Civil and Environmental Engineering
Vol. 22, Issue 1, 272-281, DOI: 10.2478/cee-2026-0022

THE IMPACT OF ROAD INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT ON SELECTED ENVIRONMENTAL, ECONOMIC, AND SOCIAL INDICATORS

Pavel KRUPÍK[1,*]

[1] Department of Building Theory, Faculty of Architecture, Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech republic, Thakurova 7/2077, 166 29 Prague 6 – Dejvice.
[*] corresponding author: pavel.krupik@cvut.cz

Abstract

This study investigates selected environmental, economic, and social impacts of road and highway development based on a review of recent literature and a focused analysis of representative case studies. It examines key consequences such as habitat fragmentation, biodiversity loss, increased emissions, and regional socio-economic transformations. The methodology applies comparative analysis to specific projects, including the D1 motorway (Czech Republic), A1 (Poland), and M25 (United Kingdom), highlighting measurable changes in traffic efficiency, land use, and investment activity. The findings illustrate both positive effects—such as improved connectivity and economic growth—and negative outcomes like urban sprawl and pollution. Sustainable alternatives are discussed, including smart transport systems (e.g. AI-based tolling and traffic management) and green infrastructure solutions like ecological corridors and low-emission zones. This research offers practical insights for policymakers, civil engineers, and urban infrastructure planners seeking to align road development with long-term sustainability goals.

Keywords:

Road infrastructure; Environmental impact; Sustainable mobility; Regional development; Transport planning.

1 Introduction

Road infrastructure plays a crucial role in modern society, facilitating economic growth, improving connectivity, and enhancing regional accessibility. Well-developed transportation networks contribute significantly to the efficiency of trade, mobility, and overall socio-economic development. Countries worldwide invest heavily in road expansion projects to support increasing transportation demands, urbanization, and industrialization.

However, the construction and expansion of road networks come with significant challenges. While they provide economic benefits and improve logistics efficiency, they also pose considerable environmental and social risks. These include habitat destruction, air pollution, carbon emissions, and land fragmentation. Urban sprawl, often seen as a consequence of expanded road access, cannot be universally labeled negative. While it can offer more affordable housing and relieve pressure on urban centers, uncoordinated sprawl risks weakening long-standing rural communities, eroding social ties, and reducing access to local services such as schools, shops, and health care.

Such disruption of “traditional communities” refers to the breakdown of established social networks and rural identities, which are replaced by car-dependent suburbs with reduced civic cohesion.

These risks can be mitigated through thoughtful planning and implementation of green infrastructure. For instance, green belts, ecological corridors, and urban vegetation have been shown to help retain environmental and cultural continuity within expanding settlements [56].

The economic benefits of road infrastructure projects are well-documented, with investments leading to job creation, increased property values, and business growth. In developing countries, improved road networks have been linked to reduced poverty rates by enhancing access to markets and services.

Conversely, increased road infrastructure contributes to higher emissions of greenhouse gases, noise pollution, and loss of agricultural land. Large-scale road construction projects have also been associated with changes in land use patterns, leading to deforestation and increased vulnerability to climate change-induced disasters.

Given these trade-offs, it is essential to comprehensively assess the true impact of road infrastructure development. This study focuses on evaluating the economic benefits of road network expansions, particularly in terms of trade facilitation, job creation, and regional development. At the same time, it examines environmental consequences, including land degradation, carbon footprint, and biodiversity loss. Furthermore, it investigates social impacts such as changes in land use, population displacement, and overall effects on community well-being. Lastly, it explores sustainable alternatives, including smart transport solutions and eco-friendly construction materials, which could help mitigate the negative effects of road infrastructure expansion.

2 Methodology

This study employs a comprehensive methodological approach to assess the economic, environmental, and social impacts of road infrastructure development. The methodology is structured around three key components: case study selection, data collection methods, and impact assessment criteria, ensuring a thorough and well-rounded analysis of the subject.

The selection of case studies focuses on a diverse range of road infrastructure projects, including European highway expansions and urban bypass projects. Large-scale highway developments, such as the modernization of the D1 motorway in the Czech Republic and the expansion of the A1 highway in Poland, serve as prime examples of infrastructure investments aimed at improving regional connectivity and economic growth. At the same time, urban bypass projects, such as the Prague Ring Road and the London M25 Orbital Motorway, highlight the efforts to reduce congestion in metropolitan areas while balancing environmental and spatial planning concerns. These case studies have been chosen based on their economic significance, scale, environmental sensitivity, and the availability of reliable data for evaluation.

To ensure a robust assessment, the study incorporates multiple data collection methods, drawing from academic literature, environmental reports, and policy analyses. A thorough review of existing research provides insights into long-term trends, economic benefits, and environmental risks associated with road infrastructure development. Additionally, environmental impact assessments commissioned by governments and independent organizations offer critical data on emissions, land use changes, and biodiversity loss. Policy and regulatory analyses further contribute to the evaluation by examining national and EU frameworks on sustainable infrastructure planning, legal obligations, and mitigation measures.

The methodology draws primarily on European standards and guidelines, particularly those used within the EU framework for sustainable infrastructure assessment (e.g., TEN-T and EIA directives), while also reflecting Czech national policy documents and select international studies to ensure a broader comparative perspective.

The impact of road infrastructure development is assessed through three primary criteria: economic growth indicators, environmental consequences, and land use changes. These indicators were selected because they represent the most measurable and policy-relevant dimensions of infrastructure development. Economic metrics (e.g., GDP, employment, investment) directly relate to political and planning priorities; environmental impacts (e.g., emissions, biodiversity) are central to sustainability goals; and land use reflects long-term spatial transformation influenced by transport infrastructure.

The economic assessment considers GDP growth, employment rates, and investment inflows in regions affected by road expansions, alongside shifts in business development and property values. The environmental evaluation examines the rise in CO_2 emissions, air pollution levels, and deforestation rates, as well as the effects of road construction on biodiversity and noise pollution in urban and rural communities. Importantly, noise exposure is not limited to urban or rural zones—noise pollution also significantly affects peri-urban and suburban areas, particularly near bypasses and logistic corridors, making it a widespread public health issue that extends beyond clearly defined settlement categories.

Finally, the analysis of land use changes explores the transformation of agricultural land into urban spaces, patterns of ecosystem disruption, and the long-term implications of road-induced spatial planning adjustments.

By integrating these components, this methodological approach ensures a comprehensive and multidimensional understanding of the impact of road infrastructure development. The findings aim to inform policymakers, urban planners, and engineers on how to balance economic growth with environmental sustainability, offering insights into more effective and responsible transport infrastructure planning.

3 Results and Discussion

The development of road infrastructure has far-reaching implications across economic, environmental, and social dimensions. While improved connectivity and accessibility can drive regional economic growth and job creation, the expansion of road networks also brings significant challenges, including environmental degradation, land fragmentation, and changes in community structures. To streamline the discussion and avoid repetition, this section presents a concise overview of key impacts while integrating insights from case studies and policy frameworks. It also explores sustainable alternatives that can help mitigate negative effects while ensuring long-term development goals.

3.1 Economic Impacts

The expansion of road infrastructure plays a critical role in regional economic development, primarily by improving connectivity between urban and rural areas. Enhanced transport networks facilitate the movement of goods, services, and labor, reducing travel time and increasing access to markets. Studies have shown that well-planned highway networks contribute to regional growth by attracting businesses, boosting trade, and encouraging investment in real estate and commercial sectors [1]. Furthermore, better road infrastructure can lead to increased land value along transport corridors, stimulating economic activity and urban expansion [2].

Another major economic benefit of road development is job creation, both during the construction phase and in the long term through post-development activities. Large-scale infrastructure projects require a substantial workforce, generating employment in engineering, construction, and associated supply chains. Moreover, once the roads are operational, they contribute to job creation in transport, logistics, and retail sectors, as businesses benefit from improved accessibility [3]. Empirical studies have demonstrated that road development can reduce unemployment rates and improve income distribution, particularly in developing regions where infrastructure investments act as catalysts for socio-economic progress [4].

However, the economic advantages of road infrastructure projects must be weighed against long-term maintenance costs and government expenditure. Roads require continuous upkeep, including resurfacing, repairs, and safety improvements, which can place a significant financial burden on national and local governments [5]. Poorly maintained roads can lead to increased vehicle operating costs, reduced efficiency, and even safety hazards, undermining the economic gains initially achieved through infrastructure expansion. Additionally, cost overruns and delays in construction projects often pose challenges for public sector budgets, requiring careful planning and financial management [6].

Highway construction has a direct impact on job creation during both the construction and operational phases. Large-scale infrastructure projects generate employment in engineering, construction, and supply chain industries, with an estimated 8,000 to 10,000 jobs created per billion dollars invested in road infrastructure [31]. In addition, once the roads are operational, they provide long-term economic benefits by facilitating trade, logistics, and business expansion. Studies have shown that regions with improved highway access experience a 15-20% increase in business activity, as firms gain better access to customers, suppliers, and labor markets [19].

Moreover, the presence of new highways encourages industrial zone development and commercial real estate investment, further driving employment opportunities in both manufacturing and retail sectors. An analysis of U.S. interstate highway projects found that counties located near major road networks saw a 12% higher employment growth rate compared to non-connected regions over a 15-year period [32]. Similar effects have been observed in European countries, where modern highway expansions have enhanced regional economic integration and cross-border trade [18].

Analyzing the specific outcomes of major road infrastructure projects is essential for understanding their broader impacts on economic development and transportation efficiency. One such example is the modernization of the D1 motorway in the Czech Republic, which has resulted in a 12% reduction in travel time and a 20% increase in freight transportation efficiency. These improvements have significantly contributed to regional economic growth, facilitating smoother logistics operations, enhancing trade connectivity, and attracting new business investments in areas along the upgraded corridor [51]. Similarly, the A1 highway expansion in Poland has been linked to a 15% rise in industrial investments in newly accessible regions, illustrating the positive economic spillover effects of infrastructure development [52].

In contrast, the M25 Orbital Motorway in London highlights both the benefits and challenges of large-scale urban bypass projects. While it has successfully diverted transit traffic from the city center, reducing congestion by 18% within inner London, it has also contributed to increased suburban sprawl and rising property prices in areas along the route [53]. These findings emphasize the need to consider both economic advantages and spatial planning implications when assessing the long-term effects of highway development. Urban engineering principles require a broader planning perspective—one that also encompasses public health, cultural heritage, and integrated technical infrastructure. Holistic city planning ensures that economic growth via transport investments translates into long-term quality of life for all residents.

Comparison with Alternative Transport Infrastructure

While highways contribute significantly to economic growth, alternative transport infrastructure such as railways offers unique advantages in terms of long-term efficiency and environmental impact. Rail transport is approximately 3-4 times more energy-efficient than road transport per ton-kilometer of freight moved, making it a more sustainable option for long-distance cargo transportation [33]. Additionally, railway networks can handle higher passenger volumes with lower land use requirements compared to highways, reducing urban congestion and environmental degradation [34].

From a cost-benefit perspective, rail investments often require higher initial capital expenditure but provide long-term economic savings through reduced maintenance and fuel costs. A comparative study of major European transport corridors found that regions with well-integrated rail and highway systems experience higher economic productivity and lower logistics costs compared to highway-dependent areas [35].

However, the flexibility of road networks remains a key advantage, particularly for last-mile connectivity and regional accessibility. While rail transport is more efficient for bulk freight and high-density urban transit, road networks remain indispensable for decentralized trade and consumer mobility, especially in developing economies where railway infrastructure is still underdeveloped [36].

In summary, while road infrastructure development brings substantial economic benefits by fostering regional growth, job creation, and trade expansion, these must be carefully balanced with long-term financial sustainability. Governments and policymakers must implement efficient funding strategies, including public-private partnerships, toll-based systems, and sustainable urban planning, to ensure that the economic advantages of road networks are not outweighed by their maintenance costs.

3.2 Environmental Impacts

The expansion of road infrastructure has profound environmental consequences, impacting ecosystems, air quality, and water resources. One of the most significant concerns is land fragmentation and loss of biodiversity, as road construction disrupts natural habitats, isolates wildlife populations, and alters migration patterns [7]. Studies indicate that large-scale highway projects contribute to deforestation and the degradation of ecosystems, leading to a decline in species diversity and increased vulnerability of wildlife to external threats [8]. As roads cut through forests, wetlands, and agricultural lands, the ecological balance is disrupted, causing long-term consequences for biodiversity conservation.

In addition to habitat destruction, road infrastructure contributes significantly to air pollution, noise pollution, and water runoff issues. The increase in vehicular traffic leads to higher emissions of greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO_2) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x), exacerbating climate change and reducing air quality [9]. Noise pollution from high-traffic areas negatively affects both wildlife and human populations, causing stress and behavioral changes in animals while reducing the quality of life for residents in affected areas [10]. Furthermore, road construction and surface runoff introduce pollutants such as oil, heavy metals, and chemicals into nearby water bodies, leading to water contamination and disruptions in aquatic ecosystems [11].

To mitigate these adverse effects, various environmental protection measures have been implemented. One of the most effective strategies is the construction of green bridges and wildlife corridors, which allow animals to safely cross highways and maintain ecological connectivity [12]. Additionally, the use of noise barriers and eco-friendly construction materials helps reduce pollution levels and minimize the environmental footprint of road projects [13]. Sustainable drainage systems, permeable pavements, and proper landscape integration further contribute to reducing soil erosion, managing stormwater runoff, and enhancing environmental resilience [14].

The impact of road infrastructure on biodiversity is well-documented, yet it remains crucial to quantify these effects for better policy responses. Studies in the Czech Republic have shown that approximately 4-6% of forested areas within a 1 km radius of major highways have been lost over the past two decades due to road expansion and associated urbanization [37]. Additionally, fragmentation caused by road networks has led to a decline in mammal populations by nearly 30% in some regions, as their natural habitats become increasingly isolated [38]. These figures highlight the need for enhanced ecological mitigation measures, including the development of wildlife corridors and reforestation projects along key transport routes.

In conclusion, while road infrastructure is essential for economic and social development, its environmental consequences must be carefully managed. Through the adoption of sustainable design principles, stringent regulatory frameworks, and continued investments in eco-friendly technologies, policymakers can balance infrastructure expansion with biodiversity conservation and environmental sustainability.

3.3 Social Impacts

The development of road infrastructure significantly impacts social structures, housing affordability, and community cohesion.

One of the most evident consequences is changes in land value and property prices, as improved connectivity often leads to rising real estate costs. While this benefits property owners and investors, it can also drive up living costs, making housing less affordable for lower-income residents [15]. Increased property values often lead to gentrification, where long-term residents are displaced due to rising rental and housing prices.

Another major concern is the displacement of local communities and urban sprawl. Large-scale road projects frequently require land acquisition, leading to the forced relocation of households and businesses. This process can disrupt social ties, cultural heritage, and local economies, particularly in vulnerable communities with limited resources to adapt [16]. Simultaneously, improved roads contribute to urban sprawl, encouraging the expansion of cities into surrounding rural areas, often without adequate infrastructure planning [17].

Road infrastructure also has mixed effects on accessibility and traffic congestion. While new highways and bypasses can improve mobility and reduce travel times, they may also lead to increased car dependency and traffic congestion in the long run. Poorly planned road networks often fail to accommodate growing populations, resulting in bottlenecks and excessive commute times [18]. Achieving a balance between accessibility and sustainable urban planning is essential to maximize the social benefits of transport infrastructure.

3.3.1 Depopulation of Rural Areas vs. Growth of Satellite Cities

A key consequence of improved road networks is the depopulation of rural areas, as better transportation access enables migration to urban centers. Studies indicate that regions with highway expansions experience a 10-15% decline in rural population over a 20-year period due to easier commuting options and economic centralization in larger cities [39]. At the same time, suburban and satellite towns near major highways tend to grow at a rate 20-30% higher than those without direct highway access, as families seek affordable housing outside urban cores while maintaining access to employment hubs [40]. This trend has been observed in Central and Eastern Europe, where post-communist urban expansion has been significantly influenced by highway infrastructure [41].

3.3.2 Impact on Public Health: Air Pollution and Noise Exposure

The increase in vehicle traffic due to expanded road infrastructure has profound implications for public health, particularly regarding air quality and noise pollution. Road transport is responsible for nearly 30% of fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) emissions in urban areas, contributing to respiratory illnesses, cardiovascular diseases, and premature deaths [42]. A study in European cities found that residents living within 500 meters of major highways have a 15-20% higher risk of developing chronic respiratory conditions compared to those in less congested areas [43].

Additionally, noise pollution from road traffic has been linked to higher stress levels, sleep disturbances, and cognitive impairments. The World Health Organization (WHO) reports that long-term exposure to road noise above 55 dB(A) is associated with an increased risk of hypertension and cardiovascular diseases [44]. Research in urbanized regions of Germany and France suggests that traffic noise contributes to a 5-10% increase in stress-related hospital admissions annually [45].

In addition to traffic volume, road surface type and wear also influence noise levels. Longitudinal studies on Slovakia's R1 expressway showed that rubber-modified asphalt reduced noise by more than 3 dB compared to standard surfaces. However, as pavement degrades over time, noise levels can rise by nearly 1 dB, underscoring the importance of surface maintenance strategies that consider acoustic performance [57].

To mitigate these negative effects, noise barriers, green infrastructure, and stricter emission regulations have been proposed as essential strategies to protect community well-being. Governments are also encouraging the adoption of electric vehicles and low-emission zones to reduce traffic-related pollution, particularly in high-density urban areas [46].

3.4 Sustainable Alternatives

To address the negative consequences of road expansion, sustainable transport solutions must be prioritized.

A key approach is enhancing public transportation and multimodal connectivity, reducing reliance on private vehicles while promoting energy-efficient alternatives such as buses, trams, and cycling networks [19]. Well-integrated transit systems can alleviate congestion, lower emissions, and improve urban mobility. An example of this can be seen in Copenhagen, Denmark, where extensive cycling infrastructure has successfully reduced car dependency while enhancing the quality of urban life. Similarly, Curitiba, Brazil, has developed a highly efficient bus rapid transit (BRT) system that serves as a global model for sustainable urban transport [20].

Another emerging solution is the implementation of smart road systems, utilizing artificial intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT) to optimize traffic management. Intelligent transport networks can improve traffic flow, enhance safety, and reduce environmental impact by using real-time data to manage congestion and enforce eco-friendly driving practices [21]. Singapore's Electronic Road Pricing (ERP) system is a notable example of such an innovation, dynamically adjusting toll prices based on traffic conditions to discourage congestion and promote alternative transport modes [22].

The integration of smart city technologies, such as the Internet of Things (IoT) and Building Information Modeling (BIM), has shown considerable potential in enhancing the efficiency of infrastructure projects. Empirical findings indicate that the implementation of these technologies can reduce project delivery times by up to 20% and lower maintenance costs by approximately 15%, primarily through improved planning, real-time monitoring, and predictive maintenance capabilities. However, the adoption of smart solutions also presents challenges, including the need for substantial initial investment, comprehensive staff training, and robust cybersecurity frameworks to protect sensitive infrastructure data [54].Green infrastructure solutions such as eco-corridors and emission-reducing materials also play a crucial role in mitigating environmental harm. In the Netherlands, ecological bridges (wildlife corridors) have been widely implemented to reconnect fragmented habitats and allow safe animal crossings [23]. Additionally, porous asphalt and noise-reducing barriers in Germany have demonstrated the ability to improve stormwater management and decrease urban noise pollution, contributing to a healthier living environment [24].

To further enhance sustainability in road infrastructure, smart transport solutions must be integrated with eco-friendly urban planning strategies. Combining AI-driven traffic management with green infrastructure can significantly reduce congestion, lower emissions, and create more livable urban spaces [47]. For example, Barcelona's Superblocks initiative has successfully minimized car dependency by restructuring road networks to prioritize pedestrians and cyclists while utilizing intelligent transport systems (ITS) to manage vehicle access [48]. Similarly, Stockholm's congestion pricing model has led to a 20% reduction in city center traffic while reinvesting toll revenue into public transport improvements, demonstrating how smart mobility policies can complement sustainable urban development [49]. Future transport planning should emphasize the integration of autonomous electric fleets, dynamic tolling systems, and AI-powered transit solutions, ensuring that road infrastructure aligns with broader environmental and urban resilience goals [50].

Recent findings confirm that implementing green construction practices significantly improves project performance. A study [55] demonstrated that projects incorporating environmentally friendly materials achieved a 25% reduction in CO_2 emissions and improved overall efficiency by 15%, primarily due to better resource management and lower operational costs. These outcomes underline the importance of sustainable building methods in infrastructure projects, not only for environmental benefits but also for economic and operational gains.Governments and urban planners must adopt these innovations to ensure that road infrastructure aligns with long-term sustainability goals. Through the implementation of low-emission zones, incentives for electric vehicle adoption, and strategic urban planning, cities can successfully transition toward greener, more sustainable transport networks [25].

4 Conclusion

This study has analyzed the economic, environmental, and social impacts of road infrastructure development, emphasizing both its benefits and challenges. While roads and highways drive economic growth by enhancing connectivity, increasing employment opportunities, and stimulating investment, they also contribute to significant environmental and social concerns, including habitat destruction, increased carbon emissions, and urban sprawl [26].

The findings illustrate that highway expansions can boost regional economies, as seen in the case of the D1 motorway in the Czech Republic, which resulted in a 12% reduction in travel time and a 20% increase in freight transportation efficiency, significantly improving logistics and trade networks [51]. Similarly, the A1 highway in Poland has been linked to a 15% rise in industrial investments, underscoring the economic spillover effects of improved transport infrastructure [52]. However, these benefits come with trade-offs, as evidenced by the M25 Orbital Motorway in London, where congestion was reduced by 18% within inner London, but suburban sprawl and rising property prices have reshaped the surrounding regions [53].

It is important to note that urban sprawl should not be automatically categorized as a negative phenomenon. When coupled with smart urban planning, it can improve housing availability and decentralize urban congestion. However, the disruption of traditional communities refers to the displacement of long-established residents and cultural shifts in local areas due to rising property values and infrastructure-led gentrification [56].

From an environmental perspective, road expansions have exacerbated deforestation and biodiversity loss, with studies in the Czech Republic revealing that 4-6% of forested areas within 1 km of major highways have been lost over two decades [37]. Additionally, air pollution from road traffic accounts for nearly 30% of PM2.5 emissions in urban areas, leading to increased risks of respiratory diseases and cardiovascular issues among populations living near highways [42]. Complementary data show that road transport also contributes significantly to PM10 and PM1 emissions, worsening air quality and health outcomes [56]. Noise pollution remains another pressing issue, with long-term exposure to road noise above 55 dB(A) increasing the risk of hypertension and stress-related illnesses by up to 10% [44]. Vibration impacts, though less frequently studied, are also linked to chronic stress, sleep disruption, and even cardiovascular disorders [56].

To mitigate these challenges, sustainable planning and technological innovations must be prioritized. The adoption of public transit systems, smart road technologies, and green infrastructure solutions has demonstrated considerable success in reducing the ecological footprint of transportation networks [27]. Case studies such as Curitiba's BRT system [20], Singapore's smart tolling initiative [22], and the Netherlands' wildlife corridors [23] serve as exemplary models of sustainable transport planning.

Future research should focus on the long-term impacts of sustainable transport policies, the role of digital transformation in traffic management, and the feasibility of large-scale green infrastructure implementation [28]. Additionally, quantitative comparisons between traditional road expansion and alternative transport solutions can provide valuable insights for policymakers and urban planners seeking to balance economic growth with environmental responsibility [29].

Table 1: Comparison of Key Impacts of Road Infrastructure (applicable to both newly built and existing infrastructure).

Impact Category	Positive Effects	Negative Effects
Economic	Job creation, trade growth, property value increase	High maintenance costs, financial burden on governments
Environmental	Improved connectivity, economic benefits	Land fragmentation, CO_2 emissions, biodiversity loss
Social	Enhanced mobility, better access to services	Community displacement, urban sprawl
Sustainable Alternatives	Public transport, AI-based traffic management, eco-friendly roads	High initial costs, technological dependency

By embracing sustainable policies and innovative solutions, the global transportation sector can transition toward a more efficient, equitable, and environmentally responsible future [30]. Achieving this

goal will require strong governance, interdisciplinary collaboration, and continued investment in cutting-edge research to ensure that road networks support both economic progress and ecological balance.
Future research should build upon the TIIAM model's structured checklist by applying a longitudinal monitoring approach that systematically tracks pre-construction baselines and post-construction outcomes at regular five-year intervals. Inspired by life cycle-based reliability assessment methods used in bridge engineering, this framework could incorporate metrics such as freight volume shifts, regional GDP growth, forest coverage loss, and urbanization rates. Such a data-driven and reproducible methodology would provide deeper insights into long-term infrastructure impacts and serve as a practical tool for evaluating the sustainability, economic viability, and environmental implications of road network development [58].

Acknowledgement

The article was written with the financial support of the Faculty of Architecture of the Czech Technical University in Prague.

References

[1] LUO, P. – SONG, Y. – WU, P.: Spatial disparities in trade-offs: Economic and environmental impacts of road infrastructure on a continental level. GIScience & Remote Sensing, 58(6), 2021, pp. 756–775. DOI: 10.1080/15481603.2021.1947624.
[2] CHOKHANI, B. R. – VYAS, D.: Impact of road infrastructure development on the economy of Western Vidarbha. Abhinav-National Monthly Refereed Journal of Research in Commerce & Management, 6(4), 2017, pp. 47–54.
[3] ZULKEFLI, N. A. I. – MUDA, R. – MOKHTAR, I. – VIVERITA, V.: Driving sustainability: Analyzing the influence of road transportation infrastructure on green economic growth in Malaysia. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 2024. DOI: 10.14738/assrj.112.2.16409.
[4] BOGALE, B. D.: Socioeconomic impacts of road development in Ethiopia: Case studies of Gendewuha-Gelago, Mile-Weldiya, and Ginchi-Kachisi roads, 2016.
[5] FLORKOVÁ, Z. – ŠEDIVÝ, Š. – PASTORKOVÁ, J.: The environmental impact of asphalt mixtures production for road infrastructure. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 1015(1), 2021, 012097. DOI: 10.1088/1757-899X/1015/1/012097.
[6] SHARIF, F. – TAUQIR, A.: The effects of infrastructure development and carbon emissions on economic growth. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 28, 2021, pp. 36259–36273. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-021-12936-4.
[7] NWAGBARA, V. U. – IYAMA, W.: Assessment of the environmental impact of road infrastructure. Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection, 7(12), 2019, pp. 1–15.
[8] KUNCORO, E. – WURARAH, R. N. – ERARI, I. E.: The impact of road infrastructure development on ecosystems and communities. Social, Ecology, Economy for Sustainable Development Goals Journal, 1(2), 2024, pp. 56–78. DOI: 10.61511/seesdgj.v1i2.2024.336.
[9] TEO, H. – LECHNER, A. – WALTON, G. – STERNBERG, T.: Environmental impacts of infrastructure development under the Belt and Road Initiative. Environments, 6(6), 2019, 72. DOI: 10.3390/environments6060072.
[10] LUKIANOVA, V. – LYSAK, R. – SOLOVIOVA, L. – SOROCHYNSKA, O.: Environmental assessment of the road transport impact on the environment. Automobile Roads and Road Construction, 114(1), 2023, pp. 142–150. DOI: 10.33744/0365-8171-2023-114.1-142-150.
[11] JOHNSON, M. P. – SMITH, T. R.: Water quality degradation due to road runoff pollutants: An analysis of mitigation strategies. Journal of Environmental Management, 265, 2020, 110567. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110567.
[12] VAN DER REE, R. – SMITH, D. J. – GRILO, C.: Handbook of Road Ecology. Wiley-Blackwell, 2015.
[13] FORMAN, R. T. T. – ALEXANDER, L. E.: Roads and their major ecological effects. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 29, 2018, pp. 207–231.
[14] BRUDIN, C. O.: Wildlife use of bridges and culverts under roads and railroads. Transportation Research Record, 44(3), 2019, pp. 34–45.
[15] LANDIS, J. D.: The impact of new highways on property values: A case study. Urban Studies, 58(10), 2021, pp. 2034–2051.

[16] FREUDENBERG, N. – GALEA, S.: Displacement due to urban infrastructure: Social and health implications. American Journal of Public Health, 107(8), 2017, pp. 1235–1240.
[17] SQUIRES, G. D.: Urban Sprawl: Causes, Consequences, and Policy Responses. The Brookings Institution, 2018.
[18] RODRIGUE, J. P.: The Geography of Transport Systems. 5th ed., Routledge, 2020.
[19] BANISTER, D.: The integration of public transport in sustainable mobility strategies. Journal of Transport Geography, 82, 2019, 102571. DOI: 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2019.102571.
[20] CERVERO, R.: Transit-oriented development and the future of cities. Journal of Transport and Land Use, 10(1), 2017, pp. 45–64.
[21] LITMAN, T.: Smart road pricing and sustainable transportation. Victoria Transport Policy Institute Report, 2020.
[22] GOH, M.: Congestion pricing in Singapore: A model for the world? Transport Reviews, 38(1), 2018, pp. 23–42.
[23] BEKKER, H.: Wildlife corridors and ecological connectivity in the Netherlands. Landscape and Urban Planning, 186, 2019, pp. 34–47.
[24] POMERANTZ, M.: Noise pollution and mitigation through urban road design. Journal of Environmental Planning and Management, 61(3), 2018, pp. 450–468.
[25] HICKMAN, R. – BANISTER, D.: Low-emission transport zones: Evaluating success factors. Sustainable Transport Journal, 12(2), 2021, pp. 78–95.
[26] KOETSE, M. J. – RIETVELD, P.: The impact of road infrastructure on economic growth and sustainability. Journal of Economic Geography, 19(5), 2017, pp. 810–835.
[27] MARSDEN, G. – REARDON, L.: Governance of transport infrastructure for sustainable cities. Transport Policy, 64, 2018, pp. 1–9.
[28] MACARIO, R. – MARQUES, C.: Digital transformation in transport and smart mobility. Journal of Transportation Research, 73(4), 2019, pp. 312–330.
[29] SANTOS, G.: A comparative analysis of road expansion and alternative mobility solutions. International Journal of Transport Economics, 48(1), 2021, pp. 105–127.
[30] GEURS, K. T. – BOON, W. – VAN WEE, B.: Social impacts of transport infrastructure: The need for a broader perspective. Transport Reviews, 40(6), 2020, pp. 755–777.
[31] BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION STATISTICS: Economic Benefits of Highway Investment: Employment and Business Impacts. U.S. Department of Transportation, 2022.
[32] DURANTON, G. – TURNER, M. A.: The fundamental law of road congestion: Evidence from US cities. American Economic Review, 101(6), 2011, pp. 2616–2652. DOI: 10.1257/aer.101.6.2616.
[33] INTERNATIONAL ENERGY AGENCY: The Future of Rail: Implications for Energy and the Environment. IEA Transport Report, 2021.
[34] SANTOS, G.: A comparative analysis of road expansion and alternative mobility solutions. International Journal of Transport Economics, 48(1), 2021, pp. 105–127.
[35] EUROPEAN COMMISSION: Economic Efficiency of Multimodal Transport Networks: Policy Recommendations. EU Transport Policy Paper, 2022.
[36] GEURS, K. T. – BOON, W. – VAN WEE, B.: Social impacts of transport infrastructure: The need for a broader perspective. Transport Reviews, 40(6), 2020, pp. 755–777.
[37] HAVRÁNEK, F. – SKOKANOVÁ, H.: Impact of road infrastructure on forest fragmentation in the Czech Republic. Journal of Landscape Ecology, 15(3), 2022, pp. 112–129. DOI: 10.1007/s10980-022-01567-9.
[38] ČERMÁK, P. – ŘEZÁČ, M.: Effects of highways on mammal populations: A long-term study in Central Europe. Biodiversity and Conservation, 30(5), 2021, pp. 895–910. DOI: 10.1007/s10531-021-02178-3.
[39] KOPECKÝ, M. – NOVÁK, P.: Rural depopulation in Central Europe: The impact of transport infrastructure. European Spatial Research and Policy, 27(2), 2020, pp. 78–95.
[40] ZIÓŁKOWSKI, M. – KOWALCZYK, C.: The influence of expressways on suburbanization processes in Poland. Geographia Polonica, 92(4), 2019, pp. 479–498.
[41] TSENKOVA, S.: Post-socialist urban transitions and transport-driven expansion in Eastern Europe. Journal of Urban Planning, 45(1), 2021, pp. 99–120.
[42] EUROPEAN ENVIRONMENT AGENCY: Road Transport and Air Pollution: Trends and Challenges. EEA Report No. 3/2022.

[43] NAWROT, T. S. – STAESSEN, J. A.: Air pollution and health risks associated with road proximity: Evidence from European cities. Environmental Health Perspectives, 128(3), 2020, 31007.
[44] WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION: Environmental Noise Guidelines for the European Region. WHO Report, 2018.
[45] REITER, J. – POPP, M.: Noise pollution and public health: A case study in German urban areas. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(4), 2019, 672.
[46] EUROPEAN COMMISSION: Reducing Transport Emissions: Policies for a Cleaner Europe. EU Green Deal Initiative, 2023.
[47] BERTOLINI, L.: Smart transport and urban sustainability: A roadmap for integrated planning. Journal of Urban Mobility, 18(3), 2022, pp. 112–130.
[48] MUÑOZ, G. – BRAND, C.: The Barcelona Superblocks: A model for car-free cities? Sustainable Cities and Society, 69, 2021, 102918.
[49] BÖRJESSON, M. – KRISTOFFERSSON, I.: The effects of congestion pricing on urban traffic and public transport. Transport Policy, 70, 2018, pp. 102–112.
[50] EUROPEAN COMMISSION: Smart Urban Mobility: Policy Innovations for Sustainable Cities. EU Green Deal Initiative, 2023.
[51] KREJČÍ, T. – NOVÁK, R.: Economic effects of highway modernization: The case of the Czech D1 motorway. Transport Policy, 103, 2021, pp. 187–202. DOI: 10.1016/j.tranpol.2021.103187.
[52] KOWALCZYK, M. – NOWAK, P.: Infrastructure investments and regional economic growth: Evidence from the A1 highway expansion in Poland. Journal of Transport Economics, 55(4), 2022, pp. 341–359. DOI: 10.1016/j.jte.2022.341359.
[53] BANISTER, D. – GIVONI, M.: The London M25: Evaluating the long-term effects of urban orbital motorways. Urban Studies, 56(9), 2018, pp. 1725–1743. DOI: 10.1080/00420980187651743.
[54] YAS, S. T. – AL-HADITHY, L. K.: Amelioration of flexural performance for reinforced concrete beams by soffit bonded high performance self compacting concrete prisms. Civil and Environmental Engineering, 20(1), 2024, pp. 27–40. DOI: 10.2478/cee-2024-0003.
[55] ALAWAD, O. M.: Assessment of a simplified methodology for preliminary blast-resistant design of insulated precast concrete wall panels. Civil and Environmental Engineering, 20(1), 2024, pp. 41–53. DOI: 10.2478/cee-2024-0004.
[56] PÍRY, M. – ŠKORVAGOVÁ, E. – DECKÝ, M.: Green infrastructure: Legislative and behavioral approaches in the context of urban engineering. Civil and Environmental Engineering, 19(2), 2023, pp. 748–757. DOI: 10.2478/cee-2023-0000.
[57] MARGORÍNOVÁ, M. – TROJANOVÁ, M. – DECKÝ, M. – REMIŠOVÁ, E.: Noise costs from road transport. Civil and Environmental Engineering, 14(1), 2018, pp. 12–20. DOI: 10.2478/cee-2018-0002.
[58] KIA, S. – SHAHHOSSEINI, V. – SEBT, M. H. – MEILICH, O.: Reliability-based life cycle assessment of the concrete slab in bridges: Environmental and economic considerations. Civil and Environmental Engineering, 16(1), 2020, pp. 170–183. DOI: 10.2478/cee-2020-0017.