

Moroccan J. of Pure and Appl. Anal. (MJPAА)

Volume 9(1), 2023, Pages 86–96

ISSN: Online 2351-8227 - Print 2605-6364

DOI: [10.2478/mjpaa-2023-0006](https://doi.org/10.2478/mjpaa-2023-0006)

Independence, infinite dimension, and operators

NIZAR EL IDRISSE¹ AND SAMIR KABBАJ²

ABSTRACT. In [Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 46 (2019), 664673] O. Christensen and M. Hasannasab observed that assuming the existence of an operator T sending e_n to e_{n+1} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (where $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of vectors) guarantees that $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\dim\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \infty$. In this article, we recover this result as a particular case of a general order-theory-based model-theoretic result. We then return to the context of vector spaces to show that, if we want to use a condition like $T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$ where I is countable as a replacement of the previous one, the conclusion will only stay true if $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ is conjugate to the successor function $\text{succ} : n \mapsto n + 1$ defined on \mathbb{N} . We finally prove a tentative generalization of the result, where we replace the condition $T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$ where ϕ is conjugate to the successor function with a more sophisticated one, and to which we have not managed to find a new application yet.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 15A03, 15A04, 06A12, 03C07.

Key words and phrases. vector space, operator, linear independence, dimension, ordered structures, σ -structure.

1. Introduction

Linear algebra is an entrenched subject of mathematics that started with the introduction of coordinates in geometry by René Descartes. Its modern theory emerged in the late nineteenth

Received August 10, 2022 - Accepted: January 21, 2023.

© The Author(s) 2023. This article is published with open access by Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdallah University.

¹Laboratoire d'EDP, Algèbre et Géométrie spectrale, département de mathématiques, faculté des sciences, université Ibn Tofail, 14000 Knitra.

e-mail: nizar.elidrissi@uit.ac.ma (Corresponding Author).

²Laboratoire d'EDP, Algèbre et Géométrie spectrale, département de mathématiques, faculté des sciences, université Ibn Tofail, 14000 Knitra.

e-mail: samir.kabbaj@uit.ac.ma.

century after Peano gave the definition of a vector space. This theory makes heavy use of the concepts of linear independence and dimension, which often allow to state important theorems and conjectures.

Generally, linear independence of an infinite sequence implies that it spans an infinite-dimensional space, but not the opposite. As a result, it is interesting to consider the conditions of a reverse statement. Such reverse statements may allow to solve standing problems on linear independence.

In [1], O. Christensen and M. Hasannasab observed that assuming the existence of an operator T sending e_n to e_{n+1} for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (where $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of vectors) guarantees that $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is linearly independent if and only if $\dim\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \infty$. To wit:

Proposition 1.1. (*O. Christensen and M. Hasannasab*)

Let E be a vector space and $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a family in E indexed by \mathbb{N} . Then

$$(\exists T \in L(\text{span}\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, E) : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : T(e_n) = e_{n+1}) \text{ and } \dim \text{span}\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = +\infty \Rightarrow \\ (e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is free.}$$

In this article, we prove some additional results related to proposition 1.1. First, we recover proposition 1.1 as a particular case of a general order-theory-based model-theoretic result. We then return to the context of vector spaces to show that, if we want to use a condition like $T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$ where I is countable as a replacement of the previous one, the conclusion will only stay true if $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ is conjugate to the successor function $\text{succ} : n \mapsto n + 1$ defined on \mathbb{N} . We finally prove a tentative generalization of the result, where we replace the condition $T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$ where ϕ is conjugate to the successor function with a more sophisticated one, and to which we have not managed to find a new application yet.

Plan of the article. We dedicate section 2 to the notations. We then prove in section 3 some order-theoretic lemmas. These lemmas will allow us to prove in the next section 4 a model-theoretic result and recover proposition 1.1 as a particular case. We then return in section 5 to the context of vector spaces and show that proposition 1.1 can at most be generalized in the countable case to families $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ indexed by a countable set I and maps $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ that are conjugate to the successor function $\text{succ} : n \mapsto n + 1$ defined on \mathbb{N} , at least if we want to preserve a condition like $T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$. We finally prove in section 6 a tentative generalization of the result, where we replace the condition $T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$ where ϕ is conjugate to the successor function with a more sophisticated one.

2. Notation

In the sequel, \mathbb{N} denotes the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of natural numbers including 0. \mathbb{N}^* denotes $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

If A is a set and $A_0 \subseteq A$ is a subset of A , we denote by $|A|$ the cardinality of A , $\mathcal{P}(A)$ the powerset of A , $\mathcal{P}_\omega(A)$ the set $\{B \subseteq A : |B| < \infty\}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(A)$ the set $\{B \subseteq A : 0 < |B| < \infty\}$. If A is a set, $\phi : A \rightarrow A$ a self map and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we denote by ϕ^n the composition of ϕ with itself n times : $\phi \circ \dots \circ \phi : A \rightarrow A$. In addition, we define ϕ^0 to be the identity function on A . Moreover, if $a \in A$, we denote by $\text{Orb}_\phi(a)$ the forward orbit of a under the iterates of ϕ :

$\{\phi^n(a) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

If E and F are two vector spaces, $L(E, F)$ denotes the set of linear operators from E to F . When $E = F$, we simply write $L(E)$. If E is a vector space, we denote by $L(*, E)$ the set $\{T \in L(E^*, E) : E^* \text{ is a vector space}\}$.

3. Lemmas in order theory

Definition 3.1. Consider some set P and a binary relation \leq on P . Then \leq is a preorder if it is reflexive and transitive; i.e., for all a, b and c in P , we have that:

- $a \leq a$ (reflexivity)
- if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$ then $a \leq c$ (transitivity)

A set that is equipped with a preorder is called a preordered set.

Definition 3.2. Consider a preordered set (P, \leq) and a map $p : P \rightarrow P$. Then p is called a projection if for all a and b in P , we have that:

- $a \leq b$ implies $p(a) \leq p(b)$ (p is monotone/increasing/order-preserving/isotone)
- $p(p(a)) = p(a)$ (idempotence)

Lemma 3.1. Let (P, \leq) be a preordered set, $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in P , $b \in P$ and p a projection on P . Suppose there exists an increasing map $f : P \rightarrow P$ such that $f(p(b)) \leq p(f(b))$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : a_{n+1} \leq f(a_n)$, and $f(b) \leq a_0$.

Then: $(a_0 \leq p(b)) \Rightarrow (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \leq p(b))$.

Proof. Indeed, suppose that $a_0 \leq p(b)$. Let's show by induction that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \leq p(b)$. The base case is the hypothesis $a_0 \leq p(b)$. Suppose we have that $a_n \leq p(b)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $a_{n+1} \leq f(a_n) \leq f(p(b)) \leq p(f(b)) \leq p(a_0) \leq p(p(b)) = p(b)$. Hence $a_n \leq p(b)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the lemma is proved.

Definition 3.3. Consider some set P and a binary relation \leq on P . Then \leq is a partial order if it is a preorder and for all a and b in P , we have $a \leq b \leq a$ implies $a = b$ (antisymmetry).

A set that is equipped with a partial order is called a partially ordered set or poset.

Definition 3.4. A set S partially ordered by the binary relation \leq is a join-semilattice if for all elements x and y of S , the smallest upper bound of the set $\{x, y\}$ exists in S .

The smallest upper bound of the set $\{x, y\}$ is called the join of x and y , denoted $x \vee y$.

Lemma 3.2. Let (S, \leq, \vee) be a join-semilattice, p a projection on S , $(a_{m,n})_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ a double sequence in S , $(b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ an increasing sequence in S such that $\forall m \in \mathbb{N} : a_{m,0} \leq p(b_{m+1})$.

Suppose there exists $m_\bullet \in \mathbb{N}$ and an increasing map $f : S \rightarrow S$ such that $f(p(b_{m_\bullet})) \leq p(f(b_{m_\bullet}))$, $\forall (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : a_{m,n+1} \leq f(a_{m,n})$, and $f(b_{m_\bullet}) \leq \bigvee_{i=0}^{m_\bullet} a_{i,0}$.

Then: $(a_{m_\bullet,0} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet})) \Rightarrow (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : a_{m_\bullet,n} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet}))$.

Proof. For all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, let $\widetilde{a_{m,n}} = \bigvee_{i=0}^m a_{i,n}$. Suppose that $a_{m_\bullet,0} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet})$. Then $\widetilde{a_{m_\bullet,0}} = \bigvee_{i=0}^{m_\bullet} a_{i,0} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet})$ since $\forall i \in [0, m_\bullet - 1] : a_{i,0} \leq p(b_{i+1})$, $a_{m_\bullet,0} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet})$, and $(p(b_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing. Moreover, f being increasing implies $\forall a, b \in S : f(a) \vee f(b) \leq f(a \vee b)$, and so $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \widetilde{a_{m_\bullet,n+1}} = \bigvee_{i=0}^{m_\bullet} a_{i,n+1} \leq \bigvee_{i=0}^{m_\bullet} f(a_{i,n}) \leq f(\bigvee_{i=0}^{m_\bullet} a_{i,n}) = f(\widetilde{a_{m_\bullet,n}})$. Therefore, applying

lemma 3.1 to $(\widetilde{a_{m_\bullet, n}})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, b_{m_\bullet} , and f , we have that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \widetilde{a_{m_\bullet, n}} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet})$ which implies $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : a_{m_\bullet, n} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet})$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (S, \leq, \vee) be a join-semilattice, p a projection on S , $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ two sequences in S such that $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : e_n \leq p(b_{n+1})$.*

Suppose there exists $m_\bullet \in \mathbb{N}$ and an increasing map $f : S \rightarrow S$ such that $f(p(b_{m_\bullet})) \leq p(f(b_{m_\bullet}))$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : e_{n+1} \leq f(e_n)$, and $f(b_{m_\bullet}) \leq \bigvee_{i=0}^{m_\bullet} e_i$.

Then: $(e_{m_\bullet} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet})) \Rightarrow (\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : e_{m_\bullet+n} \leq p(b_{m_\bullet}))$.

Proof. Define $a_{m,n}$ as e_{m+n} for all $(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$. Then $((a_{m,n})_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2}, (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, f)$ is a triple satisfying the conditions of lemma 3.2 and so we deduce the result.

4. Application to model theory

In this section, we will use lemma 3.3 to prove a model-theoretic result. For a quick reference on model theory, see the book [2]. The model-theoretic result allows to recover proposition 1.1 when we choose the σ -structure to be a vector space over a field. The idea of the following model-theoretic proposition is to consider an unsorted σ -structure $\mathcal{A} = (A, \sigma)$ and regard the map sending a set $X \subseteq A$ to the set $\text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[X]$ of interpreted terms with variables taken from X as a special projection map on the boolean algebra $\mathcal{P}(A)$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let σ be a signature and $\mathcal{A} = (A, \sigma)$ a σ -structure.*

Let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in A .

Suppose there exists a map $f \in \text{End}_\sigma(A)$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(e_n) = e_{n+1}$.

Then: $(\exists m \in \mathbb{N} : e_m \in \text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[e_0, \dots, e_{m-1}])$

$\Rightarrow (\exists m \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \in \mathbb{N} : e_{m+n} \in \text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[e_0, \dots, e_{m-1}])$.

Proof. Notice that $(\mathcal{P}(A), \subseteq, \cup, \cap, \emptyset, A)$ is a boolean algebra and so a join-semilattice $(\mathcal{P}(A), \subseteq, \cup)$. Define p as the map sending a set $X \subseteq A$ to the set $\text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[X]$ of interpreted terms with variables taken from X , and $b_n = \{e_0, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$. The sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in A induces a sequence of singletons $(\{e_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathcal{P}(A)$. Also, the endomorphism f induces the direct image

map $f_\bullet = \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}(A) & \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(A) \\ X & \mapsto f(X) \end{cases}$ which is increasing, satisfies $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}(A) : f_\bullet(p(X)) = p(f_\bullet(X))$,

$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} : \{e_{i+1}\} = f_\bullet(\{e_i\})$, and $f_\bullet(\{e_0, \dots, e_{m-1}\}) = \{f(e_0), \dots, f(e_{m-1})\} = \{e_1, \dots, e_m\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^m \{e_i\}$. Moreover $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is increasing and $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \{e_n\} \subseteq \text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[e_0, \dots, e_n]$. Suppose $\exists m_\bullet \in \mathbb{N} : e_{m_\bullet} \in \text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[e_0, \dots, e_{m_\bullet-1}]$. Then the implication follows from lemma 3.3 applied to $(S, \leq, \vee) := (\mathcal{P}(A), \subseteq, \cup)$, p , $(\{e_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, f_\bullet , and m_\bullet .

The previous proposition can be better appreciated after considering its contrapositive:

Corollary 4.1. *Let σ be a signature and $\mathcal{A} = (A, \sigma)$ a σ -structure.*

Let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in A .

Suppose there exists a map $f \in \text{End}_\sigma(A)$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : f(e_n) = e_{n+1}$.

Then: $(\forall m \in \mathbb{N} : \exists \varphi(m) \geq m : e_{\varphi(m)} \notin \text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[e_0, \dots, e_{m-1}])$

$\Rightarrow (\forall m \in \mathbb{N} : e_m \notin \text{Terms}^{\mathcal{A}}[e_0, \dots, e_{m-1}])$.

Example 4.1. Consider the case of a vector space over a field, with its classical signature described for instance in [2] pp. 3-4. Then the corollary means that if we have an infinite sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of vectors and a linear map with the property $f(e_n) = e_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then in order to show the linear independence of the sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, it is sufficient to prove that it spans an infinite-dimensional space. This is the result appearing in the paper [1] by O. Christensen and M. Hasannasab (proposition 1.1 of the present article).

Corollary 4.2. Let E be an infinite-dimensional vector space, $e \in E$, and S a linear operator in E . Define the infinite sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in E as $e_n = S^n(e)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\dim \text{span}(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \infty$. Then $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is free.

Proof. We have $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : S(e_n) = e_{n+1}$ and we conclude by proposition 1.1.

We do not know if it is possible to formulate a definition of infinite dimension or independence in the context of general σ -structures as in corollary 4.1. If possible, corollary 4.1 may be advantageously applied to other σ -structures like groups, rings, etc and have the same intuitive meaning of establishing a link between infinite dimension and independence.

5. The only possible countable extensions

Before establishing the main proposition 5.1, we need to recall some well-known lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. Let I be an infinite set, $a \in I$ and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$. Suppose that $\text{Orb}_\phi(a)$ is infinite. Then $a, \phi(a), \phi(\phi(a)), \dots$ are distinct.

Proof. We use euclidean division. Suppose that $\phi^n(a) = \phi^m(a)$ for $n < m$. By induction, we have $\phi^{n+j}(a) = \phi^{m+j}(a)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $e \geq n$. Let $e - n = q(m - n) + r$ be the division with remainder of $e - n \in \mathbb{N}$ by $m - n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. If $q \geq 1$, we have $\phi^e(a) = \phi^{n+q(m-n)+r}(a) = \phi^{m+(q-1)(m-n)+r}(a) = \phi^{n+(q-1)(m-n)+r}(a)$. By immediate induction, we have that $\phi^e(a) = \phi^{n+r}(a)$, where $0 \leq r < m - n$. So $\text{Orb}_\phi(a) = \{a, \phi(a), \dots, \phi^{m-1}(a)\}$ is finite, contradiction.

Lemma 5.2. Let I be an infinite set, $(a, b) \in I^2$ and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$. Suppose that $\text{Orb}_\phi(a)$ is infinite and $\text{Orb}_\phi(b)$ is cofinite. Then $(\exists (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2) : \phi^m(a) = \phi^n(b)$.

Proof. Assume by way of contradiction that $(\forall (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \phi^m(a) \neq \phi^n(b))$. Then $\text{Orb}_\phi(a) \subseteq I \setminus \text{Orb}_\phi(b)$. But this is impossible since $\text{Orb}_\phi(a)$ is infinite and $I \setminus \text{Orb}_\phi(b)$ is finite. Hence the result.

Lemma 5.3. Let I be a countably infinite set and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$. Then

$$(\exists a \in I : \text{Orb}_\phi(a) = I) \Leftrightarrow (\phi \text{ is conjugate to } \text{succ} : \begin{cases} \mathbb{N} & \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ n & \mapsto n + 1 \end{cases} \text{ in the sense that } \\ \exists \alpha : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow I \text{ such that } \alpha \text{ is bijective and } \alpha \circ \text{succ} = \phi \circ \alpha).$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose that $\exists a \in I : Orb_\phi(a) = I$. Define $\alpha : \begin{cases} \mathbb{N} & \rightarrow I \\ n & \mapsto \phi^n(a) \end{cases}$. By lemma 5.1, α is bijective. Moreover, we have clearly $\alpha \circ succ = \phi \circ \alpha$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose ϕ is conjugated to $succ : \begin{cases} \mathbb{N} & \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ n & \mapsto n + 1 \end{cases}$ by α . Then we have $Orb_\phi(\alpha(0)) = \{\phi^n(\alpha(0))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\alpha(succ^n(0))\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \{\alpha(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = I$.

The following proposition is the main result of this section.

Proposition 5.1. *Let E be an infinite dimensional vector space and I a countably infinite set. For all $(e_i)_{i \in I} \in E^I$ and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$, let $P((e_i)_{i \in I}, \phi)$ be the proposition*

$$\begin{aligned} (\exists T \in L(\text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I}, E) : \forall i \in I : T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)} \text{ and } \dim \text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = +\infty) \\ \Rightarrow (e_i)_{i \in I} \text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\forall \phi : I \rightarrow I : \left[\left(\forall (e_i)_{i \in I} \in E^I : P((e_i)_{i \in I}, \phi) \right) \Leftrightarrow \exists a \in I : Orb_\phi(a) = I \right]$$

Proof. Let $\phi : I \rightarrow I$.

(\Rightarrow) : Suppose that $\forall (e_i)_{i \in I} : P((e_i)_{i \in I}, \phi)$.

Let's show first that all the orbits of ϕ are cofinite. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\exists a \in I : |I \setminus Orb_\phi(a)| = +\infty$. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a free family in E . We let $u : I \setminus Orb_\phi(a) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$

be a bijection, and set for all $i \in I$, $e_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \in Orb_\phi(a) \\ a_{u(i)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. We define an operator on

$\text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = \text{span}(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by setting $T(a_n) = e_{\phi(u^{-1}(n))}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and extending linearly. Then we have $\forall i \in I : T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$. Indeed, if $i \in Orb_\phi(a)$, then $\phi(i) \in Orb_\phi(a)$ and so $e_i = e_{\phi(i)} = 0$ by definition which makes the relation true. Otherwise, we have $e_i = a_{u(i)}$ and so $T(e_i) = T(a_{u(i)}) = e_{\phi(u^{-1}(u(i)))} = e_{\phi(i)}$. Besides, we have $\dim \text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = +\infty$ since $\text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = \text{span}(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\dim \text{span}(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = +\infty$. Since $P((e_i)_{i \in I}, \phi)$ is true, it follows that $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is free which is impossible since $e_a = 0$.

Now take $a \in I$ such that $|I \setminus Orb_\phi(a)|$ is minimal. From lemma 5.2, $\forall b \in I : \exists (m(b), n(b)) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : \phi^{m(b)}(b) = \phi^{n(b)}(a)$. If we choose $m(b)$ and $n(b)$ such that $m(b) + n(b)$ is minimal, then $n(b) \geq m(b)$ by minimality of $I \setminus Orb_\phi(a)$. Let $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a free family in E . For all $i \in I$, we set $e_i = a_{n(i)-m(i)}$. We define an operator on $\text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = \text{span}(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by setting $T(a_n) = a_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and extending linearly. Then we have $\forall i \in I : T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$. Indeed, if $i \in Orb_\phi(a)$, then $m(i) = m(\phi(i)) = 0$ and $n(\phi(i)) = n(i) + 1$ which implies $T(e_i) = T(a_{n(i)}) = a_{n(i)+1} = a_{n(\phi(i))} = e_{\phi(i)}$. Otherwise, $m(i) \geq 1$, $m(\phi(i)) = m(i) - 1$ and $n(\phi(i)) = n(i)$ since $\phi^{m(i)}(i) = \phi^{n(i)}(a)$ with $m(i) + n(i)$ minimal and $m(i) \geq 1$ implies $\phi^{m(i)-1}(\phi(i)) = \phi^{n(i)}(a)$ with $(m(i) - 1) + n(i)$ minimal. So $T(e_i) = a_{n(i)-m(i)+1} = a_{n(i)-(m(i)-1)} = a_{n(\phi(i))-m(\phi(i))} = e_{\phi(i)}$. Besides, we have $\dim \text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = +\infty$ since $\text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = \text{span}(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\dim \text{span}(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = +\infty$. Since $P((e_i)_{i \in I}, \phi)$ is true, it follows that $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is free which implies $Orb_\phi(a) = I$ (otherwise, $\exists b \in I \setminus Orb_\phi(a)$, and so $e_b = a_{n(b)-m(b)} = e_{\phi^{n(b)}(a)}$ with $b \neq \phi^{n(b)}(a)$, contradicting the

independence of $(e_i)_{i \in I}$.

(\Leftarrow): Suppose that $\exists a \in I : Orb_\phi(a) = I$. By lemma 5.3, ϕ is conjugated to $succ : \begin{cases} \mathbb{N} & \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ n & \mapsto n + 1 \end{cases}$

by α . Let $(e_i)_{i \in I} \in E^I$ and suppose there exists $T \in L(\text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I}, E)$ such that for all $i \in I$: $T(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ and $\dim \text{span}(e_i)_{i \in I} = +\infty$. Define $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by $f_n = e_{\alpha(n)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : T(f_n) = f_{n+1}$ and $\dim \text{span}(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = +\infty$ which by proposition 1.1 implies that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is free. Since α is a bijection, it follows that $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is free.

6. A tentative generalization

Definition 6.1. Consider two sets X and Y . A binary relation R on X and Y is a subset of the cartesian product $X \times Y$. The direct image of a subset $S \subseteq X$ under a binary relation R on X and Y is written $R[S]$ and refers to $\{y \in Y : \exists x \in S : (x, y) \in R\}$. If $X = Y$, a binary relation on X and Y is simply called a relation on X . The relation $\Delta_X := \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$ is called the identity relation on X . The composition of two binary relations R_1 and R_2 over X and Y , and Y and Z (respectively), is the binary relation over X and Z , denoted $R_1 \circ R_2$, and given by the subset $\{(x, z) \in X \times Z : \exists y \in Y : (x, y) \in R_1 \wedge (y, z) \in R_2\}$. If R is a binary relation on X , we define R^0 as Δ_X and for all $n \geq 1$, R^n as $R \circ R^{n-1}$.

The following proposition which uses the language of functions with set-valued inputs or/and outputs is the main result of this section.

Proposition 6.1. Let E be an infinite dimensional vector space, V a finite dimensional subspace of E , $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of vectors in E , and J an infinite set. Suppose that

- (1) There exist two functions $u : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \rightarrow I$ and $G : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I)$ such that for all $I^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I)$, $u(I^*) \in I^*$ and $I^* \subseteq G(I^*)$,
- (2) There exists a function $T : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \times J \rightarrow L(*, E)$ such that for all $(I^*, j) \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \times J$, $T(I^*, j) \in L(\text{span}(e_i)_{i \in G(I^*)}, E)$,
- (3) There exists a finite subset J_0 of J and a relation R on J such that $R[j] \subseteq J_0$ for all $j \in J_0$ and $(\forall j \in J)(\exists n_j \in \mathbb{N})R^{n_j}[j] \subseteq J_0$,
- (4) $\forall i \in I : \dim \text{span}\{T(I^*, j)e_i\}_{j \in J} = \infty$,
- (5) $\forall (I^*, j) \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \times J : \forall i \in G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\} :$

$$T(I^*, j)e_i \in \text{span}\{T(I^*, j')e_{i'}\}_{(j', i') \in R[j] \times G(I^*)} + V.$$

Then $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is free.

Proof. (of proposition 6.1) Assume by way of contradiction that $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is dependent. Then, there exists $I^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I)$ and coefficients $\{c_i\}_{i \in I^*}$ in \mathbb{C}^* such that

$$\sum_{i \in I^*} c_i e_i = 0,$$

which implies

$$e_{u(I^*)} = \sum_{i \in I^* \setminus \{u(I^*)\}} \frac{-c_i}{c_{u(I^*)}} e_i.$$

By linearity of $T(I^*, j)$, we then have for all $j \in J$

$$T(I^*, j)e_{u(I^*)} = \sum_{i \in I^* \setminus \{u(I^*)\}} \frac{-c_i}{c_{u(I^*)}} T(I^*, j)e_i. \quad (*)$$

We will prove by induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\forall (n, j, i) \in \mathbb{N} \times J \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\}) : T(I^*, j)e_i \in \text{span}\{T(I^*, j')e_{i'}\}_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times G(I^*)} + V. \quad (**)$$

- For $n = 0$, the relation is true since $R^0(j) = \Delta_j[j] = \{j\}$ and $i \in G(I^*)$ (take $(j', i') := (j, i)$ and $v := 0$).
- Suppose the induction hypothesis is true at the order $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So for all $(j, i) \in J \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\})$, there exist complex coefficients $\{\alpha_{j', i'}^{j, i}\}_{(j', i') \in R[j] \times G(I^*)}$ and $\{\beta_{j', i'}^{j, i}\}_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times G(I^*)}$, and vectors $v^{j, i}$ and $w^{j, i}$ in V such that

$$T(I^*, j)e_i = \sum_{(j', i') \in R[j] \times G(I^*)} \alpha_{j', i'}^{j, i} T(I^*, j')e_{i'} + v^{j, i}, \quad (***)$$

and

$$T(I^*, j)e_i = \sum_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times G(I^*)} \beta_{j', i'}^{j, i} T(I^*, j')e_{i'} + w^{j, i}, \quad (***)$$

because of condition 5 and the induction hypothesis.

Let $(j, i) \in J \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} T(I^*, j)e_i &= \sum_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times G(I^*)} \beta_{j', i'}^{j, i} T(I^*, j')e_{i'} + w^{j, i} \\ &= \sum_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\})} \beta_{j', i'}^{j, i} T(I^*, j')e_{i'} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j' \in R^n[j]} \beta_{j', u(I^*)}^{j, i} T(I^*, j')e_{u(I^*)} + w^{j, i} \\ &= \sum_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\})} \beta_{j', i'}^{j, i} T(I^*, j')e_{i'} \\ &\quad + \sum_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\})} \beta_{j', u(I^*)}^{j, i} \frac{-c_{i'}}{c_{u(I^*)}} T(I^*, j')e_{i'} + w^{j, i}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used equation (*) and extended $\{c_i\}_{i \in I^*}$ to $\{c_i\}_{i \in G(I^*)}$ by setting $\forall i' \in G(I^*) \setminus I^* : c_{i'} = 0$. Simplifying, we have

$$T(I^*, j)e_i = \sum_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\})} \left(\beta_{j', i'}^{j, i} - \beta_{j', u(I^*)}^{j, i} \frac{c_{i'}}{c_{u(I^*)}} \right) T(I^*, j')e_{i'} + w^{j, i}.$$

Using equality (***) for each term $T(I^*, j')e_{i'}$ and then rearranging the resulting sum, we have that $T(I^*, j)e_i$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(j'', i'') \in R^{n+1}[j] \times G(I^*)} \left[\sum_{(j', i') \in F(j'', j, n) \times G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\}} \alpha_{j'', i''}^{j', i'} \left(\beta_{j', i'}^{j, i} - \beta_{j', u(I^*)}^{j, i} \frac{c_{i'}}{c_{u(I^*)}} \right) \right] \\ & \cdot T(I^*, j'')f_{i''} + \left[\sum_{(j', i') \in R^n[j] \times (G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\})} \left(\beta_{j', i'}^{j, i} - \beta_{j', u(I^*)}^{j, i} \frac{c_{i'}}{c_{u(I^*)}} \right) v^{j', i'} + w^{j, i} \right] \\ & \in \text{span}\{T(I^*, j')e_{i'}\}_{(j', i') \in R^{n+1}[j] \times G(I^*)} + V, \end{aligned}$$

where $F(j'', j, n) := \{j' \in R^n[j] : j'' \in R[j']\}$.

Hence the claim is proved. Now letting $j \in J$ and using condition 3, there exists $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R^{n_j}[j] \subseteq J_0$, which implies by (*) and the claim (**) that

$$T(I^*, j)e_{u(I^*)} \in \text{span}\{T(I^*, j')e_{i'}\}_{(j', i') \in J_0 \times G(I^*)} + V.$$

Therefore

$$\text{span}\{T(I^*, j)e_{u(I^*)}\}_{j \in J} \subseteq \text{span}\{T(I^*, j')e_{i'}\}_{(j', i') \in J_0 \times G(I^*)} + V.$$

Since $\text{span}\{T(I^*, j')e_{i'}\}_{(j', i') \in J_0 \times G(I^*)} + V$ is finite-dimensional, this contradicts condition 4.

Example 6.1. (from O. Christensen and M. Hasannab [1])

Let E be an infinite-dimensional vector space and $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in E .

Suppose that $\dim \text{span}(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \infty$ and there exists an operator $S : \text{span}(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow E$ such that $S(e_n) = e_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is free.

Indeed, define $u : \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I) \rightarrow I$ and $G : \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I)$ by $u(I^*) = \max(I^*)$ and $G(I^*) = [0, \max(I^*)]$ for all $I^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I)$. Moreover, define $T : \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I) \times I \rightarrow L(*, E)$ by $T(I^*, m) = (S^m)_{|\text{span}(e_n)_{n \in [0, \max(I^*)]}}$ for all $(I^*, m) \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I) \times I$. Take $J_0 = \{0\}$ and define $R = (0, 0) \cup (\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} (m, m-1)) \subseteq I \times I$. Examining the conditions of proposition 6.1, we see that conditions 1-2 are valid, 3 is true because $R^m[m] = \{0\} = J_0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, 4 is valid because $\{T(I^*, m)e_{u(I^*)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} = \{e_{u(I^*)+m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ spans an infinite dimensional space since $(e_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ does, and finally condition 5 is also valid since for all $(I^*, m) \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I) \times I$ and for all $n \in [0, \max(I^*) - 1]$ we have $T(I^*, m)e_n = e_{n+m} = T(I^*, m-1)e_{n+1}$ (notice that $m-1 \in R[m]$ and $n+1 \in [0, \max(I^*)]$), and for all $I^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega, *}(I)$ and $n \in [0, \max(I^*) - 1]$ we have $T(I^*, 0)e_n = e_n = T(I^*, 0)e_n$ (notice that $0 \in R[0]$ and $n \in [0, \max(I^*)]$). Hence the result.

Before we move on to the next example, we need to prove two additional lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. Let I be an infinite set, $(a, b) \in I^2$ and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$.

Suppose that $\text{Orb}_\phi(a)$ is cofinite. Then

- (1) If $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : b = \phi^n(a)$, then $\text{Orb}_\phi(b)$ is cofinite,
- (2) If $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : a = \phi^n(b)$, then $\text{Orb}_\phi(b)$ is cofinite.

Proof. First, notice that by lemma 5.1, $a, \phi(a), \phi^2(a), \dots$ are distinct.

(1) : Suppose $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : b = \phi^n(a)$. Then $I \setminus \text{Orb}_\phi(b) = I \setminus \{\phi^m(a)\}_{m \geq n} = (I \setminus \text{Orb}_\phi(a)) \cup \{\phi^m(a)\}_{m < n}$ is finite.

(2) : Suppose $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : a = \phi^n(b)$. Then $I \setminus \text{Orb}_\phi(b) = (I \setminus \{\phi^m(b)\}_{m \geq n}) \setminus \{\phi^m(b)\}_{m < n} = (I \setminus \text{Orb}_\phi(a)) \setminus \{\phi^m(b)\}_{m < n}$ is finite.

Corollary 6.1. *Let I be an infinite set, $a \in I$ and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$.*

Suppose that $\text{Orb}_\phi(a) = I$.

Then $\forall b \in I : \text{Orb}_\phi(b)$ is cofinite

Lemma 6.2. *Let I be an infinite set and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ such that $\forall a \in I : \text{Orb}_\phi(a)$ is infinite. Then we have*

$$(\exists a \in I : \text{Orb}_\phi(a) = I) \Rightarrow$$

(there exist two functions $u : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,}(I) \rightarrow I$ and $G : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I)$ such that*

$$\forall I^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) : u(I^*) \in I^*, I^* \subseteq G(I^*), \text{ and } \phi(G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\}) \subseteq G(I^*)).$$

Proof. Define

$$n : \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) & \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\ I^* & \mapsto \max\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \phi^n(a) \in I^*\} \end{cases}$$

which is well-defined because $\{a, \phi(a), \phi^2(a), \dots\}$ are distinct (lemma 5.1) and let

$$u : \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) & \rightarrow I \\ I^* & \mapsto \phi^{n(I^*)}(a) \end{cases}$$

and

$$G : \begin{cases} \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) & \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \\ I^* & \mapsto \{a, \phi(a), \dots, \phi^{n(I^*)}(a)\} \end{cases}.$$

With this choice, since $\text{Orb}_\phi(a) = I$, u and G do satisfy the requirements.

Example 6.2. *Let E be an infinite dimension vector space, I a countably infinite set, $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ a family in E and $\phi : I \rightarrow I$ such that $\exists a \in I : \text{Orb}_\phi(a) = I$.*

Suppose that $\dim \text{span}\{e_i\}_{i \in I} = \infty$ and there exists an operator $S : \text{span}\{e_i\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow E$ such that $S(e_i) = e_{\phi(i)}$ for all $i \in I$. Then $\{e_i\}_{i \in I}$ is free.

Indeed, from lemma 6.2, there exist two functions $u : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,}(I) \rightarrow I$ and $G : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I)$ such that for all $I^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I)$, $u(I^*) \in I^*$, $I^* \subseteq G(I^*)$ and $\phi(G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\}) \subseteq G(I^*)$. Define $T : \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow L(*, E)$ by $T(I^*, m) = (S^m)_{|\text{span}\{e_i\}_{i \in G(I^*)}}$ for all $(I^*, m) \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \times \mathbb{N}$. Take $J_0 = \{0\}$ and define $R = (0, 0) \cup (\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} (m, m-1)) \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Examining the conditions of proposition 6.1, we see that conditions 1-2 are valid, 3 is true because $R^m[m] = \{0\} = J_0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, 4 is valid because $\{T(I^*, m)e_{u(I^*)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} = \{e_{\phi^m(u(I^*))}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ spans an infinite-dimensional space since $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ does and $|I \setminus \{\phi^m(u(I^*))\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}| < \infty$ (corollary 6.1), and finally condition 5 is also valid since for all $(I^*, m) \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I) \times \mathbb{N}^*$ and for all $i \in G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\}$ we have $T(I^*, m)e_i = e_{\phi^m(i)} = T(I^*, m-1)e_{\phi(i)}$ (notice that $m-1 \in R[m]$ and $\phi(i) \in G(I^*)$), and for all $I^* \in \mathcal{P}_{\omega,*}(I)$ and $i \in G(I^*) \setminus \{u(I^*)\}$ we have $T(I^*, 0)e_i = e_i = T(I^*, 0)e_i$ (notice that $0 \in R[0]$ and $i \in G(I^*)$). Hence the result.*

Acknowledgments

The first author is financially supported by the *Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique et Technique* of Morocco.

Conflict of interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] O. Christensen, M. Hasannasab, Frame properties of systems arising via iterated actions of operators, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 46 (2019), 664-673.
- [2] W. Hodges, *A Shorter Model Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [3] J.S. Moghaddam, A. Najati, Y. Khedmati, Fibonacci representations of sequences in Hilbert spaces, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A.*, 83 (2021), 1223-7027.