



Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 4 for partitions into distinct parts

Mircea Merca

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the partition function $Q(n)$ counting the partitions of n into distinct parts and investigate congruence identities of the form

$$Q\left(p \cdot n + \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

where $p \geq 5$ is a prime.

1 Introduction

Recall that a composition of a positive integer n is a sequence of positive integers $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ whose sum is n , i.e.,

$$n = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k. \quad (1)$$

When the order of integers λ_i does not matter, the representation (1) is known as an integer partition [1] and can be rewritten as

$$n = t_1 + 2t_2 + \dots + nt_n,$$

where each positive integer i appears t_i times in the partition. For consistency, we consider a partition of n a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n . For example, the partitions of 4 are given as:

$$(4), (3, 1), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1). \quad (2)$$

Key Words: Partitions, Congruences, Divisors.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11P83; Secondary 11P81; 11P82.
Received: 04.12.2021
Accepted: 15.04.2022

The fastest algorithms for enumerating all the partitions of an integer have recently been presented by Merca [8, 9]. As usual, we denote by $p(n)$ the number of integer partitions of n and we have the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Here and throughout this paper, we use the following customary q -series notation:

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } n = 0, \\ (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}), & \text{for } n > 0; \end{cases}$$

$$(a; q)_{\infty} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n.$$

Because the infinite product $(a; q)_{\infty}$ diverges when $a \neq 0$ and $|q| \geq 1$, whenever $(a; q)_{\infty}$ appears in a formula, we shall assume $|q| < 1$.

The famous Ramanujan congruences for the partition function $p(n)$, which were proved by Atkin, Ramanujan and Watson [2, 3, 18], assert that

$$\begin{aligned} p(5^j n + \beta_5(j)) &\equiv 0 \pmod{5^j}, \\ p(7^j n + \beta_7(j)) &\equiv 0 \pmod{7^{[j/2]+1}}, \\ p(11^j n + \beta_{11}(j)) &\equiv 0 \pmod{11^j} \end{aligned}$$

for every non-negative integer n where $\beta_m(j) := 1/24 \pmod{m^j}$. Congruences modulo power of 5 and 7 for the partition function $Q(n)$ counting the partitions of n into distinct parts can be seen in a paper by B. Gordon and K. Hughes [4].

From Euler's pentagonal number theorem

$$(q; q)_{\infty} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{n(3n-1)/2}$$

we know that almost all values of $Q(n)$ are even, i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(n) q^n = (-q; q)_{\infty} \equiv (q; q)_{\infty} \pmod{2}.$$

Thus $Q(n)$ is odd if and only if n is a generalized pentagonal number. This fact was generalized by B. Gordon and K. Ono [5, Theorem 1], who demonstrated that, for any positive integer k , almost all values of $Q(n)$ are divisible by 2^k . More precisely, if k is a positive integer, then

$$Q(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$$

for a subset of non-negative integers n with arithmetic density one. In [13], K. Ono and D. Penniston provided an exact formula for $Q(n)$ modulo 8.

In this paper, we remark some congruences modulo 4 for the partition function $Q(n)$. Surprisingly, these congruences have not been noticed so far.

Theorem 1. *For all $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$,*

$$Q(5n+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Having $Q(6) = 4$, $Q(11) = 12$, $Q(16+25) = 1260$ and $Q(21) = 76$, for $\alpha \in \{6, 11, 16, 21\}$ we notice that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n+\alpha) q^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

Theorem 1 follows directly from the following two identities where for any positive integer k , f_k is defined by

$$f_k := (q^k; q^k)_{\infty}.$$

Theorem 2. *For $|q| < 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n+6) q^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n+21) q^n \right) \\ &= 16 \left(19 \frac{f_2^{18} f_5^{38}}{f_1^{40} f_{10}^{16}} + 1431 q \frac{f_2^{17} f_5^{33}}{f_1^{39} f_{10}^{11}} + 19164 q^2 \frac{f_2^{16} f_5^{28}}{f_1^{38} f_{10}^6} + 95176 q^3 \frac{f_2^{15} f_5^{23}}{f_1^{37} f_{10}} \right. \\ & \quad + 261104 q^4 \frac{f_2^{14} f_5^{18} f_{10}^4}{f_1^{36}} + 553344 q^5 \frac{f_2^{13} f_5^{13} f_{10}^9}{f_1^{35}} + 838656 q^6 \frac{f_2^{12} f_5^8 f_{10}^{14}}{f_1^{34}} \\ & \quad \left. + 804864 q^7 \frac{f_2^{11} f_5^3 f_{10}^{19}}{f_1^{33}} + 434176 q^8 \frac{f_2^{10} f_{10}^{24}}{f_1^{32} f_5^2} + 98304 q^9 \frac{f_2^9 f_{10}^{29}}{f_1^{31} f_5^7} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n+11) q^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n+16) q^n \right) \\ &= 16 \left(24 \frac{f_2^{18} f_5^{38}}{f_1^{40} f_{10}^{16}} + 1321 q \frac{f_2^{17} f_5^{33}}{f_1^{39} f_{10}^{11}} + 20129 q^2 \frac{f_2^{16} f_5^{28}}{f_1^{38} f_{10}^6} + 91056 q^3 \frac{f_2^{15} f_5^{23}}{f_1^{37} f_{10}} \right. \\ & \quad + 268704 q^4 \frac{f_2^{14} f_5^{18} f_{10}^4}{f_1^{36}} + 554624 q^5 \frac{f_2^{13} f_5^{13} f_{10}^9}{f_1^{35}} + 816896 q^6 \frac{f_2^{12} f_5^8 f_{10}^{14}}{f_1^{34}} \\ & \quad \left. + 815104 q^7 \frac{f_2^{11} f_5^3 f_{10}^{19}}{f_1^{33}} + 454656 q^8 \frac{f_2^{10} f_{10}^{24}}{f_1^{32} f_5^2} + 98304 q^9 \frac{f_2^9 f_{10}^{29}}{f_1^{31} f_5^7} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Upon reflection, one expects that there might be an infinite family of congruence identities where the congruence identity given by Theorem 1 is the first entry.

Theorem 3. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime number such that $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{24}$. For all $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have*

$$Q\left(p \cdot n + \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Theorem 4. *Let $p \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ be a prime. For all $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have*

$$Q\left(p \cdot n + \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}\right) \equiv \begin{cases} 2 \pmod{4}, & \text{if } n + \frac{p-1}{24} = \frac{k(3k+1)}{2}, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0 \pmod{4}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The case $p = 7$ of Theorem 3 reads as

$$Q(7n + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

for all $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. We remark that there is a stronger result.

Theorem 5. *For all $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$,*

$$Q(7n + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{8}.$$

The organization of this paper is as follows. We will first prove Theorems 2 and 5 in Sec. 2. In Sec. 3, we will prove Theorems 3 and 4 considering new connections between partitions and divisors. Some open problems are introduced in the last section.

2 Ramanujan-like congruences

Although the generating function for $p(n)$ was discovered by Euler in 1748, almost nothing was known of the arithmetic properties of $p(n)$ before the twentieth century. The first major discoveries in this area are due to Ramanujan [15, 16]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(5n + 4) q^n &= 5 \frac{f_5^5}{f_1^6}, \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(7n + 5) q^n &= 7 \frac{f_7^3}{f_1^4} + 49q \frac{f_7^7}{f_1^8}. \end{aligned}$$

These identities allowed the derivation of the famous congruences modulo 5 and 7 for the partition function $p(n)$.

In 1957, O. Kolberg [7] realized that these identities of Ramanujan could be extended to include a much larger variety of similar identities for $p(5n+j)$, $p(7n+j)$ and others. For example, Kolberg proved that

$$\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(5n+1) q^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(5n+2) q^n \right) = 2 \frac{f_5^4}{f_1^6} + 25q \frac{f_5^{10}}{f_1^{12}}.$$

In 2015, C.-S. Radu [14] constructed an algorithm to compute identities in the form of those discovered by Ramanujan and Kolberg above. He designed an algorithm which takes as input a generating function of the form

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_r(n) q^n = \prod_{\delta|M} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\delta n})^{r_\delta}$$

and positive integers m and N , where M is a positive integer and $(r_\delta)_{\delta|M}$ is a sequence indexed by the positive divisors δ of M . With this data the algorithm attempts to produce a set $P_{m,r}(j) \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ which contains j and is uniquely defined by m , $(r_\delta)_{\delta|M}$ and j . Next the algorithm decides if there exists a sequence $(s_\delta)_{\delta|N}$ such that

$$q^\alpha \prod_{\delta|N} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^{\delta n})^{s_\delta} \cdot \prod_{j' \in P_{m,r}(j)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(mn + j') q^n$$

is a modular function with certain restrictions on its behaviour on the boundary of $\mathbb{H}$. Very recently, N. A. Smoot [17] provided a successful Mathematica implementation of Radu's algorithm. The package is called **RaduRK** and requires **4ti2**, a software package for algebraic, geometric and combinatorial problems on linear spaces. Instructions for the proper installation for these packages can be found in [17]. In this section, we use the **RaduRK** program to prove Theorems 2 and 5.

The generating function for $Q(n)$ is given by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(n) q^n = (-q, q)_\infty = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$

This can be described by setting $M = 2$ and $r = \{-1, 1\}$.

Proof of Theorem 2. If we now take $m = 25$, guess $N = 10$ and take $j = 6$, then we obtain

In[1] := RK[10, 2, {-1, 1}, 25, 6]

$$\prod_{\delta | M} (q^\delta; q^\delta)_\infty^{r_\delta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) q^n$$

$$f_1(q) \cdot \prod_{j' \in P_{m,r}(j)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(mn + j') q^n = \sum_{g \in AB} g \cdot p_g(t)$$

Modular Curve: $X_0(N)$

Out[2] =

N:	10
$\{M, (r_\delta)_{\delta M}\}$:	$\{2, \{-1, 1\}\}$
m:	25
$P_{m,r}(j)$:	$\{6, 21\}$
$f_1(q)$:	$\frac{((q; q)_\infty)^{30} ((q^5; q^5)_\infty)^{12}}{q^{10} ((q^2; q^2)_\infty)^8 ((q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty)^{34}}$
t:	$\frac{((q^2; q^2)_\infty) ((q^5; q^5)_\infty)^5}{q ((q; q)_\infty) ((q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty)^5}$
AB:	$\{1\}$
$\{p_g(t) : g \in AB\}$:	$\{1572864t + 6946816t^2 + 12877824t^3 + 13418496t^4 + 8853504t^5 + 13418496t^6 + 1522816t^7 + 306624t^8 + 22896t^9 + 304t^{10}\}$
Common Factor:	16

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(q) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n + 6) q^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n + 21) q^n \right) \\ &= 1572864t + 6946816t^2 + 12877824t^3 + 13418496t^4 + 8853504t^5 \\ & \quad + 13418496t^6 + 1522816t^7 + 306624t^8 + 22896t^9 + 304t^{10}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the first identity on rearrangement.

If we now take $m = 25$, guess $N = 10$ and take $j = 11$, then we obtain

In[1] := RK[10,2,{-1,1},25,11]

$$\prod_{\delta|M} (q^\delta; q^\delta)_\infty^{r_\delta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(n) q^n$$

$$f_1(q) \cdot \prod_{j' \in P_{m,r}(j)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a(mn + j') q^n = \sum_{g \in AB} g \cdot p_g(t)$$

Modular Curve: $X_0(N)$

Out[2] =

N:	10
$\{M, (r_\delta)_{\delta M}\}$:	$\{2, \{-1, 1\}\}$
m:	25
$P_{m,r}(j)$:	$\{6, 21\}$
$f_1(q)$:	$\frac{((q; q)_\infty)^{30} ((q^5; q^5)_\infty)^{12}}{q^{10} ((q^2; q^2)_\infty)^8 ((q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty)^{34}}$
t:	$\frac{((q^2; q^2)_\infty) ((q^5; q^5)_\infty)^5}{q ((q; q)_\infty) ((q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty)^5}$
AB:	$\{1\}$
$\{p_g(t): g \in AB\}$:	$\{1\,572\,864\,t + 7\,274\,496\,t^2 + 13\,041\,664\,t^3$ $+ 13\,070\,336\,t^4 + 8\,873\,984\,t^5 + 4\,299\,264\,t^6$ $+ 1\,456\,896\,t^7 + 322\,064\,t^8 + 21\,136\,t^9 + 384\,t^{10}\}$
Common Factor:	16

This gives us

$$f_1(q) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n + 11) q^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(25n + 16) q^n \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 1572864t + 7274496t^2 + 13041664t^3 + 13070336t^4 + 8873984t^5 \\
 &\quad + 4299264t^6 + 1456896t^7 + 322064t^8 + 21136t^9 + 384t^{10},
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields the second identity on rearrangement.

Proof of Theorem 5. Having $Q(9) = 8$, $Q(16 + 49) = 18200$, $Q(23) = 104$, $Q(30) = 296$, $Q(37) = 760$ and $Q(44) = 1816$, for $\alpha \in \{9, 16, 23, 30, 37, 44\}$ we notice that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(49n + \alpha) q^n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}.$$

Thus, Theorem 5 follows directly from the following lemma.

Lemma 6. For $|q| < 1$,

$$(i) \quad \prod_{\alpha \in \{9, 16, 30\}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(49n + \alpha) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}$$

$$(ii) \quad \prod_{\alpha \in \{23, 37, 44\}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(49n + \alpha) q^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^9}$$

Proof. The proof of this lemma is quite similar to the proof of the Theorem 2, so we omit the details. To obtain the first congruence identity, we use

$$\text{RK}[14, 2, \{-1, 1\}, 49, 9].$$

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{(q; q)_{\infty}^{97} (q^7; q^7)_{\infty}^{38}}{q^{44} (q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^{37} (q^{14}; q^{14})_{\infty}^{98}} \prod_{\alpha \in \{9, 16, 30\}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(49n + \alpha) q^n \\
 &= 512 \cdot \left(p_1(t) + p_2(t) \cdot \frac{(q^2; q^2)_{\infty}^8 (q^7; q^7)_{\infty}^4}{q^2 (q; q)_{\infty} (q^{14}; q^{14})_{\infty}^7} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1(t) = &-648518346341351424 - 1156641477899055005696t \\
 &- 53539855219692515885056t^2 + 105450742058247729971200t^3 \\
 &+ 4694768969587740888793088t^4 + 21390855376330998377611264t^5 \\
 &+ 13991341992545467494301696t^6 + 11260505525461188675108864t^7 \\
 &+ 44330252745473867191943168t^8 + 23643615579547387255848960t^9
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 8374155855608561411293184t^{10} + 1805576016164080502964224t^{11} \\
 &+ 148251452149552490217472t^{12} - 31553327537583703031808t^{13} \\
 &- 9888536024088676012032t^{14} - 720939207186607809024t^{15} \\
 &+ 61469053155281728320t^{16} + 10429602484567138104t^{17} \\
 &+ 350946686691788872t^{18} + 2453846372311302t^{19} \\
 &+ 2345879956401t^{20} + 142473177t^{21} + 148t^{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_2(t) = &648518346341351424 + 1298450822965697183744t \\
 &+ 99220620593049041371136t^2 + 1206009969735756425461760t^3 \\
 &+ 5176826205924958811455488t^4 + 11097840813001246343430144t^5 \\
 &+ 13991341992545467494301696t^6 + 11260505525461188675108864t^7 \\
 &+ 5975272239407813722374144t^8 + 2057274390255339109875712t^9 \\
 &+ 410447470135012141039616t^{10} + 21990447479668472807424t^{11} \\
 &- 9901472011422939742208t^{12} - 2239478040117778219008t^{13} \\
 &- 87172452006829977600t^{14} + 19395135652819907072t^{15} \\
 &+ 1787542742856116928t^{16} + 32903925181539592t^{17} \\
 &+ 97052913403920t^{18} + 27860920174t^{19} + 264755t^{20},
 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$t = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty (q^7; q^7)_\infty^7}{q^2 (q; q)_\infty (q^{12}; q^{14})_\infty^7}.$$

The second congruence identity can be obtain if we consider

$$\text{RK}[14, 2, \{-1, 1\}, 49, 23].$$

This gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{(q; q)_\infty^{97} (q^7; q^7)_\infty^{38}}{q^{43} (q^2; q^2)_\infty^{37} (q^{14}; q^{14})_\infty^{98}} \prod_{\alpha \in \{23, 37, 44\}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(49n + \alpha) q^n \\
 &= 512 \cdot \left(p_1(t) + p_2(t) \cdot \left(\frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^8 (q^7; q^7)_\infty^4}{q^3 (q; q)_\infty^4 (q^{14}; q^{14})_\infty^8} - \frac{4 (q^2; q^2)_\infty (q^7; q^7)_\infty^7}{q^2 (q; q)_\infty (q^{14}; q^{14})_\infty^7} \right) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_1(t) = -1225330379415709810688t - 53029217109217902592000t^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 105983774141947582611456 t^3 + 4693406907223237652905984 t^4 \\
& + 21391706936249368704974848 t^5 + 45081888311211073791328256 t^6 \\
& + 55665041474406949037539328 t^7 + 44330265479324457999794176 t^8 \\
& + 23643634407320564948533248 t^9 + 8374136065999589712330752 t^{10} \\
& + 1805578003899798851158016 t^{11} + 148249603339947942608896 t^{12} \\
& - 31552596215454669209600 t^{13} - 9888451479055942475776 t^{14} \\
& - 720885796539747899392 t^{15} + 61470755478154756480 t^{16} \\
& + 10429851285495028344 t^{17} + 350957576681349999 t^{18} \\
& + 2453307890300554 t^{19} + 2342867920924 t^{20} + 152283143 t^{21}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2(t) = & 1349629729131135500288 t + 99543891507576485969920 t^2 \\
& + 1205372780933149538385920 t^3 + 5177219767184086813114368 t^4 \\
& + 11097850826933138685427712 t^5 + 13991238397774790068797440 t^6 \\
& + 11260542562648728386142208 t^7 + 5975262039538114523824128 t^8 \\
& + 2057270115516818175033344 t^9 + 410453459809019650637824 t^{10} \\
& + 21990450677627612037120 t^{11} - 9901615893689232523264 t^{12} \\
& - 2239649077347891544064 t^{13} - 87188088020470964224 t^{14} \\
& + 19394405130087151616 t^{15} + 1787438044885791360 t^{16} \\
& + 32905858181925128 t^{17} + 97126492812313 t^{18} \\
& + 27745559755 t^{19} + 280345 t^{20}
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$t = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty (q^7; q^7)_\infty^7}{q^2 (q; q)_\infty (q^{12}; q^{14})_\infty^7}.$$

□

3 Connections between partitions and divisors

One of the well-known identities in the partition theory is given by the following theta series of Gauss

$$1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-q)^{n^2} = \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(-q; q)_\infty}. \quad (3)$$

Very recently [11], we consider this theta identity and obtained the following truncated form for it: For the positive integers k and r , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & (-q; q)_\infty \left(1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^j q^{r \cdot j^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{(-q; q)_\infty (q^r; q^r)_\infty}{(-q^r; q^r)_\infty} + 2(-1)^k q^{r(k+1)^2} \frac{(q^r; q^{2r})_\infty}{(q; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(2k+2j+3)rj}}{(q^{2r}; q^{2r})_j (q^r; q^{2r})_{k+j+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of this theorem, we derived in [11] an infinite family of linear inequalities for the partition function $Q(n)$ counting the partitions of n into distinct parts: For $n \geq 0$, $m \geq 1$,

$$(-1)^m \left(Q(n) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^j Q(n - 3j^2) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \delta_{n, G_k} \right) \geq 0,$$

where

$$G_k = \frac{1}{2} \left\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \right\rfloor \left\lceil \frac{3k+1}{2} \right\rceil$$

is the k th generalized pentagonal number.

The limiting case $m \rightarrow \infty$ of this inequality reads as: For $n \geq 0$,

$$Q(n) + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j Q(n - 3j^2) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = G_k, k \in \mathbb{N}_0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Another proof of this recurrence relation can be seen in [12]. We invoke the recurrence relation (4) in order to prove some unexpected congruences that combine the partition function $Q(n)$ and the divisor function $\tau(n)$ that counts the positive divisors of n .

Theorem 7. *Let $r \in \{0, 2\}$. For any nonnegative integer n ,*

$$Q(n) \equiv r \pmod{4} \iff \tau(24n + 1) \equiv r \pmod{4}.$$

Proof. Let $R(n)$ be the number of representation of n as the sum of a generalized pentagonal number and thrice a square number. When n is not a generalized pentagonal number it is clear that $R(n)$ is even. In [6], Hirschhorn proved the following result:

$$R(n) = d_{1,8}(24n + 1) + d_{3,8}(24n + 1) - d_{5,8}(24n + 1) - d_{7,8}(24n + 1),$$

where $d_{\ell,m}(x)$ is the number of positive divisors d of x with $d \equiv \ell \pmod{m}$. Considering the recurrence relation (4), we deduce that

$$Q(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \iff \frac{R(n)}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

and

$$Q(n) \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \iff \frac{R(n)}{2} \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

If $\ell \in \{1, 3\}$ and d is a divisor of $24n + 1$ such that $d \equiv \ell \pmod{8}$, then $(24n + 1)/d \equiv \ell \pmod{8}$. On the other hand, when n is not a generalized pentagonal number, the integer $24n + 1$ is not a square. Thus we deduce that

$$\frac{R(n)}{2} + \frac{\tau(24n + 1)}{2} = d_{1,8}(24n + 1) + d_{3,8}(24n + 1)$$

is an even number. This means that the integers $\frac{R(n)}{2}$ and $\frac{\tau(24n+1)}{2}$ have the same parity. $\square$

Theorem 8. *Let n be a nonnegative integer.*

(i) *If n is congruent to 0 or 3 mod 4, then*

$$Q\left(\frac{3n^2 + n}{2}\right) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4} \iff \tau((6n + 1)^2) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}.$$

(ii) *If n is not congruent to 0 or 3 mod 4, then*

$$Q\left(\frac{3n^2 + n}{2}\right) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4} \iff \tau((6n + 1)^2) \equiv \mp 1 \pmod{4}.$$

(iii) *If n is congruent to 2 or 3 mod 4, then*

$$Q\left(\frac{3n^2 - n}{2}\right) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4} \iff \tau((6n - 1)^2) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}.$$

(iv) *If n is not congruent to 2 or 3 mod 4, then*

$$Q\left(\frac{3n^2 - n}{2}\right) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4} \iff \tau((6n - 1)^2) \equiv \mp 1 \pmod{4}.$$

Proof. The proof of this theorem is quite similar to the proof of Theorem 7. Considering our recurrence relations (4), we deduce that

$$Q\left(\frac{3n^2 \pm n}{2}\right) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{4}, & \iff \frac{R((6n \pm 1)^2) - 1}{2} \text{ is even,} \\ 3 \pmod{4}, & \iff \frac{R((6n \pm 1)^2) - 1}{2} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Let $d_{\ell,m}(x)$ be the number of positive divisors d of x with $d \equiv \ell \pmod{m}$. On the one hand, it is not difficult to prove that

$$d_{1,8}((6n+1)^2) + d_{3,8}((6n+1)^2) - 1$$

is even if and only if n is congruent to 0 or 3 mod 4. On the other hand, it is not difficult to prove that

$$d_{1,8}((6n-1)^2) + d_{3,8}((6n-1)^2) - 1$$

is even if and only if n is congruent to 2 or 3 mod 4. This concludes the proof $\square$

Proof of Theorems 3 and 4. According to Theorem 7, we have

$$Q\left(p \cdot n + \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \iff \tau(24 \cdot p \cdot n + p^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Taking into account that $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we obtain

$$\gcd(p, 24n + p) = 1.$$

Then we deduce that

$$\tau(24 \cdot p \cdot n + p^2) = \tau(p) \cdot \tau(24n + p) = 2 \cdot \tau(24n + p).$$

On the one hand, if p is a prime such that $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ then it is not difficult to prove that $24n + p$ cannot be a square. On the other hand, it is well known that $24n + 1$ is a square if and only if n is a generalized pentagonal number. When p is a prime such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$, we deduce that $24n + p$ is a square if and only if $n + \frac{p-1}{24}$ is a generalized pentagonal number. This concludes the proof.

4 Open problems and concluding remarks

Infinite families of Ramanujan-type congruences modulo 4 for the partition function $Q(n)$ have been obtained in this paper considering new connections between partitions and divisors.

Inspired by the case $p = 7$ of Theorem 2, we experimentally found the following congruence identities, where

$$S = \{(11, 5), (13, 6), (17, 8), (19, 9), (23, 11), (31, 3), (37, 6), \\ (41, 8), (43, 9), (47, 11), (59, 6), (61, 6), (67, 10), (71, 13), \\ (79, 3), (83, 5), (89, 9), (103, 3), (107, 6), (109, 6), (113, 9)\}.$$

Conjecture. *Let $(p, k) \in S$. For all $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we have*

$$Q\left(p \cdot n + \frac{p^2 - 1}{24}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}.$$

We were unable to prove these congruences due to the running time of the RaduRK program. Another approach to these congruences would be very interesting.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. Reprint of the 1976 original.
- [2] A. O. L. Atkin, Proof of a conjecture of Ramanujan, *Glasgow Math. J.* **8** (1967) 14–32.
- [3] B. C. Berndt and K. Ono, Ramanujan’s unpublished manuscript on the partition and tau functions with proofs and commentary, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **42** (1999), Art. B42c.
- [4] B. Gordon and K. Hughes, Ramanujan congruences for $q(n)$, In: Knopp M. I. (eds) *Analytic Number Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol 899, pp. 333–359, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1981.
- [5] B. Gordon and K. Ono, Divisibility of Certain Partition Functions by Powers of Primes, *Ramanujan J* **1** (1997) 25–34.
- [6] M. D. Hirschhorn, The number of representation of a number by various forms involving triangles, squares, pentagons and octagons, in *Ramanujan*

- Rediscovered*, N.D. Baruah, B.C. Berndt, S. Cooper, T. Huber, and M. Schlosser, Eds., RMS Lecture Note Series, No. 14, pp. 113124, Ramanujan Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [7] O. Kolberg, Some identities involving the partition function, *Math. Scand.* **5** (1957) 77–92.
 - [8] M. Merca, Fast algorithm for generating ascending compositions, *J. Math. Model. Algorithms* **11** (2012) 89–104.
 - [9] M. Merca, Binary diagrams for storing ascending compositions, *Comput. J.* **56**(11) (2013) 1320–1327.
 - [10] M. Merca, Combinatorial interpretations of a recent convolution for the number of divisors of a positive integer, *J. Number Theory* **160** (2016) 60–75.
 - [11] M. Merca, Linear inequalities concerning partitions into distinct parts, *Ramanujan J* (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-021-00427-6>.
 - [12] M. Merca, Distinct partitions and overpartitions, *Carpathian J. Math.* **38**(1) (2022) 149–158.
 - [13] K. Ono and D. Penniston, The 2-adic behavior of the number of partitions into distinct parts, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **92** (2000) 138–157.
 - [14] C.-S. Radu, An algorithmic approach to Ramanujan-Kolberg identities, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **68** (2015) 225–253.
 - [15] S. Ramanujan, Some Properties of $p(n)$, the number of partitions of n , *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 207–210 (1919).
 - [16] S. Ramanujan, Congruence Properties of Partitions, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **2**(18) (1920).
 - [17] N. A. Smoot, On the computation of identities relating partition numbers in arithmetic progressions with eta quotients: an implementation of Radu’s algorithm, *J. Symb. Comput.* **104** (2021) 276–311.
 - [18] G. N. Watson, Ramanujan’s vermutung über zerfallungsanzahlen, *J. reine angew. math.* **179** (1938) 97–128.

Mircea MERCA,
Department of Mathematical Methods and Models,
University POLITEHNICA of Bucharest,
Splaiul Independentei 313, 060042 Bucharest, Romania.
mircea.merca@profinfo.edu.ro