INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR PRIVATE COMMERCIAL AND COMPETITION LAW (IIPCCL - AUSTRIA)

Research Article

Enhancing workplace motivation through process-based approaches: Comparative case study evidence from Albania

Alba Berberi
University "Luigj Gurakuqi", Shkodër, Albania

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2478/bjir-2025-0020

Abstract

This paper examines the evolution, classification, and practical application of motivation theories in contemporary organizational settings, with particular emphasis on the comparative strengths of content and process theories.
Motivation theories have evolved to explain the complex nature of human behavior in the workplace. While content theories focus on identifying the specific needs that drive individuals, process theories emphasize the cognitive mechanisms that influence motivation. This article argues that process theories have broader application in contemporary organizations due to their flexibility, empirical support, and adaptability to diverse work environments. It examines the practical application of process motivation theories in three Albanian organizations. Drawing on Vroom's expectancy theory, Adams' equity theory, and goal-setting theory, the study highlights how these approaches effectively enhance motivation, performance, and job satisfaction in diverse organizational contexts.
The findings demonstrate that process-based approaches provide measurable and adaptable frameworks for managing motivation in modern Albanian workplaces. By applying theory-driven approaches tailored to contextual needs, organizations can create more equitable, goal-oriented, and engaging workplaces. Overall, this study affirms the superior applicability of process theories and highlights their central role in designing evidence-based motivational practices in modern organizations.

Keywords: motivation, content theories, process theories, committed workforce, organizational performance.

1. Introduction

Since the inception of the field of psychology, motivation has been a central concept for understanding human behavior (Murayama, K., & von Keyserlingk, L.,2025). Motivation remains a crucial factor influencing performance, engagement, and organizational success. Theoretical perspectives on motivation are generally divided into two categories: content theories and process theories. Content theories, including Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943), Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959), and McClelland's Needs Theory (1961), focus on what motivates individuals by identifying fundamental needs such as achievement, recognition, or self-actualization. These frameworks laid the foundation for understanding employee satisfaction but are often criticized for assuming universality across individuals and cultures.

Conversely, process theories—such as Vroom's expectancy theory (1964), Adams' equity theory (1963), and Locke and Latham's goal-setting theory (1990)—focus on how motivation occurs. They explore the cognitive and decision-making processes that determine an individual's level of effort and persistence. For instance, Vroom's model links motivation to the perceived relationship between effort, performance, and rewards, while Adams' theory emphasizes fairness perceptions as a key motivator. These perspectives recognize that motivation is dynamic and context-dependent.

In modern organizational practice, process theories have broader application. As workplaces become increasingly globalized and diverse, managers require frameworks that account for individual differences and situational variability. Process theories align with this need by emphasizing perception, equity, and expectancy—factors that can be measured and influenced through feedback, goal setting, and performance-based reward systems. Additionally, empirical research consistently supports the predictive validity of process models in explaining employee performance and satisfaction (Latham & Pinder, 2005).

2. Literature Review: Classification of Motivation Theories: Content, Process, and Reinforcement

Motivation theories are traditionally grouped into three major categories—content theories, process theories, and reinforcement theories—each of which attempts to explain human behavior from a different psychological angle. This classification represents fundamental differences in how scholars conceptualize motivation in organizational contexts.

Content theories focus primarily on what motivates individuals by identifying internal needs, desires, and psychological states that energize behavior. These theories, such as those proposed by Maslow, Herzberg, and McClelland, emphasize human needs, satisfaction, and fulfillment as the core drivers of motivation. *Process theories,* by contrast, explore how motivation occurs. Rather than assuming universal needs, process theories examine the cognitive processes that guide goal-setting, perceptions of fairness, expectancy judgments, and decision-making (Robbins & Judge, 2019; Latham & Pinder, 2005). Reinforcement theories, such as Skinner's operant conditioning model, shift the focus to external consequences and argue that behavior can be shaped through systematic rewards and punishments (Skinner, 1953).

This tripartite classification remains influential in organizational behavior research because it highlights different mechanisms that influence behavior—needs, cognitive evaluations, and behavioral consequences. Understanding these differences helps managers design holistic motivational systems that integrate intrinsic needs, fair and transparent processes, and consistent reinforcement strategies.

2.1 *Main differences among theories: Needs vs. Cognitive Processes vs. Consequences*

Although all motivation theories seek to explain why individuals behave as they do, they differ substantially in their underlying assumptions, mechanisms, and applicability.

Content theories assume that motivation originates from unmet needs and internal drives. They take a humanistic approach, proposing that satisfying these needs leads to more motivated and productive employees (Maslow, 1943; Herzberg, 1959).

Process theories, however, do not rely on universal needs. Instead, they emphasize individual perceptions—such as fairness, goal clarity, and expectancy—which shape motivational effort. These theories align better with modern organizational environments characterized by diversity, rapid change, and high cognitive demands. They offer a more dynamic explanation of behavior, suggesting that motivation fluctuates based on situational evaluations and ongoing feedback (Vroom, 1964; Adams, 1963).

Reinforcement theories differ yet again, arguing that behavior is largely a function of its consequences. They emphasize observable outcomes rather than internal states, proposing that systematic reinforcement increases the likelihood of desired behaviors (Skinner, 1953).

Collectively, these differences demonstrate that no single theory captures the full complexity of motivation. Instead, organizations benefit from integrating all three perspectives depending on context, workforce needs, and strategic goals.

2.2 *Content Theories: Needs, Satisfaction, and Human Potential*

Content theories played a foundational role in early organizational psychology by framing motivation as a process of fulfilling human needs. *Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs* (1943) introduced a progressive model where physiological needs must be satisfied before higher-order needs such as esteem or self-actualization can emerge. Although criticized for rigidity, Maslow's model highlighted the psychological dimension of work and the importance of personal growth.

Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959) built on this idea by distinguishing between hygiene factors and motivators. His research emphasized that improving hygiene factors prevents dissatisfaction but does not create motivation; only intrinsic factors—such as recognition, responsibility, and achievement—can truly motivate employees.

McClelland's Acquired Needs Theory (1961) further refined content approaches by identifying three learned needs—achievement, affiliation, and power—each predicting different work behaviors and leadership styles.

While these theories remain widely taught and referenced, empirical evidence suggests that needs are not universally applicable across cultures, and individuals may pursue multiple needs simultaneously (Wahba & Bridwell, 1976). Nevertheless, content theories provide a valuable lens for understanding basic human drivers and designing supportive work environments.

2.2.1 Process Theories: Behavior, Cognition, and Outcomes

Process theories represent a major shift from the universalistic assumptions of content theories by highlighting the cognitive calculations that precede motivated behavior.

Vroom's Expectancy Theory (1964) proposes that motivation is a function of three components—expectancy, instrumentality, and valence. This theory explains not only whether individuals choose to exert effort, but also how much effort they choose to invest in a task.

Adams' Equity Theory (1963) emphasizes the role of perceived fairness. Employees evaluate their input–output ratio relative to others, and perceived inequity leads to demotivation or attempts to restore balance.

Goal-Setting Theory (Locke & Latham, 1990) posits that specific, difficult, and accepted goals lead to superior performance. Feedback and participation enhance goal commitment, making this theory one of the most empirically supported in organizational behavior (Latham & Pinder, 2005).

Together, these theories offer powerful tools for modern managers because they account for perception, cognition, and context. Their adaptability to multicultural workplaces and hybrid working environments has made them especially relevant in contemporary organizational settings.

3 Organizational Application of Motivation Theories

Modern organizations operate in environments characterized by globalization, technological disruption, remote and hybrid work, increasing workforce diversity, and heightened competition. Given these realities, the application of motivation theories must be both flexible and evidence-based. While content theories provide a foundation for understanding employee well-being, process theories offer actionable frameworks that help managers influence motivational outcomes effectively.

Process theories dominate contemporary practice because they enable organizations to:

- Align rewards with performance (Vroom's expectancy);
- Ensure fairness and transparency in HR decisions (Adams' equity);
- Use goals to drive productivity and engagement (Locke & Latham);
- Adapt motivational practices across cultures, roles, and technological contexts;

For example, performance management systems—such as KPIs, OKRs, and MBO—are directly rooted in goal-setting theory. Similarly, total rewards strategies increasingly incorporate expectancy theory principles, linking bonuses or recognition programs to measurable outcomes. Equity theory guides diversity and inclusion initiatives, pay transparency policies, and employee relations strategies by emphasizing fair treatment and procedural justice (Colquitt et al., 2021).

Moreover, modern technologies such as AI-driven HR analytics rely on process theory assumptions. These tools predict employee performance, monitor fairness, and

optimize reward systems, making motivation more data-driven and personalized. Emerging research shows that integrating process theories with modern psychological frameworks—such as Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000)—can enhance intrinsic motivation by supporting autonomy, competence, and relatedness.
In essence, process theories offer a bridge between classical motivational insights and the evolving realities of today's workplaces. Their emphasis on perception, cognition, and equity makes them indispensable for managing human capital in complex environments.

3.1 ***Content versus Process Motivation Theories: An Empirical Synthesis***
Motivation is a central concept in organizational behavior, and over the past decades, scholars have proposed several theoretical frameworks to explain what energizes, directs, and sustains work-related behavior. Motivation theories are commonly divided into content theories, which explain what motivates individuals, and process theories, which explain how motivation occurs. Understanding the distinction between these two categories is essential for developing effective organizational practices that support employee performance, engagement, and well-being. This review summarizes their core assumptions and highlights key empirical findings that evaluate their validity and practical relevance.
Empirical Evidence on Content Theories
Content theories focus on identifying the internal needs that drive employee behavior. The most widely cited frameworks include:
- Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1943);
- Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (1959);
- Alderfer's ERG Theory (1969);
- McClelland's Acquired Needs Theory (1961);

These theories propose that motivation results from the desire to satisfy unmet needs such as physiological security, belonging, achievement, or growth.
Research evaluating content theories has been mixed. For example, Maslow's hierarchical ordering has received little empirical support. Wahba and Bridwell's (1976) comprehensive review found no clear evidence for the strict ranking of needs. Similarly, many studies have challenged Herzberg's rigid division between hygiene factors and motivators, suggesting that both sets of factors may influence satisfaction and performance (Ewen et al., 1966).
However, content theories have contributed valuable insights. McClelland's model, which emphasizes learned needs for achievement (nAch), affiliation (nAff), and power (nPow), has received relatively stronger empirical support, especially in leadership research. High nAch predicts entrepreneurial success, while high nPow predicts managerial effectiveness (McClelland & Burnham, 1976). Despite limitations, content theories remain influential for highlighting the importance of intrinsic motivation and personal growth in the workplace.
Empirical Evidence on Process Theories
Process theories examine the cognitive processes individuals use to evaluate work

situations and decide how much effort to exert. Major theories include:
- Vroom's Expectancy Theory (1964);
- Adams' Equity Theory (1963);
- Locke and Latham's Goal-Setting Theory (1990);

These theories argue that motivation depends on perceived fairness, goal clarity, expected outcomes, and the value placed on rewards. Research has consistently supported process theories as powerful predictors of workplace motivation and performance.

Expectancy Theory:

A meta-analysis by Van Eerde and Thierry (1996) found strong empirical support for the relationships among expectancy, instrumentality, valence, and effort. They concluded that employees are more motivated when they perceive clear performance-reward linkages—an insight that has informed modern compensation systems and performance management.

Equity Theory:

Numerous studies show that perceived inequity leads to reduced motivation, lower performance, and withdrawal behaviors (Colquitt et al., 2001). Equity perceptions are strongly linked to organizational justice, an area with significant empirical backing. Employees who perceive fair treatment exhibit higher commitment and job satisfaction.

Goal-Setting Theory:

This is the most empirically validated motivation theory. Locke and Latham (2002) demonstrated across hundreds of studies that specific, challenging goals consistently lead to higher performance than easy or vague goals. Feedback and goal commitment also enhance outcomes, making this theory a cornerstone of modern management practices such as OKRs and MBO.

3.2 *Comparing the Empirical Strength of Both Approaches*

Overall, empirical evidence indicates that process theories outperform content theories in predictive validity, applicability, and conceptual precision. Several reasons explain this:

Adaptability and Context Sensitivity:

Process theories account for perception, cognition, and situational factors—elements shown to significantly influence modern work behavior.

Predictive Accuracy:

Meta-analytic evidence consistently supports expectancy theory, equity theory, and goal-setting theory as reliable predictors of work motivation.

Alignment with Organizational Practices:

Modern performance management, reward systems, and HR analytics are based on process-theory principles rather than content theories.

In contrast, content theories are often criticized for cultural bias, lack of empirical validation, and oversimplification of human needs. Still, they offer a foundation for understanding basic motivational drivers and designing supportive work environments.

4 Case Studies: Application of Process Theories in Albanian Organizations

4.1 Case Study 1: One Albania – Applying Vroom's Expectancy Theory to Improve Sales Performance

One Albania, one of the leading telecommunications providers in the country, was experiencing ongoing challenges in motivating its sales personnel. Despite offering monetary bonuses and periodic commission-based incentives, overall performance remained stagnant. Sales teams frequently missed monthly targets, turnover intentions increased, and employees expressed frustration with the perceived inconsistency and lack of clarity in how rewards were earned.

Internal surveys revealed two key issues:

- Many employees felt uncertain that their increased effort would actually improve their performance, due to skill gaps and unclear expectations;
- Others believed that even if they performed well, rewards were not always distributed fairly or transparently;

Management recognized that the core problem was not the absence of incentives, but the misalignment between effort, performance, and rewards, making it an ideal scenario for applying Vroom's Expectancy Theory.

4.1.1 Application of Vroom's Expectancy Theory - Expectancy (Effort ⊚ Performance)

To strengthen employees' belief that effort would result in improved performance, One Albania invested in a structured capacity-building initiative.

- A series of intensive sales training workshops were organized, focusing on negotiation skills, product knowledge, customer relationship management, and the use of digital sales tools;
- Supervisors began conducting weekly coaching sessions to provide real-time feedback and support;
- Role-playing exercises and peer-to-peer learning activities increased confidence and built a supportive team environment;

Outcome: Employees reported increased competence and a clearer understanding of how to achieve their targets, which greatly enhanced their expectancy.

4.1.2 Instrumentality (Performance ⊚ Reward)

The existing bonus system lacked transparency, leading to skepticism about whether high performance would truly be rewarded. To address this:

- Management introduced a transparent performance matrix that outlined specific, measurable criteria for earning bonuses;
- The criteria were communicated through meeting sessions, emails, and a digital dashboard accessible to all employees;
- Monthly performance reports were shared openly, allowing employees to track their progress;
- Supervisors received training to ensure consistent and fair evaluation procedures;

Outcome: Employees gained trust in the system, knowing that strong performance would directly result in tangible rewards.

4.1.3 Valence (Value of the Reward)

Recognizing that not all employees value the same type of reward, One Albania conducted an internal survey to identify preferred incentives. The results indicated three main reward preferences:

- Monetary bonuses (preferred by younger sales representatives and those with financial obligations);
- Extra paid leave (favored by employees with families);
- Opportunities for career advancement, such as leadership training or eligibility for internal promotions;
- Based on this feedback, a flexible reward scheme was introduced, allowing employees to choose from a set of available incentives when achieving certain performance thresholds.

Outcome: The ability to personalize rewards significantly enhanced their perceived value, increasing motivation across diverse employee groups.

4.1.4 Results

Within three months of implementing the revised motivational strategy:

- Sales increased by 25%, with several teams exceeding monthly targets;
- Employee surveys showed a marked improvement in job satisfaction, fairness perception, and motivation;
- Supervisors noted a proactive attitude among employees, who now sought feedback and displayed improved confidence;
- The turnover rate decreased, particularly among younger employees;

Vroom's Expectancy Theory proved highly effective in this context because it addressed the psychological mechanisms behind motivation rather than relying solely on external incentives. The intervention clarified the relationship between effort, performance, and rewards, creating a motivational environment where employees felt empowered and valued.

Overall, One Albania's experience illustrates that performance improvement is most sustainable when employees:

(a) believe they can achieve strong performance (high expectancy);
(b) trust that performance will lead to rewards (high instrumentality);
(c) value the rewards being offered (high valence);

4.2 Case Study 2: Credins Bank – Applying Adams' Equity Theory

Credins Bank, one of Albania's leading financial institutions, began experiencing widespread concerns among employees regarding perceived inequities in compensation, workload distribution, and recognition across different branches. Although the job descriptions were standardized, employees noticed considerable differences in bonuses, promotion opportunities, and access to training. Informal

comparisons—both within the bank and with employees from competing institutions—created a growing perception of unfair treatment.
These perceptions of inequity led to several organizational challenges:
- Lower levels of employee engagement and enthusiasm;
- Reduced teamwork, particularly among frontline staff;
- Increased complaints filed to Human Resources;
- A noticeable decline in productivity and service quality in several branches;

Management realized that if these concerns were left unaddressed, they could negatively affect customer satisfaction and the bank's competitive position.

4.2.1 Application of the Theory: Implementing Adams' Equity Principles

Credins Bank's leadership decided to systematically address the issue by applying Adams' Equity Theory, which focuses on employees' perceptions of fairness regarding input–output ratios.
The first step was to make reward systems more visible and understandable. Management published a structured compensation framework outlining:
- Salary ranges for each job category;
- Criteria for performance-based bonuses;
- Requirements and timelines for promotions;
- Standardized benefits applicable across branches;

This transparency helped employees understand how rewards were determined and reduced speculation and misinformation.

4.2.2. Objective Review and Correction of Pay Discrepancies

A detailed internal audit was conducted to evaluate pay differences across branches. The audit revealed that discrepancies were often due not to performance, but to outdated salary adjustments or inconsistent managerial decisions.
Corrective measures included:
- Aligning salaries with standardized performance metrics;
- Adjusting bonuses based on measurable targets such as service ratings and branch profitability;
- Introducing a bank-wide job evaluation system to ensure consistency in workload–reward balance;

These adjustments sent a strong signal that fairness was a priority.

4.2.3. Open Communication and Voice Mechanisms

Understanding that perceptions of fairness are influenced by communication, management established new channels to encourage dialogue:
- An anonymous digital feedback platform for reporting concerns;
- Quarterly meetings between branch managers and HR consultants;
- Workshops on fairness, ethics, and transparent communication;

These initiatives helped employees feel heard and allowed management to address issues before they escalated.

4.2.4 Results:
After three months of applying these strategies, measurable improvements were documented:
- Employee satisfaction increased by 30%, according to an internal survey;
- Staff turnover decreased by 15%, particularly among customer service employees and mid-level specialists;
- Branches with previously low morale showed higher teamwork and cooperation;
- Customer satisfaction scores improved, reflecting better employee engagement;
- Informal complaints about unfairness dropped by more than 40%;
- Employees reported a greater sense of clarity, trust, and fairness in how the bank valued their contributions. The alignment between inputs (effort, skills, workload) and outputs (salary, recognition, development opportunities) became more evident;

Adams' Equity Theory proved highly effective at Credins Bank because it directly addressed the psychological foundations of workplace motivation—the perception of fairness. In structured organizations such as banks, where roles and hierarchies are clearly defined, even small differences in rewards can lead to strong reactions. By improving transparency, communication, and consistency, Credins Bank reinforced employees' trust and stabilized overall performance.

4.3 Case Study 3: Albamilk Shpk– Applying Goal-Setting Theory

Albamilk Shpk, a mid-sized food manufacturing company specializing in dairy and packaged goods, was experiencing a steady decline in productivity across several production lines. Although the organization had invested in updated machinery and improved supply chain processes, employee output remained inconsistent.

A key contributing factor was the absence of clear and measurable objectives for workers at all levels. Employees reported uncertainty about daily and weekly targets, while supervisors relied on informal verbal instructions rather than structured performance indicators. As a result:
- Production output varied significantly between shifts;
- Some departments experienced repeated bottlenecks due to misaligned tasks;
- Workers lacked clarity about their expected contribution to organizational goals;
- Morale had dropped due to a perceived lack of direction and recognition;
- Absenteeism and minor workplace conflicts had begun to increase;

The leadership recognized that to stabilize productivity, they needed a structured goal-setting system that enhanced employees' clarity, engagement, and responsibility.

Application of the Theory:

To address these issues, Albamilk Shpk implemented Edwin Locke and Gary Latham's Goal-Setting Theory, focusing on clarity, challenge, commitment, feedback, and task complexity.

4.3.1 SMART Goals Implementation

Management designed a comprehensive framework of SMART goals (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, Time-bound) tailored to each department:

- Production units received daily and weekly output targets based on machine capacity and historical averages;
- Quality control teams were assigned measurable quality indicators such as acceptable defect rates, hygiene compliance scores, and inspection turnaround times;
- Packaging departments set goals related to packaging accuracy, labeling speed, and reduction of material waste;
- Logistics units were given delivery timeliness and error-rate objectives;

The SMART structure ensured that employees understood exactly *what* they had to accomplish, *how* success would be measured, and *when* goals needed to be achieved.

4.3.2 Employee Participation and Shared Ownership

Albamilk Shpk invited employees to participate in the creation and refinement of departmental goals. This involved:

- Team meetings to discuss realistic targets based on workload and equipment conditions;
- Open forums where workers could voice concerns about feasibility or suggest procedural improvements;
- Involving shift leaders and experienced operators to adjust target levels during peak and off-peak periods;

This participatory approach increased employees' sense of ownership, transparency, and trust in the new system. Workers felt more motivated because the goals were not simply imposed—they were co-created.

4.3.3 Regular Feedback, Monitoring, and Recognition

To maintain motivation and ensure progress, management introduced continuous feedback and formal recognition systems:

- Monthly performance review meetings were held to evaluate departmental achievements and identify areas for improvement;
- Each department displayed performance dashboards showing goal progress, allowing teams to compare shifts and identify best practices;
- High-performing teams were publicly recognized in weekly briefings, fostering positive competition and peer motivation;
- Supervisors provided individual feedback, offering support to employees struggling to meet expectations;

This structured feedback cycle ensured employees always knew where they stood and felt appreciated for their accomplishments.

Results:

Three months after implementing the Goal-Setting framework, Albamilk Shpk observed measurable and meaningful improvements:

- Production efficiency increased by 18%, with more consistent output between shifts;
- Absenteeism decreased by 12%, reflecting higher engagement and job satisfaction;

- Error rates in packaging and quality control dropped noticeably;
- Teams demonstrated improved coordination as employees understood how their roles connected to overall organizational goals;
- Workers reported feeling more confident, motivated, and purposeful in their tasks;

Goal-Setting Theory proved highly effective for Albamilk Shpk because it introduced clarity, structure, and shared accountability. By setting well-defined and measurable goals, engaging employees in the planning process, and establishing consistent feedback mechanisms, the company fostered a motivated, performance-oriented workplace culture. The initiative not only improved productivity but also strengthened communication, collaboration, and morale—creating a foundation for long-term operational excellence.

5 Discussion and conclusions

While content theories played an important historical role in shaping early organizational psychology, process theories have far stronger empirical support and are more applicable to contemporary organizational challenges. Their emphasis on fairness, cognition, expectations, and goal regulation makes them highly relevant for diverse, dynamic workplaces. As organizations continue to adopt data-driven HR practices, process-theory frameworks will remain essential for understanding and optimizing employee motivation.

The three case studies demonstrate that motivation is a multidimensional process that requires tailored strategies depending on organizational needs, employee characteristics, and contextual factors. Across One Albania, Credins Bank, and Albamilk Shpk, it becomes evident that applying established motivational theories leads to measurable improvements in performance, satisfaction, and organizational climate.

Vroom's Expectancy Theory proved highly effective in contexts where employees lacked clarity about the relationship between their effort and the rewards provided. One Telecom Albania's experience shows that enhancing employee competencies, clarifying performance expectations, and aligning rewards with individual preferences can significantly increase motivation. This suggests that expectancy-based interventions are most impactful when the core issue involves uncertainty, low confidence, or unclear reward structures.

Adams' Equity Theory highlights that perceptions of fairness are fundamental to long-term motivation, especially in structured and hierarchical organizations such as Credins Bank. The case demonstrates that even high-performing employees become demotivated when they perceive inequity in compensation and recognition. Addressing these perceptions through transparency, standardized criteria, and open communication channels restored motivation and reduced turnover. This underscores the importance of fairness—not only in actual reward systems but also in how those systems are perceived and communicated.

Goal-Setting Theory offers strong evidence that clear, collaborative, and measurable goals significantly enhance productivity, as seen at Albamilk Shpk. The implementation of SMART goals, employee participation, and regular feedback created a more structured and performance-oriented environment. This case confirms that goal-setting is especially effective when employees lack direction, when performance varies across departments, or when the organization seeks to strengthen accountability and engagement.

Across all three cases, a common pattern emerges: employees respond positively when motivation strategies address psychological needs such as clarity, fairness, competence, and personal relevance. While each theory targets different motivational drivers, their combined insights reveal that successful motivation programs require both structural changes (e.g., reward systems, transparency, goal-setting frameworks) and psychological alignment (e.g., perceived fairness, personal value of rewards, confidence in performance).

Overall, these cases confirm that motivation is not a one-size-fits-all process. Organizations must diagnose the root causes of low motivation and apply the appropriate theoretical framework. Doing so not only enhances performance but also builds a more committed, and satisfied, workforce.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by University of Shkodra "Luigj Gurakuqi".

References

Adams, J. S. (1963). Toward an understanding of inequity. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 67(5), 422–436.

Bong, M., Reeve, J., & Kim, S. (Eds.). (2023). Motivation science: Controversies and insights (pp. xxiii, 538). Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197662359.001.0001

Colquitt, J. A., Conlon, D. E., Wesson, M. J., Porter, C. O., & Ng, K. Y. (2001). Justice at the millennium: A meta-analytic review of 25 years of organizational justice research. Journal of Applied Psychology, 86(3), 425–445.

Colquitt, J. A., LePine, J. A., & Wesson, M. J. (2021). Organizational behavior: Improving performance and commitment in the workplace (7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227–268.

Ewen, R. B., Smith, P. C., Hulin, C. L., & Locke, E. A. (1966). An empirical test of the Herzberg two-factor theory. Journal of Applied Psychology, 50(6), 544–550.

Herzberg, F. (1959). The motivation to work. John Wiley & Sons.

Latham, G. P., & Pinder, C. C. (2005). Work motivation theory and research at the dawn of the twenty-first century. Annual Review of Psychology, 56, 485–516.

Locke, E. A., & Latham, G. P. (2002). Building a practically useful theory of goal setting and task motivation. American Psychologist, 57(9), 705–717.

Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. Psychological Review, 50(4), 370–396.

McClelland, D. C., & Burnham, D. H. (1976). Power is the great motivator. Harvard Business Review, 54(2), 100–110.

Murayama, K., & von Keyserlingk, L. (2025). A critical analysis of the current motivation

theories in educational psychology: Why the same theories continue to dominate. Educational Psychologist, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2025.2473894
Robbins, Stephen P. & Judge, Timothy A. (2019). Organizational Behavior (18th ed.). Pearson. ISBN 978-1-292-25923-9.
Skinner, B. F. (1953). Science and human behavior. Macmillan.
Van Eerde, W., & Thierry, H. (1996). Vroom's expectancy models and work-related criteria: A meta-analysis. Journal of Applied Psychology, 81(5), 575–586.
Vroom, V. H. (1964). Work and motivation. Wiley.
Wahba, M. A., & Bridwell, L. G. (1976). Maslow reconsidered. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 15(2), 212–240.