

Convergence Factors in an Integral Mean

C. YOGACHANDRAN

Department of Mathematics, University of Peradeniya, Peradeniya, Sri Lanka.

(Date of receipt : 15 October 1979)

(Date of acceptance : 17 November 1980)

Abstract : The main theorem proved in this paper gives conditions necessary and sufficient in order that, for some real C_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$)

$I_r f(x) g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} C_m x^{r-1-m} + o(x^{p+q+r})$ whenever

$I_k f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} c_m x^{k-1-m} + o(x^{p+q+k})$, where $I_k f(x)$ denotes the k -th iterated integral

of $f(x)$ under suitable restrictions on the function f, g , and under the assumptions

$r, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, r \geq k \geq 0, p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p+q \notin \{-1, -2, \dots, -r\}$.

1. Introduction

If $f \in L_{loc}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_k f(x) = f_k(x)$ denote the k -th iterated integral of $f(x)$. We assume in this paper that $r, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, r \geq k, p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ and that (a) if $r = k = 0$ then $f, g \in F$ (The space of all real functions defined on $[1, \infty)$).

(b) if $k = 0, r \geq 1$, then $f \in L_{loc}, g \in B_{loc}$ (Space of functions in F bounded and measurable locally),

(c) if $r \geq k \geq 1$, then $f \in L_{loc}, g^{k-1} \in AC_{loc}$ (Space of functions in F absolutely continuous locally),

g^m denoting the m -th derivative of g , g^0 denoting g .

We prove the following theorems; omitting the trivial case $r = k = 0$.

Theorem 1. Let $p+q > -1$.

(a) If $k = 0, r \geq 1$, a necessary and sufficient condition that $I_r f(x) g(x) = O(x^{p+q+r})$ whenever $I_r f(x) = O(x^{p+k})$ is that

$$(i)_0 \quad 0 \int_1^x t^{-q} |g(t)| dt = O(x), \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

(b) If $r \geq k \geq 1$, then necessary and sufficient conditions that $I_r f(x) g(x) = O(x^{p+q+r})$ whenever $I_k f(x) = O(x^{p+k})$ are:

$$(i) \quad g(x) = O(x^q) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(ii) \quad \int_1^x t^{k-q} |g^k(t)| dt = O(x) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

We find that the conditions in Theorem 1 are necessary even in the case $p + q \leq -1$. We also find that if $p + q < -1$, $p + q \notin \{-1, -2, \dots, -r\}$ and the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, then there exist constants C_m , $m = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$

such that
$$I_r f(x) g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} C_m x^{r-1-m} + O(x^{r+q+r}).$$

We combine these results to give:

Theorem 2

(a) If $r \geq k \geq 0$, $r \geq 1$, $p + q \notin \{-1, -2, \dots, -r\}$, c_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$) are real, $I_k f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} c_m x^{k-1-m} + O(x^{p+k})$, and the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, then there exist constants C_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$) such that

$$I_r f(x) g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} C_m x^{r-1-m} + O(x^{p+q+r}).$$

(b) If $r \geq k \geq 0$, $r \geq 1$, and there exist constants C_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$) such that

$$I_r f(x) g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} C_m x^{r-1-m} + O(x^{p+q+r})$$

whenever $I_k f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} c_m x^{k-1-m} + O(x^{p+k})$, where c_m ($m = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$) are real, then the conditions of Theorem 1 are necessary. An empty sum denotes zero.

Theorem 2 is the analogue for continuous variables of the sequence - sequence problem considered in [1].

2. Auxiliary Results

Lemma 1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g^{k-1} \in AC_{loc}$. Then, each of the following sets of conditions:

- | | |
|---|--|
| (a) (i) _a $\int_1^x t^{-q} g(t) dt = O(x)$ | (ii) _a $\int_1^x t^{k-q} g^k(t) dt = O(x),$ |
| (b) (i) _b $\int_1^x t^{-q} g(t) dt = O(x)$ | (ii) _b $\int_1^x t^{k-q} g^k(t) dt = O(x),$ |
| (c) (i) _c $g(x) = O(x^q)$ | (ii) _c $g^{k-1}(x) = O(x^{q+1-k}),$ |

implies that $g^j(x) = O(x^{q-j})$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$.

Proof. Clearly, it is enough to prove that (i)_b and (ii)_b imply that $g^j(x) = O(x^{q-j})$.

Case 1. Let $q > k-1$.

Since $g^{k-1}(x) = g^{k-1}(1) + \int_1^x t^{q-k} [t^{k-q} g^k(t)] dt$, partial integration and (ii)_b give $g^{k-1}(x) = O(x^{q-k+1})$, and further integration gives the result.

Case 2. $q = k-1$. Integration (ii)_b $n-1$ times where $1 \leq n \leq k$, we get

$$I_n [x g^k(x)] = O(x)^n \quad (2.1)$$

Now, $(d/dx)^n [xg^{k-n}(x)] = xg^k(x) + ng^{k-1}(x)$ p. p., and integrating this n times we have

$$xg^{k-n}(x) - n! [g^{k-n}(x)] = 0(x^n), \quad (2.2)$$

by (2.1).

Now, $(i)_b$ and partial integration give $I[g(x)] = 0(x^k)$. (2.3)

Putting $n = k$ in (2.2) and using (2.3), we get

$$g(x) = 0(x^{k-1}). \quad (2.4)$$

Putting $n = k-1$ in (2.2) and using (2.4) we get $g^1(x) = 0(x^{k-2})$

and proceeding similarly we establish the result.

Case 3. $q < k-1$. Partial integration and $(ii)_b$ give, in this case,

$$g^{k-1}(x) = c + 0(x^{q-k+1}) = c + 0(1).$$

Hence
$$\int_1^x t^{-q} g(t) dt = \frac{cx^{k-q}}{(k-q)(k-1)!} + 0(x^{k-q}),$$

and thus, $(i)_b$ can hold only if $c = 0$, and (2.5) gives

$$g^{k-1}(x) = 0(x^{q-k+1}), \text{ which is the conclusion if } k = 1.$$

If $k \geq 2$, since $\int_1^x t^{k-1-q} g^{k-1}(t) dt = 0(x)$, in the case $q \geq k-2$, we can use cases 1 and 2 with k replaced by $k-1$ to get

$g^j(x) = 0(x^{q-j})$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$. If $q < k-2$, by repetition of the above argument, we get $g^{k-2}(x) = 0(x^{q-k+2})$, and thus

$\int_1^x t^{k-2-q} g^{k-2}(t) dt = 0(x)$. We now establish the result by an inductive argument.

Lemma 2. If $d_{ij} = (-1)^i \binom{k}{j} \frac{u^{r-1+i-j}}{(r-1+i-j)!}$, $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, k, r \in \mathbb{N}$,

and D_{ij} is the cofactor of d_{ij} in the determinant $D = \det(d_{ij})$ of order $(k+1) \times (k+1)$, then $D_{ij} = K_{ij} u^{k(r-1)+j-1}$, where K_{ij} is independent of

$$u, D = Pu^{(k+1)(r-1)}, P = \prod_{m=0}^k \frac{(-1)^m \left(\frac{R}{m}\right) m!}{(r-1+m)!} \neq 0.$$

This result is proved easily by induction with respect to k .

Lemma 3. If $p+k > -1$, $k^1 > k$ and $g^k(x) = 0(x^{p+k})$, then

$$g_{k^1}(x) = o(x^{p+k^1}). \text{ 'o' may be replaced by 'O' here}$$

This result is well known.

Lemma 4. If $p+k > -1$, $p+q > -1$ and $g_k(x) = o(x^{p+k})$, then

$$I_k x^q g(x) = o(x^{p+q+k}). \text{ 'o' may be replaced by 'O' here.}$$

of [2] (Lemma 1) and [3] (Lemma 4).

Lemma 5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let S_0^n be the subset of F such that every $s \in S_0^n$ satisfies $s^n \in AC_{loc}$ and $s(t) = o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $a(x, t) = 0$ for $t > x$, $a(x, t) \in L[1, x]$ for every fixed $x \geq 1$, and $\int_1^T |a(x, t)| dt = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ for every $T > 1$. Then, in order that $v(x) = \int_1^x a(x, t) s(t) dt = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $s \in S_0^n$, $s(1) = s'(1) = \dots = s^{(n)}(1) = 0$ it is necessary that $\int_1^x |a(x, t)| dt = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

See [4] (Lemma 8).

Lemma 6. Suppose $a(x, t)$ is bounded and measurable on $[1, x]$ for every $x > 1$ and $a(x, t) = 0$ for $t > x$. Then in order that $v(x) = \int_1^x a(x, t) s(t) dt = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $s \in L$ and $s(t) = o(1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, it is necessary that $\int_1^x |a(x, t)| dt = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. Necessity.

By repeated partial integration, when $r \geq k \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_r f(x) g(x) &= \int_1^x \frac{(x-t)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} f(t) g(t) dt \\ &= (-1)^k \int_1^x f_k(t) (D_t)^k G_r(x, t) dt + \delta_{rk} f_k(x) g(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $D_t \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $G_r(x, t) = \frac{(x-t)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} g(t)$, and δ_{rk} is the Krönecker delta.

When $k = 0$, $r \geq 1$, the formula still holds.

Define $a(x, t) = (-1)^k t^{p+k} x^{-p-q-r} (D_t)^k G_r(x, t)$, $s(t) = t^{-p-k} f_k(t)$ and $v(x) = \int_1^x a(x, t) s(t) dt$.

Then, (3.1) gives

$$x^{-p-q-r} I_r f(x) g(x) = v(x) + \delta_{rk} x^{-p-q-r} f_k(x) g(x). \quad (3.2)$$

Since conditions (i)₀, (i) and (ii) are independent of r , $r \geq \max(1, k)$, it is enough, by Lemma 3, to consider the case $r \geq k+1$ here, and then (3.2) gives:

$v(x) = o(1)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ whenever $s \in S_0^{k-1}$ when $k \geq 1$, and

$v(x) = o(1)$ whenever $s \in L$ and $s(t) = o(1)$, when $k = 0$, where S_0^{k-1}

is defined in Lemma 5.

Clearly, $a(x, t)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5 and 6, and

hence we get the necessary condition $\int_1^x |a(x, t)| dt = o(1)$,

which gives $\int_1^x t^{p+k} |(D_t)^k G_r(x, t)| dt = o(x^{p+q+r})$, $k \geq 0$, and by

Lemma 3, r may be replaced by $r+1$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$ in this equation.

Thus, $\int_1^x t^{p+k} |(D_t)^k G_{r+i}(x, t)| dt = o(x^{p+q+r+i})$. (3.3)

Now $(D_t)^k G_{r+i}(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j \binom{k}{j} (x-t)^{r+i-j-1}}{(r+i-j-1)!} g^{k-j}(t)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$,

and solving these equations and using Lemma 2, we get

$$P(x-t)^{(k+1)(r-1)} g^{k-j}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^k D_{ij} (D_t)^k G_{r+i}(x, t)$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^k K_{ij} (x-t)^{k(r-1)+i-1} (D_t)^k G_{r+i}(x, t), \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \text{ where } K_{ij}$$

is independent of x and t , $P \neq 0$.

Hence, since $k(r-1) + j - i \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{(k+1)(r-1)} \int_1^{x/2} t^{k+p} |g^{k-j}(t)| dt \\ & \leq \int_1^{x/2} t^{k+p} (x-t)^{(k+1)(r-1)} |g^{k-j}(t)| dt \\ & \leq \int_1^x t^{k+p} (x-t)^{(k+1)(r-1)} |g^{k-j}(t)| dt \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^k \left| \frac{K_{ij}}{P} \right| x^{k(r-1)+j-i} \int_1^x t^{r+k} |(D_t)^k G_{r+i}(x, t)| dt \\ & = O(x^{(k+1)(r-1)+p+q+i+j}) \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \text{ by (3.3), (4.4)} \end{aligned}$$

Now, (3.4) gives

$$\int_1^x t^{k+p} |g^{k-j}(t)| dt = O(x^{p+q+i+j}), j = 0, 1, \dots, k, \text{ and by Lemma 4,}$$

this gives $\int_1^x t^{k-q-j} |g^{k-j}(t)| dt = O(x)$. (3.5)

If $k \geq 1$, taking $j = 0$ and $j = k$ in (3.5) and applying Lemma 1, we get the necessary conditions (i) and (ii).

If $k = 0$, we get the single condition $(i)_0$ from (3.5).

Sufficiency. By expanding $(x-t)^{r-1}$ and applying Leibniz's theorem,

we get $(-1)^k (D_t)^k G_r(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} A_m x^{r-1-m} \sum_{i=0}^k B_i t^{m-i} g^{k-i}(t)$ when $k \geq 1$

and $(-1)^k (D_t)^k G_r(x, t) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} A_m x^{r-1-m} t^m g(t)$ when $k = 0$, where

$$A_m = \frac{(-1)^{m+k} \binom{r-1}{m}}{(r-1)!}, \quad B_i = \binom{k}{i} m(m-1) \dots (m-i+1) \text{ for } i \geq 1, B_0 = 0.$$

Substituting in (3.1), we get, when $k \geq 1$, $I_r(x)g(x)$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} A_m x^{r-1-m} \sum_{i=0}^k B_i \int_1^x t^{m-i} f_k(t) g^{k-i}(t) dt + \delta_{r,k} f_k(x) g(x), \quad (3.6)$$

and $I_r f(x)g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} A_m x^{r-1-m} \int_1^x t^m f(t) g(t) dt$ when $k = 0$. (3.7)

If $k = 0$ and $(i)_0$ holds, Lemma 4 gives

$$\int_1^x t^{p+m} |g(t)| dt = O(x^{p+q+m+1}) \text{ for } m = 0, 1, \dots, r-1, \text{ and since } f(t) = o(t^p),$$

$$\int_1^x t^m f(t) g(t) dt = \int_1^x o(t^{p+m}) |g(t)| dt = O(x^{p+q+m+1}), \text{ and hence}$$

(3.7) gives $I_r f(x)g(x) = o(x^{p+q+r})$, the required result.

If $k \geq 1$, (i) and (ii) hold, by Lemma 1 we get

$$\int_1^x t^{p+k+m-i} |g^{k-i}(t)| dt = o(x^{p+q+m+1}), \text{ and since } f_k(x) = o(x^{r+k}),$$

$$\int_1^x t^{m-i} f_k(t) g^{k-i}(t) dt = o(x^{p+q+m+1}), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \text{ and}$$

substituting in (3.6) we get

$$I_r f(x)g(x) = o(x^{p+q+r}) + o(x^{p+q+k}) = o(x^{p+q+r}).$$

Proof of Theorem 2.

(a) We may take, without loss of generality, $c_m = 0$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$,

since $f(x)$ may be replaced by $h(x) = f(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} c_m^1 e^{-(m+1)x}$,

so that $h_k(x) = f_k(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} c_m^1 x^{k-1-m} = o(x^{r+k})$, (the constants c_m^1

being chosen suitably), while the form of the conclusion is unchanged.

It is also enough to take $p+q < -1$, $p+q \notin \{-1, -2, \dots, -r\}$.

If $k=0$ and (i)₀ holds, as in the sufficiency part of Theorem 1,

we get $\int_1^x t^m f(t)g(t) dt = o(x^{r+q+m+1}) + a_m$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$, and

substituting in (3.7) we get

$$I_r f(x)g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} C_m x^{r-1-m} + o(x^{r+q+r}), \text{ where } C_m = A_m a_m.$$

If $k \geq 1$ and (i) and (ii) hold, as before we have

$$\int_1^x t^{m-i} f_k(t) g^{k-i}(t) dt = o(x^{p+q+m+1}) + a_{mi}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k \text{ and}$$

$$m = 0, 1, \dots, r-1. \text{ Substituting in (3.6) we get the conclusion}$$

with $C_m = A_m \sum_{i=0}^k B_i a_{mi}$. Clearly, every $C_m = 0$ when $p+q \geq -1$.

(b) We may take every $c_m = 0$, $p+q \leq -1$. Let n be a positive integer such that $p+q+n > -1$.

By (a) above, with $f(x), g(x), p, q, k$ replaced by $f(x)g(x), x^n, p+q, n, r$

respectively, we see that $I_r f(x)g(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{r-1} C_m x^{r-1-m} + o(x^{p+q+r})$

implies $I_r x^n f(x)g(x) = o(x^{(p+q)+n+r})$, since $(p+q)+n > -1$,

i. e. $I_r f(x) \cdot x^n g(x) = o(x^{p+(q+n)+r})$ whenever $f_k(x) = o(x^{p+k})$, and hence by

Theorem 1, with $g(x), q$ replaced by $x^n g(x), q+n$, we get the necessary

conditions: $\int_1^x t^{-(q+n)} |t^n g(t)| dt = o(x)$ when $k=0$ (3.8)

and $x^{-(q+n)} x^n g(x) = o(1),$ (3.9)

$$\int_1^x t^{k-(q+n)} |(d/dt)^k [t^n g(t)]| dt = o(x) \text{ when } k \geq 1 \quad (3.10)$$

(3.8) and (3.9) are the same as (i)₀ and (i) respectively

By Lemma 1, (3.9) and (3.10) imply, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$,

$$\int_1^x t^{j-a-n} |(d/dt)^j [t^n g(t)]| dt = O(x). \quad (3.11)$$

But,
$$g^k(t) = (d/dt)^k [t^{-n} \cdot t^n g(t)] = \sum_{j=0}^k d_j t^{-n-k+j} (d/dt)^j [t^n g(t)],$$

where
$$d_j = \binom{k}{j} (-n)(-n-1) \dots (-n-k+j+1).$$

Hence
$$\int_1^x t^{k-a} |g^k(t)| dt \leq \sum_{j=0}^k |d_j| \int_1^x t^{-a-n+j} \left| \left(\frac{d}{dt} \right)^j [t^n g(t)] \right| dt$$

$$= O(x), \text{ by (3.11).}$$

This completes the proof

References

1. BOSANQUET, L.S. (1954) *Mathematika* 1; 24-44.
2. BOSANQUET, L.S. (1948) *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 23; 35-38.
3. BORWEIN, D. (1950) *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 25; 289-302.
4. BORWEIN, D. (1954) *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 29; 276-292.
5. HARDY, G.H. (1949) 'Divergent Series' (Oxford U.P.)