offer will be viewed with suspicion, if not refused straightforwardly for fear of poisoning. Taking the Ebola epidemic of 2013/2014-2016 as an example: to prevent the disease from spreading, precautionary measures had to be taken, like avoiding handshakes and hugs, the isolation of infected people from their families, and the burial of the deceased in hygienically sealed bags or coffins without the performance

of traditional burial rites.³² Likewise, during the Covid-19 pandemic Sri Lankan Muslims were ordered “on hygienic grounds” to cremate their dead instead of burying them according to Koranic instruction.³³ Misguided healthcare policies in South Africa contributed to the disastrously high rate of HIV infections and AIDS prevailing in that country. Since HIV was seen as an American invented bioweapon, and AIDS as “a disease of racism” and “a fabrication foisted on Africans by whites,” HIV testing was stopped and antiretroviral drugs banned as ineffective, harmful, even poisonous, while their promotion by the WHO and the scientific medical community counted as serving exploitative interests of multinational pharmaceutical companies.³⁴ The acronym, AIDS, was undone polemically as “American-Invented-Disease-Syndrome”, or, more sarcastically, as “American-Invention-[to]-Discourage-Sex”.³⁵ Unfortunately though, this kind of ideologically tainted conspiracy theory still lingers on, making young Zulus raised in a vitality-promoting culture refuse to follow medical advice regarding the prevention of HIV.³⁶

The *rejection of the hegemony of biomedicine* operative in public health measures is, however, not only fueled by behavioral instructions conflicting with established cultural norms, or by the fear of poisoning when inoculated, or by the suspicion of being a target for American biowarfare, or of exploitation by pharmaceutical companies when taking non-indigenous medication and drugs. The rejection is also driven by more fundamental concerns like the quest for a fuller epistemology of disease etiology³⁷ and a general criticism of the concept of global public health, charging it with perpetuating colonialism in the very way in which health issues get addressed and by whom.³⁸ The call for decolonizing medicine and its accompanying epistemology, thus, is multifaceted. While it insists on deconstructing the overall socio-political context of global healthcare, it also demands an honest reconsideration of the ways and means of communicating successfully about life

saving measures in inter-cultural settings with competing healthcare systems. In times of an acute crisis this becomes a question of life and death.

II. European colonialism and Christian missions

Besides highlighting issues to be conscious of when discussing decolonization of medicine and global healthcare, this discourse, to become really meaningful and honest, also requires some knowledge of the intermingling of colonialism and Christian missions, because European colonialism and Christian missions have been intertwined closely for centuries. In fact, the very concept of mission, understood as proclaiming the Gospel to non-Christians, to teach and baptize them, emerged within this very context during the 16th century.

Spaniards and Portuguese were the first to establish colonies in transoceanic places, east and west, where entrepreneurial seafarers and explorers supported by the authority of royalty put up guarded settlements as stations for trade, claiming the sites as property of their crown and ruled by the law of their home country.³⁹ Their strong ships and their guns granted them superiority. When Columbus, in 1492, reached the West Indies and later the Americas, unknown to Europeans until then, a conflict arose between Spain and Portugal about authority over and ownership of newly discovered territories, not minding rights and concerns of the people living there. The conflict got resolved in the Treaty of Tordesillas (1494) which divided the western Atlantic hemisphere along a meridian at about 49° W, allotting all territories west of it to Spain and those to the east to Portugal. A like agreement was struck later in the Treaty of Zaragoza of 1529 dividing the eastern Pacific hemisphere along the 142° E antemeridian, with Portugal getting all lands west of it and Spain the eastern parts.⁴⁰ These treaties sealed the division of the world (*divisio mundi*) and created a reality, the consequences of which are still noticeable today in cultures and politics.

Besides satisfying the greed for accumulating wealth and the craving for

power along with control, the treaties of Tordesillas and Saragoza also charged the Iberian monarchs with the obligation to make the Gospel known, to teach and baptize those who by virtue of invasion had become their subjects. In 1522, Pope Hadrian VI entrusted the privilege of ecclesiastical commissioning for ministry, the *missio canonica/missio apostolica* to the patronage of the sovereigns of Spain and Portugal who then appointed their priests for service in overseas colonies. Soon “the meaning of the term ‘missio’ changed from referring to this act of authorization to referring to the entire activity of the messengers of the faith. Eventually, it also was used to describe the territory in which this activity took place. Thus, the messengers of the faith became missionaries. Their work was described as their missionary work ...”⁴¹

Portugal spearheaded the colonial enterprise trailed by Spain, Denmark, France, the British, and others. At the height of European colonialism, the Berlin Conference of 1884/85, also known as the Congo Conference, divided – without any African participation – Sub-Saharan Africa among those powers which had “protectorates” there, bringing 90% of that continent under European control.⁴² Still, the full extent of the colonial enterprise can only be realized when computing the numbers of satellite regions ruled by European powers one time or the other, namely United Kingdom 115, France 53, Portugal 52, Spain 44, The Netherlands 29, Germany 20, Russia 17, Denmark 9, Sweden 8, Italy 7, Norway 6, and Belgium 3.⁴³ The reversal of colonial hegemony, oftentimes occasioned by bloody revolts and freedom fights, began with the Declaration of Independence by the English colonies in North America in 1776 and the gradual collapse of the Spanish Empire during the 19th century commencing with the independence of Chile in 1810, of Argentina in 1816, and of Brazil in 1822/1825. European colonialism crumbled fully after World War II with more and more countries obtaining independence as did India in 1947, Ghana in 1957, and many others.⁴⁴ Hence, the era of European colonialism,



geographical colonialism that is, lasted for about half a millennium, not minding some remnants today even though colonialism was banished for violating human rights by the UN General Assembly in 1960.⁴⁵ However, the impact of colonialism on non-European cultures endures⁴⁶ making some speak of “coloniality”⁴⁷ defined as “conceptual apparatus ... to capture the racial, political-economic, social, epistemological, and gendered hierarchical orders imposed by European colonialism that have transcended “decolonization” and continue to oppress in accordance with the needs of pan-capital ... accumulation.”⁴⁸

The alliance of European colonialism with Christian missions was very strong most of the time. This had to do with the Christian imprint on European culture since the 6th century shaping public, social, and family life alike by the ordering of time (calendar) and the concept of law and political power. Europeans were Christians, at least nominally, taking Christianity along into the colonies, while explicit missionary activity was dependent on permission by the colonial authorities and local rulers which was not always granted, as was the case in India up to 1813.⁴⁹ It seems, however, that such dependency did not pose a serious issue to missionaries, except for a few⁵⁰, while concerned Christians back home protested slavery⁵¹ and the opium trade.⁵²

The farther European colonization extended the more intensive missionary work was pursued. In 1792, British Baptist, William Carey (1761-1834), published his *Enquiry into the Christian Obligation to Use Means for the Conversion of Heathens* sparking enthusiasm for missions in lay people by referring to Matthew 28:18-20 as authoritative text.⁵³ In the political climate of absolutism, this call to submit to a charge by the ultimate authority, God, was enthusiastically received. Later, in June 1836, missionaries serving in the Hawaiian Islands issued their widely read appeal “The Duty of the Present Generation to Evangelize the World,” which in 1904, rephrased by John R. Mott (1865-1955) as “The Evangelization of the World in this Generation,” became the watchword of the

Student Volunteer Movement.⁵⁴ The sense of unquestioned duty and urgency along with an innocent euphoria about the technical feats of the industrial revolution and its accompanying economic growth – not the least due to colonial exploitation – led to exponential increase in missionary personnel. Their imperial ambition and cultural haughtiness are indicated by publications like “The Christian Occupation of China”⁵⁵ and “Christian Missions and Social Progress”⁵⁶ to name but a few. Eminent missiologist, Kenneth S. Latourette (1884-1968), hailed the colonial era of 1800-1914 as “The Great Century” of Christian missions⁵⁷, whereas Stephen Neill (1900-1984) a quarter of a century later took a more critical stance in his book on *Colonialism and Christian Missions* of 1966. In it, he notices with some regrets that the majority of missionaries did not object to colonialism due to anxiety, ignorance, or even appreciation for the superiority of European/North American culture and politics; only very few “lonely” voices condemned it.⁵⁸ Conceiving their work as “spiritual ministry” catering to the “soul” of people otherwise lost to “eternal damnation,” why should missionaries bother about politics at all? Should they not? Whatever, not being able to deny any longer the mutual interdependency of Christian missions and colonialism, how does this affect global Christian healthcare work today?

III. Christian Mission and Global Healthcare Work

In the early times, missionaries experienced illness and disease wherever they went, not just regarding their own health when exposed to tropical climates, but also when meeting the people to whom they were sent. The medical help they could provide was quite limited due to Church regulations prohibiting the practice of medicine by religious orders⁵⁹ and the little relief they could actually offer. Working in Paraguay, Dominicus Maier SJ (1689-1767) reported: “Sometimes our love for these people and their need ... compel us to function as physicians for them. Necessity often taught us how to bleed them and how to pull teeth – even



though we had no training in these skills. With God's help, I myself often treated broken bones, cancer, and similar accidents and illnesses."⁶⁰ In the colonies of Portugal, lay societies, *Misericórdias*, were organized to care for sick military and business personnel in "hospitals," comparatively small institutions of the most varied kind with just twelve, sometimes eighteen beds, housing sick, needy, and destitute people, and, only reluctantly, offering their services to indigenous patients as well. In the Spanish territories, the *Consejo de las Indias*, the central administrative body ruled that "a hospital for the care of poor and sick [is] to be built next to the church in every village," to be constructed, maintained, and run by members of the native community in place so that these institutions become owned by them.⁶¹ However, caring, not curing, was the task of these institutions.

That changed dramatically once the therapeutic power of rational-scientific medicine became known and available. The second half of the 19th century saw the beginning of a time of epoch-making discoveries in medicine, surgery, hygiene, and pharmacology: In 1846, anesthesia was successfully used in an operation for the first time, thus opening new possibilities for surgery, which, aided by the discovery of antiseptics (1847/1867), saved the lives of countless patients, unnumbered newborns, and their mothers. Hygiene and the linkage between epidemics and sanitary, ecological, and economic conditions also became understood, based on which the social and political dimensions implicit in healthcare became apparent, which, consequently, led to the establishment of departments of public health from 1876 onward. Finally, cellular pathology and bacteriology, thanks to which epidemics could effectively be offset at the level of their causation with potent drugs and sera, were further demonstrations of the effectiveness of rational-scientific medicine. It enabled the cure of many diseases – malaria, typhoid, yellow fever, diphtheria, plague, typhoid, leprosy – which plagued humankind since primeval times.⁶²

Christian doctors fully shared the euphoria about the newly acquired potential while some of them steeped in the Revival movement instantly realized the responsibility inherent in those blessings. The God given power to heal by means of this medicine, they held, cannot be denied anyone in need of it, be they near or far. God had entrusted this power to the Christian world to be shared, not to be kept and exploited for personal gain. Therefore, all who resist or deny the "call of suffering,"⁶³ because of indifference, will have the deaths of millions on their consciences.⁶⁴ Even though only a fraction of mission societies took this call to heart,⁶⁵ medical missions had such an effect that in 1900 someone could label it "the heavy artillery of the missionary army,"⁶⁶ because the numbers of initial contacts with local people established by medical missions were more than twice compared to all other missionary activities in schools, orphanages, seminaries, and churches combined, albeit only a fraction of missionary personnel worked medical stations.⁶⁷

But the impact of medical missions reached far beyond the encounters with individual patients. Its representatives engaged also in disease prevention schemes and in issues concerning social and behavioral reform. Medical missionaries made significant contributions to public health by introducing smallpox vaccination programs and caring for clean drinking water along with the proper disposal of sewage. Together, with others, they campaigned for the abolition of opium smoking and the binding of women's feet in China, while lobbying in India against child-marriage, *Sati*, and female infanticide. The training of national men and women in medicine and nursing was yet another facet of the impact medical missions had on the cultures of their host countries. Such training imparted to the students the principles of the rational-scientific approach to sickness and therapy and an understanding of professional care for patients irrespective of family or clan ties. Medical missionaries also built hospitals, pharmacies, and dispensaries, organized professional associations, and initiated the publication of professional journals, all this



modeled on the patterns existing back home in Europe and North America. No doubt, Christian medical missionaries thereby became active agents in the intercultural transfer of standards and values, which might well justify the charge of colonialization, at least to a certain degree.⁶⁸ Do these achievements need to be decolonized?

It is not just some fancy attitude of later generations to evaluate critically the achievements of those who have gone before. This, rather, is an essential process in genuinely taking possession of what got inherited from the progenitors. What appears to be alien becomes suspicious and must be scrutinized to see if it is a superimposition from outside. Yet, the distinction of what is foreign to a culture and what is not requires awareness of cultural roots nurtured by narratives told, images seen, and customs practiced. But, as mentioned above, cultures cannot stay alive by protectively shunning the coming to terms with the actual challenges of the times, nor keeping to themselves in isolation.⁶⁹ Cultural identity is not won by anxiously clinging to the past but by actively engaging the legacy of the past in solving the problems of the present like facing the still grim reality of disease and of untimely death.

Conclusion

Today, rational-scientific medicine is present globally and works for the good of people most of the time. Therefore, to demand its decolonization cannot mean to get rid of it. Rather, insisting on the decolonization of medicine is to be understood as a demand to consciously take into account the colonial and imperialist baggage whenever engaging in global healthcare and to cultivate dialogue about the principles informing any action taken. This requires commitment to a communicative process in which people with diverse mental concepts coming from different backgrounds informed by distinct core values and unique narratives participate. This is an arduous process indeed. It involves acquiring accurate knowledge about worldviews across cultural divides, familiarity with global, colonial, and local history, with the regional language, and

the willingness to listen attentively even when criticized and being questioned.⁷⁰

In the final analysis, it seems that the demand to decolonize medicine hints at the fact that practitioners of rational-scientific medicine engaged in global health in the past, Christian or not, failed to seriously engage in cross-cultural dialogues about what they were doing. The positive results of their interventions appear to have justified their actions without needing further justification. But the naiveté of ignoring the macrostructure of their activities framed by socio-political and economic realities rooted in a colonial past is contested today rendering the idea of innocent philanthropy in global healthcare fictitious. Confronted with this reality, Christian healthcare workers are painfully reminded that they, too, cannot escape the grip of sin despite all honest efforts and sacrificial commitment; after all, they also live still in a fallen world. Yet, they will not despair nor become apologetic. They will instead passionately cultivate and engage in intercultural dialogue to identify health issues and respond to healthcare needs. They will do so not with an attitude to teach others what is right or wrong but for obtaining true understanding so to enable common action for bringing about genuine healing. It is high time to get moving along this path with eyes and ears, hearts, and minds wide open.

References

1. Kamazi B. “Rhodes must fall” – decolonisation symbolism – what is happening at UTC, South Africa? [Internet]. In: The Postcolonialist. 2015 Mar 29 Available from: <http://postcolonialist.com/civil-discourse/rhodes-must-fall-decolonisation-symbolism-happening-uct-south-africa> [cited 2024 Nov 26]
2. Retiring the Harvard Law School Shield. Facing history and looking forward [Internet]. Available from: <https://curiosity.lib.harvard.edu/hls-shield-exhibit/feature/royall-must-fall-the-shield-is-retired> [cited 2024 Nov 27]; Beeman A. “Royall must fall”: old and new battles on the memory



- of slavery in New England. In: Sociology of race and ethnicity. 2019, 5:3. p. 326-39.
3. HSPH: Decolonizing Global Health Conference. Humanitarian Student Association. [Internet] Available from: <https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/humanitarianstudentassociation/event/hsph-decolonizing-global-health-conference> [cited 2024 Nov 26]
 4. Kunnuji M, Shawar YR, Neill R, Manoj M, Shiffman J. Why ‘elevating country voice’ is not decolonizing global health: a frame analysis of in-depth interviews. PLOSGlob Public Health 3(2): e0001365. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgph.0001365>
 5. Abimbola S, Pai M. The art of medicine: will global health survive its decolonization? Lancet, 2020;396:1627–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)32417-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32417-X); Affun-Adegbulu C, Adegbulu O. Decolonising global (public) health: from Western universalism to Global pluriversalities. [Internet] BMJ Global Health, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-002947>; Buyum AM, Kenney C, Koris A, Mkumda L, Raveedran Y. Decolonising global health: if not now, when? [Internet]. BMJ Global Health, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003394>
 6. Huntington SP. The clash of civilizations and the remaking of world order. New York: Simon & Schuster; 1996.
 7. Radicalisation Awareness Network. Alienation, identity and intercultural working 12/12/2019 [Internet]. EX POST PAPER, RAN Health Social Care. Available from: https://home-affairs.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2019-12/ran_h-sc_alienation_identity_intercultural_working_helsinki_23-24_102019_en.pdf [cited 2024 Oct 9].
 8. The survival of culture: permanent values in a virtual age [Internet]. Kramer H, Kimball R, editors. Chicago: Ivan R Dee; 2002 [cited 2024 Oct 9]. [‘Cultural Survival’ based in Cambridge, MA, and in existence since 1972, “advocates for Indigenous Peoples’ rights and supports Indigenous communities’ self-determination, cultures and political resilience”]. Available from: <https://www.culturalsurvival.org/>; Brunkow F, Dittrich A. Cultural survival. In: Skinner BF. Possibilities for conceptual refinement. Behav Soc. 2021 [cited 2024 Oct 10]; 30:774–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42822-020-00044-w>; Bell AV. The only way for minority cultural survival. Nat Hum Behav. 2018 [cited 2024 Oct 9]. 2:176. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-018-0313-8>.
 9. Note: This is impressively depicted by Native American Velma Wallis in her famous novel *Two old Women: An Alaskan Legend of Betrayal, Courage, and Survival*. Fairbanks/Seattle, WA: Epicenter Press;1993.
 10. Odson L, Vassar M. An overview of ethnography in healthcare and medical education research. Jeehp. 2011;8:4. <http://doi.org/10.3352/jeehp.2011.8.4>; Cubellis L, Schmid C, von Peter S. Ethnography in health services research: oscillation between theory and practice. Qual Health Res. 2021;31(11):2029-40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323211022312>
 11. Kleinmann A. Patients and healers in the context of culture - an exploration of the borderland between anthropology, medicine, and psychiatry. Berkeley/Los Angeles/ London: Univ Cal Press; 1980.
 12. Note: For a reliable information about so-called alternative or integrative medicine see Types of complementary and alternative medicine, Johns Hopkins Medicine. Available from: <https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/wellness-and-prevention/types-of-complementary-and-alternative-medicine> [cited 2024 Oct 9]
 13. Lindsay C. Mentawai shamans: keeper of the rain forest. New York, NY: Aperture Foundation; 1992.
 14. See Kim C. Korean shamanism: the cultural paradox. London, New York: Routledge; 2018; Cook PM, Shaman Jhankri, Nele. Music healers of indigenous cultures. Roslyn, NY: Ellipsis Arts, 1997. P. 76-9.
 15. Sheikman MB. Zulu shaman: dreams, prophecies, and mysteries [Internet]. Psych Services. 55;8. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.55.8.950-a>; Mutwa VC. Zulu shaman: dreams, prophecies, and mysteries. Rochester, VT: Destiny Books; 2003.
 16. Sexton R, Stabbursvik EAB. Healing in the Sámi North. In: Cult Med Psychiatry. 2010;34:571–89 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11013-010-9191-x>; Miller BH, editor. Idioms of Sámi health and



- healing. Edmonton, AB: The University of Alberta Press; 2015.
17. Hultkrantz Å. Shamanic healing and ritual drama: health and medicine in Native North American traditions. New York, NY: Crossroad Publishing; 1997.
 18. Fink HE. Religion, disease, and healing in Ghana – a case study of traditional Dormaa medicine. München: Trickster Wissenschaft; 1989.
 19. Besides Leviticus 13-14 see Deuteronomy 24:8; Mathew 8:2; Luke 17:11-19.
 20. Kikuchi I. Hansen's disease in Japan: a brief history. *Int J Dermatol*. 1997;36:629-33. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-4362.1997.00230.x> [See also the novel by Sukiya G. The Samurai's Garden. New York: St. Martin's Griffin; 1996.]
 21. Santacroce L, Del Prete R, Charitos JA, Bottalico L. *Mycobacterium leprae*: a historical study on the origins of leprosy and its social stigma. *Infez Med*. 2021;29(4): 623–32. <https://doi.org/10.53854/liim-2904-18>. [The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends a multidrug therapy of rifampicin, dapsone, and clofazimine given over a period of 6 or 12 months respectively. Available from: <https://www.who.int/teams/control-of-neglected-tropical-diseases/leprosy/treatment>]
 22. National Institutes of Health – Office of HIV Research. Research towards HIV cure. Available from: <https://www.oar.nih.gov/hiv-policy-and-research/research-priorities-overview/research-toward-hiv-cure> [cited 2024 Oct 9]
 23. Fuller J. The new medical model: a renewed challenge for biomedicine. *CMAJ*. 2017;189(17):E640–E641. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.160627>; Callahan D. Medical progress and global chronic disease: the need for a new model. *Brown J World Affairs*. 2013;20(1):35-46; Goldblatt DP. Modern medicine's shortcomings: can we really conquer disease? *Perspect Biol Med*. 1977;20(3):450-6. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pbm.1977.0043>
 24. Bacon F. *Novum organum* [1620], book 1, aphorism 98, as quoted by Merchant C. "The violence of impediments" – Francis Bacon and the origins of experimentation. *Isis*. 2000;99:751.
 25. Descartes R. Description of the human body. In: Gaukroger S, editor. *Descartes R. The World and other writings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1999, p. 170ff.
 26. Balme H. China and modern medicine: a study in medical missionary development. London: United Council for Missionary Education; 1921, reprint: Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ Library; 2009, p. 132.
 27. Hollinger D. Inquiry and uplift: late nineteenth-century American academics and the moral efficiency of scientific practice. In: Haskell TL, editor. *The Authority of Experts: Studies in History and Theory*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press; 1984, p. 147; Marsden GM. The Soul of the American University: A Historical Overview. In: Marsden GM, Longfield BJ, editors. *The Secularization of the Academy*. New York / Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1992, p. 17.
 28. Amtlicher Bericht über die Versammlung Deutscher Naturforscher und Ärzte in Hannover 1865, publ. 1866. In: Schipperges H. *Entwicklung moderner Medizin: Probleme, Prognosen, Tendenzen*. 2nd ed. Stuttgart: A. W. Genter; 1968, p. 35 [my translation].
 29. Adams V, editor. *Metrics: what counts in global health*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 2016.
 30. World Health Organization. ICD-11: International classification of diseases. 11th revision. 2022 [cited 2024 Oct 9]. Available from: <https://icd.who.int/https://www.who.int/standards/classifications/classification-of-diseases>; see also Simons RC, Hughes CC, editors. *The Culture-Bound Syndromes: Folk Illnesses and Anthropological Interest*. Dordrecht, Holland: D. Reidel Publishing; 1985
 31. Amin K, Cox C, Ortaliza J, Wager E. Health care costs and affordability [Internet]. In: Altman D, editor. *Health Policy 101*, [KFF]. Available from: <https://www.kff.org/health-policy-101-health-care-costs-and-affordability> [cited 2024 May 28]; Ortiz-Ospina E, Roser M. "Healthcare Spending," OurWorldInData.org. [Internet] Available from: <https://ourworldindata.org/financing-healthcare> [cited 2024 Oct 9]; Wilson M. *Health is for People*. London: Darton, Longman & Todd; 1975.
 32. WHO Ebola response team: Ebola Virus Disease in West Africa – the first 9 months of the epidemic and forward projections. *N Engl*



- J Med. 2014 Sept 9. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa.1411100>; Bunduki GK. Community resistance to Ebola response teams in north-Kivu, DR Congo. *J Infect Dis Prevent Med*. 2018;6:3.; Sikakulya FK, Ilumbulumbu MK, Djuma SF, Bunduki GK, Sivulyamwenge AK, Jonese MK. Safe and dignified burial of a deceased from a highly contagious infectious disease ebolavirus: Socio-cultural and anthropological implications in the Eastern DR Congo. *One Health*, 2021 Dec. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt>; Close WT. Ebola through the eyes of the people. Marbleton, WY: Meadowlark Springs Prod; 2002; Cooper H. Ebola's cultural casualty: hugs in a hands-on land. *The New York Times*. 2014 Oct 5; Section A,1.
33. Covid-19 Forced cremation of Muslims discriminatory - baseless public health claims smokescreen for persecuting minority [Internet]. Human Rights Watch, 2021 Jan 18 [cited 2024 Oct 9] Available from: <https://www.hrw.org/news/2021/01/18/sri-lanka-covid-19-forced-cremation-muslims-discriminatory>; but see also: Sri Lanka apologises to Muslims for forced Covid cremations against WHO advice. *South China Morning Post* [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.scmp.com/news/asia/southasia/article/3271631/sri-lanka-apologises-muslims-forced-covid-cremations-against-who-advice> [cited 2024 Oct 9]
 34. HIV/AIDS denialism in South Africa [Internet]. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HIV/AIDS_denialism_in_South_Africa [cited 2024 Oct 9]; See also Camp E. Thabo Mbeki's AIDS denialism: neoliberalism, government and civil society in South Africa. *Leeds African Studies Bull.* 2015;16;77:84-108.
 35. Nattrass N. Understanding the origins and prevalence of AIDS conspiracy beliefs in the United States and South Africa. *Soc Health Illness*. 2013;35(1):113-29. [quote p.121]
 36. Leclerc-Madlala S. Infect one, infect all: Zulu youth response to the AIDS epidemic in South Africa. *Med Anthropol*. 1997;17(4):363-80. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01459740.1997.9966146>; Leclerc-Madlala S. On the virgin cleansing myth: gendered bodies, AIDS and ethnomedicine. *Afric J AIDS Res*. 2002;1(2):87-95. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16085906.2002.9626548>.
 37. Azétsop J, Lado L, Fosso AS. Ebola crisis in West Africa as the embodiment of the World. Arguing for a non-conventional epistemology of disease aetiology. *Afric Soc Rev/ Revue Africaine de Sociologie*. 2020;24(1):4-33; Finkel ML, Temmermann M, Suleman F, Barry M, Salm M, Binagwaho A, et al. What do global health practitioners think about decolonizing global health. *Ann Global Health*. 2022;88(1):61. <https://doi.org/10.5334/aogh.3714>; Xiaoxiao Kwete X, Tang K, Chen L, Ren R, Chen Q, Wu Z, et al. Decolonizing global health: what should be the target of this movement and where does it lead us? [Internet]. *Global Health Res Policy*. 2022 Jan 24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41256-022-00237-3>
 38. Richardson ET. On the coloniality of global public health. *Med Anthropol Theory*. 2019; 6(4):101-18. <https://doi.org/10.17157/mat.6.4.761>; Steinhauer J. Ebola, colonialism, and the history of international aid organizations in Africa [Internet]. [Library of Congress Blogs, Feb.3,2015] [cited 2024 Oct 9]. Available from: <https://blogs.loc.gov/kluge/2015/02/ebola-colonialism-history-international-aid-organizations-in-africa/>; See also Pearson JL. *The colonial politics of global health: France and the United Nations in postwar Africa*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 2018.
 39. See Benjamin T, editor. *Encyclopedia of Western colonialism since 1450* [3 vols]. New York, NY: Macmillan Reference USA; 2006.; Page ME, editor. *Colonialism: an international social, cultural, and political encyclopedia* [3 vols]. Santa Barbara, CA: ABC-CLIO; 2003.
 40. Moura VG. *O Trado De Tordesilhas / The Treaty of Tordesillas*. Lisboa: CTT Correios de Portugal; 1994; Dawson SE. *The Lines of Demarcation of Pope Alexander VI and the Treaty of Tordesillas A.D. 1493 and 1494*. Royal Society of Canada, 1899 [reprint: Berkely, CA: Legare Street Press; 2022]; Coben LA. *The Events that Led to the Treaty of Tordesillas*. *Terrae Incognitae*. 2015;74(1):142-62. <https://doi.org/10.1179/00822884.2015.1120427>
 41. Glazik J. Der Beginn der neuzeitlichen Mission auf seinem zeit- und geistesgeschichtlichen Hintergrund (Patronatsmission). In: *Kirche und Religionen - Begegnung und Dialog*, vol. III,1,



- p. 11-25. St. Ottilien: EOS; 1984. [quote p.19f my translation]
42. Note: The U.S. was invited, too, and did participate. However, the U.S. delegates withheld their decision to sign the General Act. See Förster S, Mommsen WJ, Robinson RE, editors. Bismarck, Europe and Africa: The Berlin Africa conference 1884–1885 and the onset of partition, London: Oxford University Press; 1988; Chamberlain ME. The Scramble for Africa. 3rd ed., Boca Raton, FL: Routledge; 2010.
 43. Note: A map of Europe based on how many colonies each country had [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.indy100.com/viral/map-europe-how-many-colonies-2659364514> [cited 2024 Oct 9] and, with slightly differing numbers ‘List of former European colonies’ [Internet]. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_former_European_colonies [cited 2024 Oct 9].
 44. Note: For a complete list see List of national independence days [Internet]. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_national_independence_days [cited 2024 Oct 9]. The United Nations and Decolonization. Available at <https://www.un.org/dppa/decolonization/en/nsgt>; Birmingham D. The Decolonization of Africa. Boca Raton, FL: Routledge; 1995; Gerits F. The ideological scramble for Africa: how the pursuit of anticolonial modernity shaped a postcolonial order (1945–1966). Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press; 2023.
 45. Note : As of the writing of this contribution in late 2024 the number of so called Non-Self-Governing Territories (NSGT) is 17; see <https://www.un.org/dppa/decolonization/en/nsgt> [cited 2024 Oct 9]. [The UN resolution referred to is no. 1514 (XV) of 14th December 1960], “Declaration on the Granting of Independence to Colonial Countries and Peoples” Available from: <https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/declaration-granting-independence-colonial-countries-and-peoples> [cited 2024 Oct 9]
 46. wa Thiong’o N. Decolonising the mind: the politics of language in African literature. Woodbridge, GB: James Currey; 1986; Mignolo WD. The darker side of western modernity: global futures, decolonial options. Durham, NC: Duke University Press; 2011.
 47. Richardson ET. On the coloniality of public health. In: Med Anthropol Theory. 2019 Dec. 16;6(4):101-18. <https://doi.org/10.17157/mat.6.4.761>; Quijano A. Coloniality of power and Eurocentrism in Latin America. Int Sociol. 2000;15:215-32. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0268580900015002005>
 48. Richardson ET. On the coloniality of public health. In: Med Anthropol Theory. 2019 Dec. 16;6(4):102. <https://doi.org/10.17157/mat.6.4.761>
 49. Note: While there existed a few mission stations of Catholic orders in India before (see Ballhatchet K. The East India Company and Roman Catholic Missionaries. J Ecclesiast History. 1993;44(2):273-88. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022046900015852>), it was only after Parliament ordered the British East India Company in the Charter Act of 1813 that explicit missionary activities in India became possible; see Carson P. The East India Company and Religion, 1698-1858. Woodbridge, UK: Boydell Press; 2012.
 50. Note: Like Bartholomae de las Casas (1474/84-1566) in Mexico (see Friede J, Keen B, editors. Bartolomé de las Casas in History: Toward an Understanding of the Man and his Work. DeKalb, IL: Northern Illinois University Press; 1971); Charles F. Andrews (1871-1940) in India (see Hoyland JS. C. F. Andrews: minister of reconciliation. London: Allenson; 1940); John Mackenzie (1835-1899) in South Africa (see Mackenzie WD. John Mackenzie: South African Missionary and Statesman. London: Hodder and Stoughton; 1902).
 51. Note: As did William Wilberforce (1759-1833) and the ‘Committee for the abolition of the slave trade’ formed in 1787, see Wilberforce W. A Letter on the Abolition of the slave trade, addressed to the freeholders of Yorkshire. London: Luke Hansard & Sons; 1807 and Brown CL, Moral Capital: Foundations of British abolitionism. Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press; 2006.
 52. Note: As did Frederick Storrs Turner (1834-1916) and the ‘Society for the Suppression of the Opium Trade’ formed in 1874; see Lodwick KL. Crusaders against opium: Protestant Missionaries



- in China, 1874–1917. Lexington, KY: University Press of Kentucky; 1996.
53. Carey W. Enquiry into the Christian obligation to use means for the conversion of heathens. Leicester: Ann Ireland; 1792, p.7.
 54. The Duty of the present generation to evangelize the world - an appeal from the missionaries at the Sandwich Islands to their friends in the United States. Honolulu: Mission Press, 1836. [Cecilia Irvine has shown that this appeal is the source of the slogan “The Evangelization of the World in this Generation,” which is commonly attributed to John Mott. See Irvine C. Notes on the origin of the watchword: ‘The Evangelization of the World in this Generation’. Bull Scottish Instit Missionary Studies. NS. (1985-1987) 3-4: 7-9.]; Mott JR. The evangelization of the world in this generation. New York, NY: Student Volunteer Movement for Foreign Missions; 1904.
 55. Stauffer MT, editor. Shanghai: China Continuation Committee; 1922.
 56. Dennis JS. Christian Mission and Social Progress. 3 vols. New York/London/Toronto: F. H. Revell; 1897-1906.
 57. The Great Century: Europe and the United States A.D. 1800 - A.D. 1914. New York / London: Harper 1941; The Great Century: The Americas, Australasia, and Africa A.D. 1800 - A.D. 1914. New York / London: Harper 1940; The Great Century in Northern Africa and Asia: A.D. 1800 - A.D. 1914. New York / London: Harper 1944.
 58. Neill S. Colonialism and Christian Missions. New York/London: McGraw-Hill; 1966.
 59. Note: The fourth Lateran Council (1215) barred priests and religious from medical work, surgery in particular, for fear of committing unintended homicide, a ruling lifted only in the 20th century; see Amundsen DW. Medieval Canon law on medical and surgical practice by the clergy. Bull Hist Med. 1978;52(1):22-44; van der Lee S. Clerus en Medicijnen in de Geschiedenis van het Kerkelijk Recht. Utrecht/Nijmegen: Deeker en van de Vegt N.V.; 1951.
 60. Specker J. Die missionsärztliche Praxis in der Paraguaymission. In: Missionsärztliche Caritas, Jahresheft 1946, p. 25, [my translation].
 61. Grundmann H. Sent to heal! Emergence and development of medical missions. Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 2005, p. 21-44 [quote p. 24].
 62. Note: For a survey of these development see Bynum W. The history of medicine: a very short introduction. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2008. For a more detailed presentation see the individual volumes in Cunningham A, Grell OP, editors. The medicine in context series. Boca Raton, FL: Routledge; since 2015.
 63. Paget EK. The call of suffering: a plea for medical missions. Westminster, UK: SPG; 1912. [reprint London: Forgotten Books; 2020].
 64. Dowkontt GD. Murdered millions. New York: Med Mission Record; 1897.
 65. Note: For exact figures see Grundmann CH. Sent to heal! Emergence and development of medical missions. Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 2005, p. 159.
 66. Herbert Lancaster, quoted by Walls A F. “The Heavy artillery of the missionary army”: The domestic importance of the nineteenth-century medical missionary. In: Sheils WJ, editor. The Church and Healing. Oxford, UK: Basil Blackwell; 1982, p. 290.
 67. Grundmann CH. Sent to heal! Emergence and development of medical missions. Lanham, MD: University Press of America; 2005, p. 150.
 68. See Grundmann CH. The contribution of medical missions: The intercultural transfer of standards and values. In: Acad Med.1991;66(12):731-3. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00001888-199112000-00005>
 69. Note: The Shaker community presents an example of a vanishing culture. See There are only two Shakers left. They’ve still got utopia in their sights. In: The New York Times. 2024 Sept 13. See also Whitson RE, editor. The Shakers: two centuries of spiritual reflection. Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press; 1983.
 70. Note: For a pointed illustration of this aspect see Downing R. Global Health Means Listening. Nairobi: Manqua Books; 2018.



Submitted 10 Oct 2024, Revised 1 Dec 2024, Accepted 7 Dec 2024, Published March 2025

Competing Interests: None declared.

Cite this article as: Grundmann C. Global Christian healthcare missions, European colonialism, and the demand to decolonize medicine. *Christ J Glob Health*. 2025 Mar; 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.15566/cjgh.v12i1.371>

© Author. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are properly cited. To view a copy of the license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

